

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

March 16, 1998

Upon Recess of BMA

Mayor Wieczorek called the meeting to order.

The Clerk called the roll. There were fourteen Aldermen present.

Present: Aldermen Wihby, Klock, Reiniger, Sysyn, Clancy, Pinard, Shea, O'Neil, Girard, Rivard, Pariseau, Cashin, Thibault, Hirschmann.

Mayor Wieczorek addressed item 3 of the agenda:

Communication from Fred Lake seeking the City's sponsorship or purchasing of tickets for the Hillsboro County Sheriff's All-Stars vs. The All Pro Celebrity Softball Team scheduled for Saturday, June 13, 1998 at Gill Stadium.

On motion of Alderman Pariseau, duly seconded by Alderman Shea, it was voted to receive and file the communication. It was noted that this was not something the Board had done in the past.

Mayor Wieczorek addressed item 4 of the agenda:

1998 CIP Budget Authorizations:
2.10606 Cultural Diversity Task Force - Revision #1
5.10252 Downtown Parks Rehab. Project - Revision #1
5.30103 Historic Preservation Fund
7.30285 Relocate Harvey Road at Rte. 28
8.30305 Library Renovations - Revision #1

On motion of Alderman O'Neil, duly seconded by Alderman Wihby, it was voted that the budget authorizations be approved subject to the final adoption of related resolutions.

Mayor Wieczorek addressed item 5 of the agenda:

Resolutions:

“Amending the 1998 Community Improvement Program, authorizing and appropriating funds for various School Department Projects.”

“Amending the 1998 Community Improvement Program, authorizing and appropriating funds in the amount of \$5,000.00 for the 1998 CIP 5.30103 Historic Preservation Fund.”

“Amending the 1998 Community Improvement Program, authorizing and appropriating funds for the 1998 CIP 2.10606 Cultural Diversity Task Force.”

“Amending the 1998 Community Improvement Program, authorizing and appropriating funds in the amount of \$7,500.00 for the 1998 CIP 8.30305 Library Renovation Project.”

“Authorizing the Finance Officer to effect a transfer of Eleven Thousand (\$11,000) Dollars from Contingency to the Non-Departmental Employee Medical Services Account.”

“Authorizing the Finance Officer to effect a transfer of Eighteen Thousand (\$18,000) Dollars from Contingency to the Human Resources Department.”

“Authorizing the Finance Officer to effect a transfer of Twenty Thousand (\$20,000) Dollars from Contingency to the Task Force on the Future of Health Care in Greater Manchester.”

On motion of Alderman Wihby, duly seconded by Alderman Reiniger, it was voted to dispense with the readings by titles only.

Alderman Wihby moved that the Resolutions ought to pass and be Enrolled. Alderman Pariseau duly seconded the motion. The motion carried with Alderman Shea duly recorded in opposition to the last resolution read relative to the Health Care Task Force, and Aldermen Cashin and Klock recorded as abstaining to the last resolution read with regard to the Task Force on the Future of Health Care.

3/16/98 Finance

3

Mayor Wieczorek addressed item 6 of the agenda:

Resolution:

“A Resolution Approving the Community Improvement Program for 1999, Raising and Appropriating Monies Therefor and Authorizing Implementation of Said Program.”

On motion of Alderman Wihby, duly seconded by Alderman Cashin it was voted to read the resolution by title only and it was so done.

Deputy Clerk Johnson advised that based on acceptance of the Committee on Community Improvement Report substituted this evening, amendments should be considered to the resolution, and if the Committee on Finance desired, a motion would be in order to amend the resolution as follows:

Amend Table 1-1 Federal, State and Other

by adding:

5.10291	Riverwalk Planning and Development	\$500,000. (other)
6.50222	Building Improvement Program	\$ 150,000. (CBDRF)
	Intown Manchester	
6.50220D	Elm Street North Improvement Plan and Design	60,000. (other)
8.30354	School Capital Improvement Program	\$150,000.(Impact Fees)
	School Capital Improvement Program Committee	

Amend Table 1-2 HUD funds

by changing responsible agency:

2.50602	Latin American Center
	from Manchester Consolidated Services
	to Southern NH Services

Amend Table 1-3 City Cash

by changing responsible agency:

2.50615	Voluntary Action Center
	from Manchester Consolidated Services
	to Southern NH Services

8.40304 Information and Referral
from Manchester Consolidated Services
to Southern New Hampshire Services

by changing title and increasing:

5.10180 Athletic Field Rehab. Program
to Park Improvement Program +\$110,000.
(from \$50,000 to \$160,000.)
w Fiscal Note: Stevens-\$25,000./Youngsville-\$15,000./
Prouts-\$10,000/Precourt-\$60,000./Misc. \$50,000.
Planning Department

Amend Table 1-4 General Obligation Bonds

by changing title:

5.10155 School Recreation Facilities/Parking Lot Facilities
to School/Parks Improvement

by eliminating:

5.10176 Parks Capital Improvement Program -\$910,000.
Parks, Recreation, and Cemeteries Dept.
5.10209 Riverwalk Planning, Design, and Permitting -\$100,000.
Planning Department

by decreasing:

8.20410 Motorized Equipment Replacement -\$60,000.
(from \$725,000. to \$665,000.)
Public Building Services

by adding:

5.10176 West Memorial Field Improvements \$350,000.
Parks, Recreation and Cemeteries Dept.
5.10258 Livingston Park Capital Improvements \$560,000.
Parks, Recreation and Cemeteries Dept.
5.10263 Livingston Park Athletic Facility \$170,000.
Parks, Recreation and Cemeteries Dept.
5.10291 Riverwalk Planning and Development \$1,600,000.
Planning Department

Amend Table 1-5 Enterprises, Fees and Other Dedicated Sources***by adding:***

7.30287	Property Acquisitions (+or- 82 homes, St. Francis, Rte 28 properties) Department of Aviation	\$15,000,000.
7.30288	Program/Construction Management Department of Aviation	\$10,000,000.
7.60327	Amoskeag Hydro Finance Department <i>w/Fiscal Note that operating agency be established prior to final purchase transactions.</i>	\$20,000,000.

by increasing:

7.30280	3000 Space Parking Garage from \$30,000,000 to \$35,000,000. Department of Aviation	(+\$5,000,000.)
---------	---	-----------------

by decreasing:

5.10263	Livingston Park Athletic Facility from \$500,000. to \$330,000.	-\$170,000.
---------	--	-------------

by eliminating:

7.30287	Long Term Parking Lot Expansion Department of Aviation	-\$375,000.
---------	---	-------------

by changing title:

7.30280	from 3000 Space Parking Garage to Airport Parking Garage Department of Aviation	
7.30282	from "Construct Long Term Parking/Meggitt Avionics" to "Construct Interim Parking" Department of Aviation	
8.30356	from Noresco-School Energy Efficiency Improvements to School Energy Efficiency and Other Improvements Public Building Services	

by Amending the amount reflected in paragraph 3, page 2 of the resolution for federal, state and private grant funds from \$7,551,702. to \$8,411,702.

by Amending the amount reflected in paragraph 2, of page 3 of the resolution for municipal funds (cash) from \$1,417,200. to \$1,527,200.

by Amending the amount reflected in paragraph 3, of page 3 of the resolution for issuance of short term notes and general obligation bonds from \$13,960,000. to \$15,570,000.

by Amending the wording and amount in paragraph 4, of page 3 of the resolution reading from \$70,955,000 for projects financed through enterprises and fees, to read \$120,410,000 for projects financed through enterprises, fees and other dedicated sources.

by Amending all project numbers to be consistent with new numbering system to accommodate programming system.

Alderman Wihby so moved to amend the resolution as outlined by the Deputy Clerk. Alderman Pariseau duly seconded the motion.

Alderman Shea stated in item 5.10291 Riverwalk Planning and Development for \$1.6 million, he wished to amend that and move \$500,000 from that account and increase 5.1018 so that \$300,000 would be used for improvements to Prouts Park and Howe Street Park, in Ward 7, and the remaining \$200,000 used to improve Stevens Park, Youngsville Park, and Precourt Park. Alderman Pinard seconded the motion to amend.

Alderman Reiniger stated as Chair of the CIP Committee Alderman Shea had come before them with some park issues he has and they had consulted with Parks and Recreation to get this on the list and had gone ahead with funding a master plan which is the way the process starts. He noted that they could not know how much to spend on any project until a master plan is complete so it was premature to be asking for any monies when they did not know what was needed. Alderman Reiniger noted that the committee had spent a lot of hours looking over these issues and he would recommend that they make no changes to that. Alderman Reiniger stated with regard to the Riverfront improvements, this has been in the works for over 20 years, it was brought to his attention a letter from August of 1980 from the Chair of the Urban Parks Committee at the time, William Cashin, urging the Board to adopt the plan for the Manchester Riverfront, the Merrimack and Piscataquog rivers, it was a huge project, an ambitious vision which the city has been working on for over 20 years. He noted that it said "because of the very significant long range implications of the plan for recreation, economic and

3/16/98 Finance

8

neighborhood revitalization and environmental quality, the Committee respectfully

recommends that the Board formally adopt the plan as presented as the official long range policy of the City with regard to riverfront development.” Alderman Reiniger noted that this plan was adopted by the aldermen August 26, 1980, on a motion made by Alderman Cashin and seconded by Alderman Thibault, who was on the Board at the time. Alderman Reiniger noted that this was an excellent vision; that they had a group that has come forward and spent many hours looking at the engineering and just to take out -- these numbers have been carefully considered in phases -- and to take out \$500,000 would effectually end the project right now, it would be ill conceived. He urged the Board to reject the amendment.

Alderman Shea stated he had consulted with the Parks and Rec department and spoke to Ron Johnson who indicated to him that it would cost \$300,000 to upgrade both Prouts and Howe parks. He reminded his colleague that the Riverfront walk project although in its infancy in 1984, was brought before the Board October of 1997, and at that time they were asking for \$100,000 for planning. They have gone from \$100,000 for planning to \$2.1 million and he thought it incumbent upon them that the City has to look at the entire parking problem for safety reasons as well for the amount of property evaluations that are around parks. It is not fair for constituents if parks are allowed to deteriorate because their property deteriorates, and where a park is used 8 to 10 months a year, where a riverwalk in its formative stage can only be used for 6 or 8 months; he was not sure it could be used year-round for all the different projects. He thought it was incumbent that they do support the constituents throughout the City and that was why he was appealing to the Board.

In response to question, Alderman Shea stated he wished to distribute the \$500,000 as \$300,000 for Prouts and Howe Street, and \$200,000 to be used to improve Stevens Park, in Ward 5; Youngsville Park, in Ward 6; and Precourt Park in Ward 9.

Alderman O’Neil asked if there was a master plan for the neighborhood parks in the City.

Alderman Reiniger responded that they had asked the Parks and Recreation department to develop a priority list so they do come to the CIP Committee; that each aldermen who has an interest in parks needs to go to the parks department, discuss with them putting their particular park on this list, and the parks department then ranks this list and this policy was instituted so they did not get into a fight on the Board every year over whose parks should get funded or not, trading votes -- they decided this wasn’t the way to conduct the business of the parks.

Alderman O'Neil asked if the list existed today. Alderman Reiniger responded affirmatively.

Alderman O'Neil asked if he should call parks and recreation to get it, he hadn't seen it. Alderman Reiniger responded yes.

Sam Maranto addressed the members noting the recovery action plan which is a federal mandated plan that the parks department had to put together in 1983/1984, a master plan looking at all of the parks in the city, analyzing them, determining what condition they were in. That plan is updated every five to six years, the last time was 1992. Ron Johnson has that plan and on an annual basis he puts on projects that could be done physically within the constraint of the CIP. Mr. Maranto commented with regard to Prout Park, at the time the plan was put together they were making improvements with CDBG funds, so at that time it was in pretty good condition. From the mid-1980's on the only parks that got rehabilitated in the City were parks eligible for CDBG funding, in 1993 they began to have financial capacity within the CIP to use general obligation bonds once again. Mr. Maranto noted that the parks submissions have not vacillated from this plan, but have been within the plan to extent of funding availability, unfortunately the 15 year plan will take 20-25 years to accomplish. He noted Livingston was on 6 to 7 years.

Alderman Shea commented that he had noticed Riverfront had jumped to the top asking if it was on any master plan.

Mr. Maranto responded no, it was originally done 1981-1982 utilizing federal money. They had a 25 year Millyard renewal plan, which allowed them to use federal money which expired around 1991, and since then could not use any more CDBG money down there, and there has been no economic involvement in that area. Mr. Maranto noted that the parks department had requested funding for riverwalk each year continually.

Alderman Shea stated not for 2.1 million.

Mr. Maranto responded no, it actually was a \$6 million plan.

Alderman Shea stated he had no objection to Livingston Park, he believed it a necessary endeavor and was not opposed to it. He was not opposed to the riverwalk in terms of their getting their fair share. However, he was opposed to the fact that when Prouts Park gets \$10,000 and riverwalk 1.6 million and a half million he was opposed he did not feel they were treating the people around Prouts Park in Ward 7 fairly, and this was his opposition. He did not think they were

treating the people at Stevens Park fairly. We don't even have swings for the kids - modern play things - they have bleachers that are defective and that wasn't right. He did not care how much they put into a riverwalk if they were not interested in their citizens he didn't think he was asking too much and he felt Youngsville and Precourt where they play soccer, we are getting short changed at the expense of other projects. He thought 1.6 was enough to start a riverwalk project, and they could keep the other parks to a safety degree level.

Alderman Wihby stated that he hoped the Board would go with the original plan and not accept the amendment. He noted they funded the riverfront because they were promised, the whole project cost was \$2.1 million for that phase, of that \$500,000 was private funding, and if they fund only the 1.6 it would not get off the ground and would not get completed and they could probably kiss the 1/2 million good bye. The problem with the parks - Prout, Stevens, Howe, etc. - they have to do a master plan. That's what they had to do with Livingston and West Memorial Field, and they had put funding in for the plan for these other parks. Alderman Wihby noted that without the studies how did he know that Stevens didn't need \$300,000 or Prouts or Stevens deserving a half million first, they were arbitrarily picking a number saying Alderman Shea wants something for his ward and let's give it to him. We looked at these numbers in parks, parks looked at these numbers, they work with them all the time, he did not think they could consider riverwalk along with this type of funding, he didn't think they should take \$500,000 out of riverwalk to fund a park used for facilities for youth activities. He didn't think they should take it out and do it that way because then other aldermen will come in and argue that other parks in their wards should be done, he had some of his own. He felt they needed to follow a plan, they were funding a study for Precourt and others that would determine what the needs were in the future.

Mayor Wieczorek advised there was a motion to amend on the floor made by

Alderman Shea and seconded by Alderman Pinard.

Alderman Pinard withdrew his second to the motion.

Mayor Wiezorek asked if there was another second to the motion. There was none.

Alderman Cashin noted that Alderman Shea had made some points and felt he should sit with the CIP and work this out. He noted that Alderman Wihby had people helping and contributing but it was in a more affluent area than Prouts and that should not be a criteria as to where the money is going. He could support Alderman Shea sitting with the CIP to see what could be worked out.

Alderman Girard noted that part of the problem was the process they go through in developing these things. If they looked at the priority list from parks, Prouts Park, Howe Park -- they don't show up, Clem Lemire field shows up before Precourt Park by priority. The problem is a process that is not objective. Parks and Recreation does not come to the Board and say these are the conditions of the parks and these are what we think need to be done. It is an alderman having to put in a request; having to work with a committee; having to do all sorts of things and it is a hard process to follow. A process that does not allow for any objective priorities, doesn't allow a committee to sit in judgment of those priorities and to have a discussion as to whether or not we want to take care of neighborhood parks versus riverwalks, or how we want to address the resources that we have to the problems that we have. Alderman Shea can come sit with CIP, he would be happy to work with him as a member of the committee but is each alderman individually to come to the committee. He did not think that was reasonable. He did not think the time put in by committees this year was reasonable, he still had several questions on projects and didn't know what had been put into this budget. The process at best has been harried, realizing they had to speed things along for construction cycle, he hoped the process would start earlier next year so they can address some of these issues. Until there is something, whether parks or road surfacing that is going to use the professional expertise the city has to develop and present a priority list to the Board for consideration and discussion then the process that we are all a victim of here is going to continue with no resolution.

Alderman Girard noted that he was uncomfortable with amending anything at this point because he did not think there was enough information.

Alderman Hirschmann asked if they were done with this issue.

Deputy Clerk Johnson noted her understanding was that Alderman Hirschmann wanted to address something with regard to the main motion.

Mayor Wieczorek noted the main motion, Alderman Wihby by Alderman Pariseau, and recognized Alderman Hirschmann.

Alderman Hirschmann stated he was talking down the road on the agenda.

Mayor Wieczorek called for a vote on the main motion to amend. The motion carried with Alderman Shea and Girard duly recorded in opposition. (In later discussion Alderman Hirschmann was noted in opposition to the Hydro and Airport (\$35 million project). Alderman Shea was noted in opposition to the Hydro plant project.

Alderman Hirschmann noted that he had a question on item 6, the proposed amendments to Table 1-5. He had not realized the vote was on the full amendment.

Alderman Hirschmann stated Table 1-5 talked about enterprise fees and other dedicated sources. There were two large projects and he understood that someone had it figured out where these fees and sources are coming from, but there were two large projects one recommended by Finance that they purchase a Hydro Dam for \$20 million and his comfort level with this piece was not there because there has been no presentations or discussions up to this point in front of the full Board. The other piece was a \$35 million parking garage that will be paid by enterprise fees at the airport. He was stating that his comfort level was not there with either one of these projects. He didn't care where the fees were coming from it was a lot of money. \$2 and \$3 million projects he could understand, but when they talked \$20 and \$35 million respectively -- his question was who else was comfortable with the two numbers and why don't these go on the ballot to the voters.

Alderman Wihby stated that the voters would not be spending \$20 million on a Hydro Dam. It was there with a fiscal note that said they had to have an operating agency that was willing to purchase it.

Alderman Hirschmann noted it was giving them permission to do it.

Alderman Wihby stated it was giving permission, they would have to come back to the Board for signing contracts with somebody if the deal worked they could decide.

Alderman Hirschmann noted the riverwalk came before them and was given an initial vote to move along, he wasn't going to move this along.

Alderman Shea stated he had several questions for the Finance Officer. He asked how much tax revenues would be lost if they purchased this particular facility.

Mr. Sherman responded none; that whoever was allowed to operate the dam would be making a payment in lieu of.

Alderman Shea stated so they would pay for the taxes we now receive. Mr. Sherman responded exactly.

Alderman Shea asked has the machinery which is of 1930 vintage been refurbished and renovated and if not have you determined the cost of renovating this machinery.

Mr. Sherman stated what I can tell you is that we have reviewed all of their federal energy regulatory commission filings that they need to make. They do have a \$4 million anticipated expenditure around the time that the license needs to be renewed which is around 2002. Without getting into specific discussions with the company we really are not sure what that represents but that is certainly being taken into account as far as an offering price that would be made.

Alderman Shea asked if he could find out if the machinery is updated, you don't know, stating you didn't answer my question, it was has the machinery, which is of 1930 vintage, been refurbished and renovated.

Mr. Sherman stated he could tell him that it had, he could not tell him when the last time it was, he did not have all of their FERC forms here, but certainly in determining the value that is going to be offered to that, all of that equipment would have to be looked at. We certainly are not going to buy a facility that is not going to run. The facility is very profitable. I can tell you that Public Service has kept it up to date.

Alderman Shea stated they did do some renovating in 1980 to the exterior of the building.

Alderman Shea asked if this bond of \$20 million qualify for being tax exempt if they were to pay that much money.

Mr. Sherman responded yes it would be.

Alderman Shea asked what effect this large amount of \$20 million have on our city's bonding capacity.

Mr. Sherman responded absolutely none. Bonding of small power facilities such as this are exempted from the debt limit, plus the fact that they would enter into an operating lease at least the term of the indebtedness would not effect your debt limit whatsoever.

Alderman Shea stated in 1994 this facility produced 102 million kilowatts of power, however, in both 1995 and 94 it produced only 80 million kilowatts, why this drastic drop and does this indicate serious problems at this facility.

Mr. Sherman responded no, it's a hydro dam so it's subject to the run of the river. they can only generate as the river runs. And what I can't tell you is whether in 1995 or 1996 where the water was at that point, or if there were any repairs being done. But that again effects the cost of the facility.

Alderman Shea stated because we were subject to transmitting companies and don't own the cable companies does this present a problem. Mr. Sherman responded no.

Alderman Cashin stated it sounded like they needed a work session. We used to spend a couple of hours working on the CIP, they were not going to accomplish this tonight, the alderman was asking good questions and deserved some answers and the way they were working it wouldn't get done. He recommended they schedule time in the near future to sit down and have a work session on the CIP.

Mayor Wieczorek stated they would do that after the public hearing. He was ready to move this along, noting the schedule had changed with CIP, it was different than the way they used to do it. Alderman Cashin noted that if the aldermen did not understand it wouldn't go anywhere. Mayor Wieczorek noted they would schedule a work session.

Alderman O'Neil asked if they were anticipating something coming up in 1999 with regards to this. Mayor Wieczorek responded yes.

Alderman Shea noted they had enough bureaucracy in the city now, did they want to create more, they were getting into the habit of buying places now, they weren't talking nickels and dimes, they were talking \$20 million like it was nothing. If they were investing that much money they should have answers to questions, and not depend on a consultant from North Carolina who looks at the plant and recommends something, possibly their knowledge is sound but we have to live with the decisions made.

Mayor Wieczorek noted that he did not think any aldermen would vote for a \$20 million project without full knowledge, this was only a first step in a lengthy process. They did need a work session on some of these items and they would schedule that.

Deputy Clerk Johnson stated she had been advised that some of the Aldermen did not realize they were voting on the full amendments and requested clarification if anyone wished to be recorded in opposition.

Alderman Hirschmann was opposed to the airport and hydro projects. Alderman Shea was opposed to the hydro project.

Mayor Wieczorek addressed item 7 of the agenda:

Resolutions Amending the FY1998 CIP, and related Bond Resolutions for projects requested to be expedited, and currently reflected in the FY1999 CIP proposed budget resolution.

“Amending the 1998 Community Improvement Program, authorizing and appropriating funds in the amount of (\$1,410,000.00) One Million Four Hundred Ten Thousand Dollars for various Park Projects.”

“Amending the 1998 Community Improvement Program, authorizing and appropriating funds in the amount of (\$200,000.00) Two Hundred Thousand Dollars for the 1998 Community Improvement Program 5.10155 School/Park Improvement Project.”

“Amending the 1998 Community Improvement Program, transferring, authorizing and appropriating funds in the amount of One Hundred Five Thousand Dollars (\$105,000.00) for the 1998 CIP 5.10252 Downtown Parks Rehabilitation Program.”

“Amending the 1998 Community Improvement Program, authorizing and appropriating funds in the amount of (\$2,100,000.00) Two Million One Hundred Thousand Dollars for the 1998 Community Improvement Program 5.10291 Riverwalk Planning and Development Project.”

“Amending the 1998 Community Improvement Program, authorizing and appropriating funds in the amount of (\$21,790,000.00) Twenty One Million Seven Hundred Ninety Thousand Dollars for various Airport Authority Projects.”

“Amending the 1998 Community Improvement Program, authorizing and appropriating funds in the amount of (\$250,000.00) Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars for the 1998 Community Improvement Program 7.50101A&B Sidewalk Construction Program.”

“Amending the 1998 Community Improvement Program, authorizing and appropriating funds in the amount of (\$190,000.00) One Hundred Ninety Thousand Dollars for the 1998 Community Improvement Program 8.20411 Telephone System Upgrade.”

“Amending the 1998 Community Improvement Program, authorizing and appropriating funds in the amount of (\$600,000.00) Six Hundred Thousand Dollars for the 1998 Community Improvement Program 8.30341 City Hall Security/Audio/Visual /Other Projects.”

“Amending the 1998 Community Improvement Program, authorizing and appropriating funds in the amount of (\$2,350,000.00) Two Million Three Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars for the 1998 Community Improvement Program 8.30354 School Capital Improvement Program.”

“Authorizing Bonds, Notes or Lease Purchases in the amount of \$200,000 for the 1998 CIP 5.10155 School/Park Improvement Program.”

“Authorizing Bonds, Notes or Lease Purchases in the amount of \$350,000 for the 1998 CIP 5.10176 West Memorial Field Improvements.”

“Authorizing Bonds, Notes or Lease Purchases in the amount of \$560,000 for the 1998 CIP 5.10258 Livingston Park Capital Improvements.”

“Authorizing Bonds, Notes or Lease Purchases in the amount of \$500,000 for the 1998 CIP 5.10263 Livingston Park Athletic Facility.”

“Authorizing Bonds, Notes or Lease Purchases in the amount of \$540,000 for the 1998 CIP 7.30279 Ammon Center Parking.”

“Authorizing Bonds, Notes or Lease Purchases in the amount of \$2,100,000 for the 1998 CIP 5.10291 Riverwalk Planning & Development.”

“Authorizing Bonds, Notes or Lease Purchases in the amount of \$12,500,000 for the 1998 CIP 7.30281 Terminal Expansion Project.”

“Authorizing Bonds, Notes or Lease Purchases in the amount of \$750,000 for the 1998 CIP 7.30282 Construct Interim Parking Lot.”

“Authorizing Bonds, Notes or Lease Purchases in the amount of \$8,000,000 for the 1998 CIP 7.30286 Runway 6/24 Extension.”

“Authorizing Bonds, Notes or Lease Purchases in the amount of \$200,000 for the 1998 CIP 7.50101 Sidewalk Construction Program.”

“Authorizing Bonds, Notes or Lease Purchases in the amount of \$190,000 for the 1998 CIP 8.20411 Telephone System Upgrade.”

“Authorizing Bonds, Notes or Lease Purchases in the amount of \$600,000 for the 1998 CIP 8.30341 City Hall Security/Audio/Visual/ Other.”

“Authorizing Bonds, Notes or Lease Purchases in the amount of \$2,350,000 for the 1998 CIP 8.30354 School Capital Improvement Program.”

On motion of Alderman Wihby, duly seconded by Alderman Clancy, it was voted to dispense with the readings by title only.

Alderman Pariseau moved that the Resolutions ought to pass and lay over. Alderman Reiniger duly seconded the motion. There being none opposed the motion carried.

Mayor Wieczorek addressed item 8 of the agenda:

Amending CIP resolutions and bond resolutions relating to the relocation of Harvey Road Airport project:

“Amending the 1998 Community Improvement Program, authorizing and appropriating funds in the amount of \$1,782,500.00 for the 1998 CIP 7.30285 Relocate Harvey Road at Rte. 28.”

“Authorizing Bonds, Notes or Lease Purchases in the amount of \$1,782,500 for the 1998 CIP 7.30285 Relocate Harvey Road at Rte. 28.”

On motion of Alderman Pariseau, duly seconded by Alderman Girard, it was voted to dispense with the readings of the resolutions.

Alderman Wihby moved that the Resolutions ought to pass and lay over. Alderman Sysyn duly seconded the motion. The motion carried with none recorded in opposition.

Alderman Hirschmann commented that in the Accounts Committee they had approved five travel requests that had not come before the Board. It was noted that the approval was within the jurisdiction of the Committee and need not come to the Board.

There being no further business to come before the Committee, on motion of Alderman Wihby, duly seconded by Alderman O’Neil, it was voted to adjourn the meeting.

A True Record. Attest.

Clerk of Committee