

COMMITTEE ON TRAFFIC/PUBLIC SAFETY

January 21, 1997

6:30 PM

Chairman Sysyn called the meeting to order.

The Clerk called the roll.

Present: Alderman Sysyn, Reiniger, Soucy, Domaingue, Robert

3. Ordinance amendment submitted by the Deputy City Clerk:
"Amending an Ordinance Regulating Traffic Upon the Public Streets of the City of Manchester relative to penalties for certain vehicular traffic and pedestrian violations."

Chairman Sysyn noted that a portion of this ordinance was something returning because it hadn't been done correctly. The clerk advised this was correct, that it was a housekeeping issue more than anything else.

Alderman Reiniger commented on item 8 relative to inconsistency in enforcement. Alderman Robert noted item 8, item 7, and item 4 also dealt with preferential enforcement, noting perhaps there was a need to clarify certain things to get the results they were looking for.

Clerk Johnson stated that she thought the letters were addressing enforcement issues, and she was not trying to slight that in any way, but the ordinance was in essence presented to reaffirm everything that is on the books and trying to redo what the committee had done at its last meeting. Clerk Johnson noted that all the parking violations and so forth laid forth in the ordinance was what was on the books now, that she had rewritten the section so that everything would be together in one section, which simplifies it for a person who for example gets a parking ticket and comes in the penalty section can be referenced all in one section instead of stating you need to look here, here, here, and here. Clerk Johnson noted that it lowered the fine for pedestrians to what the committee had approved at its last meeting; that the problem was that the police department had submitted it under what we term the black book, and it was a traffic ordinance violation and it was preferred to have the penalties in the traffic ordinance for clarity. Clerk Johnson

noted that they would be working on the traffic ordinance and be bringing it into the committee hopefully within the next six months they would get a whole new one to look at, and in the meantime they would like to get that section cleaned up so that it was altogether. Clerk Johnson noted that there were two items that were passed by the Board and placed in the black book and should have been put in the traffic ordinance.

On motion of Alderman Soucy, duly seconded by Alderman Reiniger, it was voted to approve the ordinance and forward for referral to the Committee on Bills on Second Reading.

4. Communication from John Gancarz, requesting the City correct a serious problem at the intersection of Huse and Weston Roads.

Alderman Robert moved for discussion. Alderman Domaingue seconded the motion.

Lt. Tessier stated that on the 9th of this month Officer Harrington of his Division went and spent some time with him; that there is a problem at this intersection, Officer Harrington had been spending some time there on a regular basis and in the evening hours they had done a directed patrol which the route officer had been spending some time; that further recommendation from Officer Harrington and it would be submitted to Highway so they can look at it is that the intersection should have some sort of granite curbing so it would be more of a deliberate turn. Lt. Tessier stated that there was no question that there was a problem there; that Officer Harrington stated when he was in the house with him for about a half hour he saw a couple of violations and then was out enforcing it subsequent to the meeting with the gentlemen.

Alderman Domaingue commented that this location was in ward 8 and she had spoken with Mr. Gancarz and this was a problem that had been ongoing for 15 or 20 years; that her understanding was that the Highway Department was going to address next year. Mr. Tierney advised that they were seeking funds to address this issue in Fiscal Year 1998. Alderman Domaingue stated that in the meantime it was very serious and they were grateful that the officer got to see first hand more than just a couple of violations, one clocked at 61 miles per hour on Huse Road, clearly there was a need there for some kind of continual enforcement.

Alderman Domaingue stated that her concern would be that at the budget time that this particular intersection, which has partial funding from the Mall of New Hampshire, will get support from the entire Board because it truly needed some correction.

Alderman Domaingue asked that Highway address the type of correction as it related to Mr. Gancarz's recommendation. Mr. Tierney stated that he had not read the letter to see what the solution was, but he believed they were looking at a solution similar to the intersection of Mammoth Road and Candia Road with a traffic island and signalization. Alderman Domaingue noted that Mr. Gancarz had proposed going to the left of the church and connecting to the street which connected to the back entrance to the School of Technology, and he had also proposed closing off that end of Weston Road which she felt they would get a lot of reaction from the neighbors on.

Mr. Tierney advised that they would have to look at it.

Alderman Soucy noted that she had seen a vehicle stopped and that enforcement was apparently making a difference, and she had been pleased to see them enforcing in this area be aware of the problems at this intersection.

Alderman Domaingue moved that they send a letter to Mr. Gancarz letting him know that the committee reviewed it with the departments present and there will be future correction to that intersection. Alderman Soucy seconded the motion. The motion carried.

5. Communication from Thomas King, St. Patrick's Parade Committee President, requesting permission to paint a giant shamrock near the intersection of Elm and Hanover Streets in conjunction with the St. Patrick's Day Parade scheduled for March 9, 1997.

On motion of Alderman Soucy, seconded by Alderman Domaingue, it was voted to approve the request.

6. Communication from Susan Lacourse, Villa Crest, Inc., requesting the placement of signs at several locations near Candia Road and Hanover Street as outlined in the enclosed.

Alderman Robert moved for discussion. Alderman Domaingue seconded the motion.

Discussion ensued relative to the number of signs that could potentially exist throughout the city. Mr. Lolicata noted that while the entity paid for the initial sign, Traffic had to put them up and maintain them afterward feeling they had to stop.

Alderman Reiniger noted that he had receive a call from the Northeastern History of Whole Health on Bridge Street who were upset that they had been turned down for signs. Alderman Sysyn noted the advertising of a business. Alderman Reiniger responded that they had indicated that there were a school of massage therapy.

Discussion ensued relative to a policy distinguishing private companies. Alderman Sysyn noted the concern of advertising businesses. Clerk Johnson noted that in the past the committee had turned down private businesses and pretty much followed a policy relating to public sector, churches, etc. being approved but private businesses not, the closest exception being the signage in the millyard area as a result of the state-tied in request for signage on the highway, and the discussion was related to the entire millyard not one business.

On motion of Alderman Robert, duly seconded by Alderman Soucy, it was voted to deny the request.

7. Communication from Harold Levine expressing concern regarding the enforcement of the City's laws.

Alderman Robert moved for discussion. Alderman Reiniger seconded the motion.

Alderman Robert stated that he had discussed the situation with Mr. Lavine, and noted that it appeared that this situation had not been resolved, and asked if this man had a legitimate gripe. Alderman Robert stated that if he did it should be fixed, and if he didn't what was the problem was it a matter of exception as opposed to an actual discretion, the gentlemen seemed to think he was being treated differently than other people and quite honestly he thought there was more than one individual in the city of Manchester who feels that way.

Mr. Lolicata noted that the Traffic Department was mentioned and he had no knowledge of a problem with his department.

Mr. Levine concurred it was not Mr. Lolicata's department he had been referencing the Traffic Division of the Police Department.

Lt. Tessier stated that he had not spoken with Mr. Levine in quite some time but each and every time Mr. Lavine had spoken to him he had acted on what he was asked to do. Lt. Tessier stated that unequivocally the president of the Patrolman's Union is not running the Police Department. Lt. Tessier stated he felt that they did their job very effectively, they work as hard as they can to enforce the laws of Manchester and did not feel that they were corrupt and thought that this letter put that sort of slant on this whole situation. Lt. Tessier stated that he did not think that Mr. Levine could say that he had not contacted him each and every time that Mr. Levine had called him.

Mr. Levine stated that he had only spoken with Lt. Tessier possibly once, most of the times he had spoke with Sgt. Lussier. Lt. Tessier stated that he had been to his business on several occasions. Mr. Levine stated that the only time Lt. Tessier had come to his business was the time that somebody called and Lt. Tessier had gotten a hold of the Highway Department within an hour and staked out his real estate and found that there was a trailer parked a foot and a half on city property, and within 24 hours he had moved it. Lt. Tessier stated that was true he had moved it. Mr. Levine stated that after that he had spoken with Lt. Tessier about the problems on Lake Ave and Union Street, and other problems and not one had been corrected. Lt. Tessier stated that was not true.

Alderman Robert asked Mr. Levine what precisely is the problem.

Mr. Levine responded the problem seems to be that I over the last couple of years for some reasons was singled out a couple of times and each time the police department made sure they sent the Highway Department down to stake out my real estate, whether it was on Pine Street or whether it was one Valley Street. Mr. Levine stated at the same time I don't think Lt. Tessier was with traffic then, maybe he was, there was a different Lieutenant, I don't think I have to mention his name, the problem was I was being staked out but yet around the corner various garages were parking tractor trailers with no plates on Merrill Street, and I was told because they are car dealers or garages they don't need plates to park unregistered vehicles on city streets, this was by a previous Lieutenant that is now a Captain. Mr. Levine stated since then, when I have, I met Lt. Tessier once and perhaps Sgt. Lussier once, I went up, had a talk with the new chief because I had problems with the old Chief and I went up and I met and they said what are your complaints and then the only thing I've called even the deputy and everybody refers me back to the officer of the president of the police union and it seems as though there are RSA's that aren't being enforced in the City of Manchester about

various vehicles being parked on the sidewalks, about commercial vehicles being parked on city street overnight and it was ridiculous.

Alderman Robert asked, when Mr. Levine says stake out his real estate did he mean people were watching his property. Mr. Levine responded no, he meant the stakes that the highway department put down. Mr. Levine stated that the last complaint with this trailer my deed showed that the city had taken 10 feet to line up Valley Street, so I thought I was in my right to have the trailer angled the way it was figuring it was my property. Mr. Levine stated that for some reason the Lieutenant, we weren't even called, my wife and I went out to lunch one day we came back and here is stakes from Pine Street all the way up to Merrimack Street Garage where my property ends. I called the police department and I was told it was a noise call, they don't know who it was, but they have to act on everything -- in the interim they called the highway department who sent down a crew for surveyor one, two three, within an hour, I don't even think it was an hour. Mr. Levine stated the whole thing was they found out that they only use five feet of widening Valley Street from Pine to Union rather than 10 so that I was wrong and that trailer was a foot and a half on city property, within 24 hours I moved it.

Alderman Robert stated so you are here because the city took some of your property by eminent domain or something.

Mr. Levine stated no, I'm here because all of a sudden they act supposedly I was told that something has to be in writing or something for the police department to act on something maybe I'm wrong, I'm saying they acted so quickly to not knowing who it was that made the complaint against me at my place of business and to call the highway department and this was all done possibly within an hour while I was out to lunch with my wife, then when I called and said these are what I think are wrong where the same businesses in my area can park vehicles unregistered never get tagged, they can park on sidewalks in the inner city of my area and yet they won't act on it, the Lieutenant says yea, they act on Lake Ave and Union, I say no because there area always two to three vehicles parked and its like two big garages beside that look like junkyards in there. Mr. Levine stated this is my feeling and it seems in the morning you have cruisers either they are getting off duty or going on duty and it seems to be a place for them to have their coffee or whatever one of the particular markets.

Chairman Sysyn noted that Lt. Tessier wished to speak but she did not wish it to end up in a free for all.

Lt. Tessier stated I fully acknowledges that I am the one responsible for having his trailer moved. I received a call and I acted on it to see if it was on city property

before I took any action, no doubt about it, and he did move it almost immediately.

Alderman Robert stated that Mr. Levine was saying that he was being treated differently than the other businesses.

Lt. Tessier stated my first contact with Mr. Levine was that there was a complaint about vehicles parking on the sidewalk, some of the car dealers. I immediately left his business and went up and told them to back the cars up, which I did, and it was true that later that day I did receive a call saying that his trailer was on city property and it is no longer there. I don't believe that he is being treated, I mean I haven't spoken to Mr. Levine in at least a year now. Mr. Levine concurred that it was every bit of that.

Chairman Sysyn commented that she thought that by Mr. Levine getting together with Mr. Driscoll he had solved some of these problems. Mr. Levine stated I thought we did but evidently, Mike's answer to me was "you want me to let everybody know that you're the big complainer and use your name" I said you don't have to you have RSA's that say no commercial vehicles should be parked on city streets overnight and I think Section 5 says about parking on city sidewalks in your traffic ordinance.

Chairman Sysyn stated she had to differ on one thing because she had people in her neighborhood complaining about being tagged in backstreets, so they are doing when they see it and she did not know how much help they had for this enforcement but she had received complaints in her ward, so she knew it was being enforced in other areas, not just in Mr. Levine's area. Chairman Sysyn stated she did not know how to resolve this.

Alderman Domaingue stated she would like to hear from Highway but noted in looking at the letter dated December 16 and I'm hearing Mr. Levine acknowledge that he hasn't had a conversation, except for the chief, with the traffic division which is directly responsible for this kind of enforcement. Mr. Levine stated no, that was with the Lieutenant, I've had conversations with the Sergeant. Alderman Domaingue stated as a committee member they needed to be more informed as to whether or not the police department is improving relations when they have to do these unpleasant tasks in the city of Manchester.

Mr. Tierney stated that they did get a call to have them stake out the right of way, and they had a survey crew come in about a half hour after they received the call. Mr. Tierney noted that it was only an half hour job so they sent them down there before they sent to do their next job.

Alderman Domaingue asked if they had placed the stakes so Mr. Levine would know where the right of way was and where he could legally park whatever vehicles he wanted to park. Mr. Tierney responded affirmatively, noting it was not often they got criticized for doing their work effectively. Alderman Domaingue asked if they did this on a regular basis not notifying the property owner. Mr. Tierney responded whenever it was requested, yes. Alderman Domaingue stated so someone could anonymously request that stakes be put up without notifying the property owner. Lt. Tessier responded that he had requested it. Alderman Domaingue stated so the police department can request that stakes be marked out and placed there and the property owner does not have to be notified. Lt. Tessier stated that the reason he did that was to see if there was a violation, if there was no violation then there would be no reason to speak with him, if there was a violation which there was then I went to speak with him. Mr. Tierney noted that they will stake the city's right of way, they have lots of requests from Tom Lolicata at Traffic for example if there are shrubs planted in the right of way that could be an impairment to somebody moving in traffic or something and he wants to know where the right of way is so he can cut down the brush or whatever is in the way, it was something that Highway has done for years.

In response to questions, Lt. Tessier advised that there were RSA's, the traffic ordinance of the city, they dealt primarily with the traffic laws of the city when it came to parking enforcement.

Alderman Domaingue asked if Mr. Levine was satisfied that the issue has been brought before the committee. Mr. Levine responded yes. Alderman Domaingue asked if there was anything further he would like to see come out of this.

Mr. Levine stated that the only thing he would say because he had a copy of some regulations from the older book, about the different rules of parking on sidewalks, no commercial vehicles allowed on city streets overnight, so this was all he wanted to do was to bring it to their attention that they have these regulations and he felt they should be enforced a little more.

On motion of Alderman Domaingue, seconded by Alderman Soucy, it was voted to receive and file the communication from Mr. Levine.

8. Communication from Marc Scheer, suggesting that the City Solicitor be instructed to review enforcement procedures as they relate to the City's parking control ordinances.

Alderman Reiniger stated that there was reference to a court decision and he wished to hear from the solicitor on this. Lt. Tessier responded that there was a specific issue, and showed copies of the area where a parking ticket was issued. Lt. Tessier stated that the person contested the parking ticket and it was thrown out of court at that time. Lt. Tessier noted that the parking control officer had not been ticketing that spot because of the former court decision and when it was recently brought to his attention he had reviewed Section 56 of the code with the Solicitor's office. Lt. Tessier noted that the section is specific about marking in the street and they had chose to reinstitute it and instructed the parking control officers to enforce this ordinance section. Lt. Tessier stated that both he and the solicitor were comfortable with the same decision not coming down in the future.

In response to questions, Lt. Tessier reviewed a photograph of the parking space and noting that the section required that the lines be drawn for a parking space to be there. Lt. Tessier noted that the area in question was not a parking space and was not made to be a parking space so that when people pull out of the alley they can see.

Alderman Domaingue questioned whether the area should be clearly marked for no parking asking if it were. Lt. Tessier responded that it was not marked now and felt it should not be noting that they could find a thousand of these spots in the downtown area which they would ticket if there were a violation.

Alderman Domaingue felt that the reason the judge may have thrown it out was because it was not marked that it was n to a parking space. Lt. Tessier disagreed with this view and reviewed sections of law. Discussion ensued where Alderman Soucy noted that there was no meter and the space was not marked as a parking space concurring with Lt. Tessier's view. Other state laws were referenced relative to taking a driver's test in the state of New Hampshire.

Clerk Johnson noted that she was not a lawyer, nor was she a police officer, but had dealt with the traffic ordinance to a great degree since 1988, assisting people in researching items so they could go to the court and argue a case against the city because it was her job to provide them with that information too. Clerk Johnson noted her concern with the Traffic ordinance would be that if they said to Tom that he had to mark every place that there is no parking, if it is an allowed area to park and you have a certain area from x to the corner she did not think the city could afford to put the signs up that it would have to cover the downtown area, she thought they may confuse the issue more by saying put something in this area if they did not do something uniformly throughout the city. Clerk Johnson commented the example of the aldermanic spaces where there were definite lines

on the pavement as to where the parking spaces are and it ends at so many feet before the end of the curbs for the next street and that was typical of that spot and many other spots, you would not put a no parking sign near the end of the area. Clerk Johnson commented that if they wanted to do something like that they might want the solicitor to review it.

Following brief discussion on motion of Alderman Reiniger, seconded by Alderman Soucy, it was voted to request Lt. Tessier to send a letter to Mr. Scheer advising of that he and the solicitor had reviewed the section of law relating to this space, and the city's intent to enforce the law.

9. Communication from William and Mary Thomas requesting the Board consider adopting an ordinance prohibiting smoking in the stands and to designate certain areas for smokers at Gill Stadium.

Alderman Robert moved for discussion. Alderman Reiniger seconded the motion.

Mr. Girard stated as a matter of point of order, this topic is normally something because it's a city facility that would be handled by the Committee on Lands and Buildings so they may want to refer it to the Committee on CIP. It was noted that it was referred by the Board to the Public Safety Committee. Mr. Girard stated it was neither a public safety or traffic issue. Members of the committee commented on second hand smoke.

Chairman Sysyn asked what the committee wished to do.

Alderman Reiniger moved to refer it to the CIP. The motion was not seconded but Alderman Soucy asked if they wanted to send it there with some sort of a recommendation noting it had been referred to this committee by the Board.

Alderman Domaingue asked what she wished to do. Alderman Soucy stated she would like to see the solicitor draft some language that was appropriate in the form of an ordinance. She thought it was a fantastic idea noting she found second hand smoke very offensive, she thought they tried to encourage people with families to go to Gill Stadium. Alderman Soucy commented she could recall going to high school games and having some guy with a cigar sit in the center area and blow smoke in peoples faces and she did not think that was appropriate; that she did not think they needed to put a total ban on smoking but they could designate an area that was appropriate for it.

Alderman Robert stated that he felt they had gone too far with this smoking business, people had been smoking ever since Columbus came to America and they were not going to stomp it out overnight, he was willing to talk about it and think about it some more but he did not think it was right. Alderman Robert noted that he was not a smoker.

Chairman Sysyn stated she was not a smoker either but agreed with Alderman Robert.

Alderman Reiniger asked if Alderman Soucy was saying she could support designated smoking areas. Alderman Soucy responded affirmatively noting that there was adequate ventilation if they put it to one side so it was not blowing in anyones face.

Alderman Reiniger stated he shared Alderman Soucy's feeling noting that he had experiences at games ruined because of the smoke.

Alderman Soucy moved that they forward this item to the solicitor for drafting of appropriate language for the ordinance. Alderman Reiniger seconded the motion. the motion carried with Alderman Robert recorded in opposition.

The clerk questioned if the intent was to send the draft ordinance to the CIP Committee or back to the Traffic Committee. Alderman Soucy stated that it should come back to the Traffic/Public Safety Committee. Other members concurred that it should return to the Traffic/Public Safety Committee.

10. Chairman Sysyn advised that the Traffic Department has submitted an agenda which needs to be addressed as follows:

RESCIND FLASHING SPEED 20 SCHOOL ZONE:

ON GOFFS FALLS ROAD, NORTH SIDE, EAST OF BILDOR DRIVE -
WESTBOUND
ALDERMAN DOMAINGUE

RESCIND PARKING 1 HOUR:

ON WILSON STREET, WEST SIDE, FROM SOMERVILLE STREET TO A
POINT 50 FEET SOUTH OF HARVARD STREET
ALDERMAN SHEA

RESCIND PARKING 1 HOUR (DURING SCHOOL HOURS)

UNLIMITED:

ON YOVILLE STREET, WEST SIDE, FROM DEXTER STREET TO A
POINT 180 FEET NORTH OF MASON STREET
ALDERMAN HIRSCHMANN

RESCIND PARKING FOR HANDICAP ONLY:

ON SAGAMORE STREET, SOUTH SIDE, FROM A POINT 170 FEET EAST
OF PINE STREET TO A POINT 30 FEET EASTERLY
ALDERMAN REINIGER

INSTALL FLASHING SPEED 20 SCHOOL ZONE:

ON GOFFS FALLS ROAD, NORTH SIDE, EAST OF WESTWOOD DRIVE,
WESTBOUND
ALDERMAN DOMAINGUE

PARKING 1 HOUR (DURING SCHOOL HOURS):

ON YOVILLE STREET, EAST SIDE, FROM MASON STREET TO DEXTER
STREET
ALDERMAN HIRSCHMANN

NO TRUCKS ALLOWED:

ON SWEET LANE, FROM BERNARD STREET TO LOCKWOOD AVENUE
ALDERMAN CASHIN

On motion of Alderman Robert, seconded by Alderman Soucy, it was voted to
approve the Traffic Agenda as submitted.

11. Chairman Sysyn advised that the Traffic Department has submitted a second agenda will needs to be addressed as follows:

PARKING 10 HOUR METERS (2 HOUR MINIMUM @ .50/HOUR)

On Bridge Street, North Side, from Union Street to Pine Street	9 meters
On Bridge Street, South Side, from a point 145 feet east of Chestnut Street to Pine Street	8 meters
On Bridge Street, South Side, from Pine Street to Union Street	16 meters
On High Street, North Side, from Union Street to Pine Street	16 meters
On High Street, South Side, from Chestnut Street to Pine Street	8 meters
On Amherst Street, South Side, from Pine Street to Union Street	15 meters
On Central Street, North Side, from Pine Street to Chestnut Street	7 meters
On Central Street, South Side, from Chestnut Street to Pine Street	5 meters
On Lake Avenue, North Side, from Pine Street to Chestnut Street	11 meters
Hartnett Parking Lot - The Entire Parking Lot	
Fleet Bank Garage - on the East Side (Kosciuszko Street) of the Garage	
On Pine Street, East Side, from Lake Avenue to Central Street	5 meters
On Pine Street, East Side, from Central Street to Laurel Street	5 meters
On Pine Street, East Side, from Laurel Street to Merrimack Street	3 meters
On Pine Street, East Side, from Merrimack Street to Monadnock Ln.	5 meters
On Pine Street, East Side, from Manchester Street to Hanover Street	4 meters
On Pine Street, West Side, from Lake Avenue to Central Street	8 meters
On Pine Street, East Side, from Lowell Street to High Street	5 meters

PARKING 2 HOUR METERS @ .50/HOUR

On High Street, South Side, from Pine Street to Lowell Street	15 meters
On Chestnut Street, East Side, from Lowell Northback Street to Lowell Street	2 meters
On Chestnut Street, East Side, from Concord Street to Amherst St.	9 meters
On Chestnut Street, East Side, from Amherst Street to Hanover St.	11 meters
On Chestnut Street, East Side, from Central Street to Lake Avenue	7 meters
On Chestnut Street, West Side, from Lowell Street to Concord St.	6 meters
On Chestnut Street, West Side, from Amherst Street to Hanover St.	6 meters
On Chestnut Street, West Side, from Hanover Street to Manchester Street	5 meters
On Chestnut Street, West Side, from Manchester Street to Merrimack Street	7 meters
On Chestnut Street, West Side, from Merrimack Street to Central St.	11 meters
On Chestnut Street, West Side, from Central Street to Lake Avenue	5 meters

On Pine Street, East Side, from Merrimack Street to Monadnock Ln.	5 meters
On Pine Street, East Side, from Manchester Street to Hanover Street	4 meters
On Lowell Street, North Side, from Elm Street to Kosciuszko Street	9 meters
On Lowell Street, North Side, from Kosciuszko Street to Chestnut St.	8 meters
On Lowell Street, North Side, from Chestnut Street to Pine Street	6 meters
On Lowell Street, North side, from Pine Street to Union Street	15 meters
On Lowell Street, South Side, from Elm Street to Chestnut Street	19 meters
On Lowell Street, South Side, from Chestnut Street to Pine Street	10 meters
On Concord Street, North Side, from Union Street to a point 295 feet east of Pine Street	6 meters
On Concord Street, North Side, from Pine Street to Chestnut Street	8 meters
On Concord Street, North Side, from Chestnut Street to Vine Street	8 meters
On Concord Street, South Side, from Pine Street to Chestnut Street	10 meters
On Concord Street, South Side, from Chestnut Street to Vine Street	10 meters
On Vine Street, West Side, from Concord Street to a point 115 feet north of Amherst Street	12 meters
On Amherst Street, South Side, from Elm Street to Chestnut Street	10 meters
On Amherst Street, South Side, from Chestnut Street to Pine Street	3 meters
On Amherst Street, North Side, from Chestnut Street to Pine Street	8 meters
On Hanover Street, North Side, from Beech Street to Union Street	18 meters
On Hanover Street, North Side, from Chestnut Street to Nutfield Ln.	14 meters
On Hanover Street, South Side, from Nutfield Ln. to Chestnut Street	11 meters
On Hanover Street, South Side, from Chestnut Street to Pine Street	8 meters
On Hanover Street, South Side, from Pine Street to Union Street	10 meters
On Manchester Street, North Side, from Elm Street to Chestnut St.	19 meters
On Manchester Street, South Side, from Elm Street to Chestnut St.	12 meters
On Merrimack Street, North Side, from Chestnut Street to Elm St.	14 meters
On Merrimack Street, South Side, from Elm Street to Chestnut St.	21 meters
On Central Street, North Side, from Chestnut Street to Elm Street	39 meters
On Central Street, South Side, from Elm Street to Chestnut Street	14 meters
On Lake Avenue, North Side, from Chestnut Street to Manhattan Lane	14 meters
On Kosciuszko Street, East Side, from Lowell Street to Bridge St.	10 meters
Fleet Bank Garage, West Side (Elm Street) of the Garage	

RESCINDS

PARKING 1 HOUR (8AM-6PM):

ON BRIDGE STREET, SOUTH SIDE, FROM A POINT 145 FEET EAST OF CHESTNUT STREET TO PINE STREET

ON CONCORD STREET, NORTH SIDE, FROM UNION STREET TO A POINT 295 FEET EAST OF PINE STREET

ON PINE STREET, EAST SIDE, FROM LOWELL STREET TO HIGH STREET

ON PINE STREET, BOTH SIDES, FROM LAKE AVENUE TO CENTRAL STREET

ON PINE STREET, EAST SIDE, FROM CENTRAL STREET TO MERRIMACK STREET

ON PINE STREET, EAST SIDE, FROM MERRIMACK STREET TO MONADNOCK LANE

ON PINE STREET, EAST SIDE, FROM MANCHESTER STREET TO HANOVER STREET

PARKING 2 HOURS (8AM-6PM):

ON HIGH STREET, SOUTH SIDE, FROM PINE STREET TO UNION STREET
ON LAKE AVENUE, NORTH SIDE, FROM PINE STREET TO CHESTNUT STREET

NO PARKING ANYTIME:

ON VINE STREET, WEST SIDE, FROM CONCORD STREET TO A POINT 115 FEET NORTH OF AMHERST STREET

In response to question from Alderman Soucy, Mr. Lolicata advised that the only minimum hour requirements had been the 10 hour meters and that they were hoping to get coverage from the Union Leader on this issue to educate the public. Mr. Lolicata noted that on those meters people would have to put in four quarters before the two hour sign would come up, and then it would be 25 cents per hour, but if someone put in three quarters they would not get any time on the meter. Mr. Lolicata noted that on a two hour meter if they put a quarter in they would get 30 minutes anyway because it was a straight 50 cents per hour. There were a some meters currently with minimums mostly in the millyard, but of a different sense.

Alderman Reiniger noted that he had received calls from some small businesses in the area.

Alderman Sysyn noted that she had also received calls; that it was the owners of the businesses that wanted to park out there all day, and she had suggested that they speak with Yash and the Chateau to see if he would rent them a space cheaper than it would cost to be on the street.

On motion of Alderman Robert, duly seconded by Alderman Soucy, it was voted to approve rescinding said meters effective upon installation of new meter heads and to approve new regulations for areas described. Alderman Reiniger was recorded in opposition.

TABLED ITEMS

12. Communication from Alderman Reiniger submitting proposed revisions to existing City ordinances.
(Tabled 2/26/96)
13. Communication from former Chief Favreau, requesting consideration that 10-hour meters along the south side of Manchester Street, between Pine Street and the driveway to the Police station be installed.
(Tabled 5/21/96)
14. Discussion with Highway and Police Departments regarding the development and/or recommendations for methods of slowing down traffic on certain neighborhood streets.
(Tabled 8/26/96)
15. Communication from Alderman Pariseau requesting the Committee rescind its previous recommendation relative to the installation of "Right Turn Only" signs at the intersection of Westwood and Donahue Drives; and further requesting that the "Right Turn Only" signs be installed at the intersection of Sherwood and Westwood Drives.
(Tabled 10/21/96)
16. Communication from Sister Barbara McLean, Principal, St. Joseph Regional Junior High School expressing concern over various issues pertaining to school bus service, the sidewalk in front of Pulaski Park, Special Services and parking meters.
(Tabled 10/21/96 pending further report from Traffic.)

17. Communication from Rick Gelinas regarding neighborhood parking problems in the residential area surrounding the Federal building.
(Tabled 11/19/96)
18. Communication from Marie Wingate submitting a petition on behalf of area residents requesting a 3-way traffic signals at the intersection of Bridge and Belmont Streets.
(Tabled 11/19/96)
19. Discussion with the Director of Planning relative to the traffic calming report.
(Tabled 12/17/96)

Items 12 through 19 remained on the table.

Alderman Reiniger advised he had received a call from Sister Barbara of Saint Joseph's School. Mr. Lolicata noted he had spoken with Sister Barbara advising that the meters were going to be put forth, he noted that he had put 10 hour meters in that area, an option would be to put validation stickers which would open a Pandora's box.

Discussion ensued relative to the possibility of a loading zone to unload the books, etc. Within discussion Mr. Lolicata noted that Sister Barbara was asking for 20 spaces because they did not want to pay for the meters and did not want to park in the garage or lots. It was noted that other schools and businesses were making requests.

Alderman Reiniger indicated he would speak with Sister Barbara and see if something could be worked out for a loading zone.

There being no further business to come before the committee, on motion of Alderman Robert, duly seconded by Alderman Soucy, it was voted to adjourn.

A True Record. Attest.

Clerk of Committee