

COMMITTEE ON TRAFFIC/PUBLIC SAFETY

July 16, 1996

6:15 PM

Chairman Sysyn called the meeting to order.

The Clerk called the roll.

PRESENT: Alderman Sysyn, Reiniger, Soucy, Domaingue

ABSENT: Alderman Robert

MESSRS.: Chief Driscoll, Alderman Cashin, Tom Lolicata, Sgt. Mark Lussier, Richard Girard, Anthony Cogliano, R. Davis, R. Kearney, Rich Davis, Arthur W Ketchum

Chairman Sysyn addressed item #3 on the agenda:

Ratify and confirm poll conducted June 25, 1996 relative to items outlined below:

- (a) Communication from Sgt. Lussier requesting the closure of the Notre Dame Bridge on July 3, 1996 for the annual fireworks display; and
- (b) Communication from Helen Martineau, requesting permission to utilize Stark Landing on Sunday, June 30, 1996 from 8:00 AM until completion on that day for an emergency vehicle operations course.

Chairman Sysyn stated that a motion was in order to ratify and confirm the polls conducted.

On motion of Alderman Reiniger, duly seconded by Alderman Domaingue, it was voted ratify and confirm the poll conducted June 25, 1996 approving the requests.

Chairman Sysyn addressed item #4 on the agenda:

Communication from Alderman Cashin submitting proposed

ordinances as follows:

Sec. 17-26. Nudity in Places Selling Alcoholic Beverages.

Sec. 17-27. Exotic/Unusual Entertainment in Places Selling Alcoholic Beverages.

Alderman Domaingue moved for discussion. Alderman Domaingue asked if there was any one present from the City Solicitor's office.

Chairman Sysyn replied no there was not.

Alderman Domaingue asked if we could here from Alderman Cashin. Alderman Domaingue asked Alderman Cashin if he drafted this up or did the Solicitor's Office.

Alderman Cashin responded that the Solicitor's Office in conjunction with the Police Department.

Alderman Domaingue stated that she needed to hear more.. Alderman Domaingue said she was looking at something that should be Constitutionally impossible, but was willing to listen.

Alderman Cashin commented that in August of 1992 the Board passed a resolution regarding certain types of entertainment in establishments and noted opposition to having establishments licensed for certain types of entertainment. He noted that the Police Commission was in oppositin witht his type of activity it he clubs for a variety of reasons.

Alderman Domaingue stated she wanted it to be understood that she was supportive of the intent of what is trying to be accomplished. The statement that I read in the first Section 17-26 in the first paragraph "...rather to deter those of low morals from imposing their lack of morals upon the rest of the community." Is there any kind of statement, your welcome to correct me if I am wrong, that can be interpreted in several ways. In terms of whose morals are low, what stage is low, what is the definition of low. Do you understand what I am saying? Alderman Domaingue said that she was not trying to be picky. This jumps out at me and I would be happy to defer to my colleague on this Committee who is an Attorney as something that could really have its day in court. In terms of measuring a degree of standard morals, do you understand why I am getting at? Alderman Domaingue stated that she would love to pass this, but I do not want to pass something that is going to end us being in court. I guess that is what I am saying, wasting a lot of Community resources when it could be cleaned up before we get to that point.

Chief Driscoll said that he agreed that there was not a question and he thought that the City needed to take a position. taken a position In which the Commission has asked us to grant people licenses who in fact we found out that these are entertainment opportunities were people participate and in a way to show the most skin. I am going to take off on a tinier and the more you parade around the more likely you are to win. That's what this is all about we have gone through the tiny tulip contest, dare the bear contest, the wet T-shirt contest, the skimpy Miss Bikini contest, on and on. When all they are is a catch all. That's the whole, we believe the Commission has given the authority to in fact look at these things. We think if the City does not cease the opportunity to do that, they will be making a mistake.

Alderman Domaingue said she had another question Madam Chairman. Under Section 17-27 item 2, Prohibition, segment b, It being unlawful for any person to engage or participate in any exotic/unusual entertainment in any location where alcoholic beverages are served to the general public unless that business entity has first received written approval from the Chief of Police or his designee?

Chief Driscoll said absolutely. It has always been that way that the Chief of Police be the person that signs the permit for entertainment places.

Alderman Domaingue said that she read this as if the Chief of Police thinks it's okay they can do it. I think the intent here is that we do not want them doing it. That is what raised the question in my mind.

Chief Driscoll said that if she read on. Under the #3, Definition, section b, what exotic/unusual entertainment is. It tells you that all of those activities that are included. It is the position of the Police Commissioner and Chief Favreau to not sign on these.

Alderman Domaingue stated that since these contests are not going to leave us with a nuhamous New Hampshire pageant, in trouble.

Chief Driscoll said no it was not. If in fact, the entertainment resolves around sex or skin, things like that we refer to the Statute.

Alderman Domaingue stated that just for the record she would support this just because of the intent. I have a question as to whether the ACLO is going to have a field day with this.

Chairman Sysyn asked if they changed some of the wording would you be more apt to feel more comfortable.

Alderman Domaingue replied that if it came out of the City Solicitor's office. I guess they are going to bear the brunt of whatever criticism comes down if this ends up in court.

Chairman Sysyn noted that probably end up in court.

Alderman Domaingue stated that she assumed it would end up in court.

Chairman Sysyn recognized Mr. Girard.

Mr. Girard stated that he had some background from 1992. The Mayor's office brought the original resolution of which this ordinance was based back in 1992. If you recall 'Sex Shops' started opening in the City. Towns like Londonderry and Hooksett had problems with nude dancing bars and things opening up. The resolution was drafted pursuant to State Liquor Commission laws or regulations that provided Communities with the opportunity to have application of what was standards. In other words, if we had a resolution on file with the State Liquor Commission, they would have some sort of a check off system whereby the City through the Police Department would have to say okay to a particular type of entertainment before a Liquor license was issued. The State Liquor Commission was not always efficient in following that system. It caused some problems. Since the Chief has brought to your attention, the rules and regulations have changed and it is my recollection from the discussions I had with members of the State Liquor Commission and other areas in the State. The local Community standard is define exactly with something like this. Basically the Supreme Court leaves it to Cities and Towns hands to set the standard that has to be applied. This is what we did in 1992 as the rules of that time allowed us to do. It's taken to the steps that we can now changes of law. Mayor's office does support the matter.

Chairman Sysyn noted that the Mayor did support. When we did that Liquor thing that we did locally that Bar licensing are we still fighting that?

Mr. Girard responded yes.

Chairman Sysyn asked if it was still in Court?

Mr. Girard answered that it was scheduled.

Chairman Sysyn stated that the State says to you 'put that law in' so we enforce the law and then the State gives them a license anyway. How much strength is there?

Mr. Girard answered that the way the Bar licensing ordinance was supposed to work was an establishment was supposed to come to the City as the local control and the local check through the Bar License.

Chairman Sysyn replied she knew. It happened in her neighborhood.

Mr. Girard continued by saying that once seeing that the State Liquor Commission would either grant or not grant their Liquor License based on whether or not the City set. Mr. Girard stated that he didn't know the nature of the challenge, but again it is something we had on file with the Liquor Commission that didn't necessarily get enforced as we were told it would be.

Chairman Sysyn stated that was right. They told us to do something and then when we did they did not back us up.

Alderman Reiniger wanted to know on the 17-26 on nudity. I take it under State laws these activities are not permitted? Is this redundant on the State Law? Does this add to State Law or clarify?

Chief Driscoll said that the obscenity nude issue is so intangible and it would take some time to get a hold of. Alderman Domaingue is very right that this is an area that is a little ambiguous. It is something also that we believe is worthy of supporting Alderman and Tom Clark actually drafted and he did considerable research from other Communities to find out what kind of standards they were using.

Alderman Reiniger stated as an example, there is a restaurant chain called Hooters in the western part of the country. Are you familiar with that?

Alderman Domaingue asked what the name of it was?

Alderman Reiniger repeated Hooters. I have not been to one, but what I am told, would that violate.

Chief Driscoll replied certainly not. This is designed for people that take there clothes off and walk about without there bodies covered.

Chairman Sysyn asked if there had been any nude dancing in Clubs and that's why this proposed ordinance is here?

Chief Driscoll replied absolutely.

Chairman Sysyn asked totally nude dancing. Chairman Sysyn continued by stating that this is what brought this about.

Chief Driscoll said that they were not referring to anyone.

Chairman Sysyn noted not any special one.

Alderman Domaingue said she had a question, Madam Chairman. What happens when that occurs, do we have an enforcement position or no enforcement?

Chief Driscoll stated has there been totally nude dancing we will go back to that question? I would say it has been that close. Totally nude, there has been topless, there has been bottomless, I cannot say I have not been there. But certainly there is the type of conduct that you want to prevent. This is a brochure for a certain activity that is currently in Manchester at this time. This was given to us, it's very professional done but there is some photographs in here of the contest of the type that we are trying to prevent. In my opinion is inappropriate. I mean there is topless and that is the kind of activities that we want in Manchester.

Alderman Domaingue replied No. Alderman Domaingue also stated that believe her that he had her 100 percent support on that and so does Alderman Cashin. I would imagine you would have an unanimous vote of the Board. My concern is only from the standpoint that when you get into a position and you and I both know that the ACL review loves to jump all over these for the high publicity content. They come in the door and they say define low. The Mayor's office is here and there trying to say we are trying to set a standard they are going to walk into that court room you know, I know it and they are going to say define low morals.

Chairman Sysyn stated that you can be sure they are going to fight you on this one.

Chief Driscoll continued by saying that you and I have had conversation before about what is acceptable in Manchester, in other types of areas. I think it that it matters what there is in Nashua, Laconia or other communities as to the standard is here in Manchester. Chief Driscoll commented that it was incumbent of the aldermen to give the commission the authority to govern entertainment and protect the entertainment they wanted. Alderman Cashin noted that the ordinance would restrict the type of behavior that we don't want our young folks participating in.

Chairman Sysyn noted that loose morale comment. I would sue you on that one.

Alderman Domaingue agreed and to follow up on Alderman Reiniger question. The Liquor Commission may have given us their blessing but the Liquor Commission is governed by Statute on Legislation.

Chief Driscoll replied that they changed it. As of June 10 they changed the law, they said they no longer would look into a neutral entertainment and that was now. It was the responsibility of the Local Community. As a result of that we have now issued entertainment licenses, unrestricted entertainment licenses, who are all the folks in the bar scope. They told us that unless you have a regulation or ordinance that allows you to restrict entertainment and that we truly need some compliance. We can not help restrict that, in fact, you set the standard and we will support that.

Alderman Domaingue asked if they were going to pay the court cost? Alderman Domaingue said she had a final question. If this is the ordinance what is the penalty.?

Chief Driscoll replied that the penalty. First of all, if in fact they don't have a license to do that then the Liquor Commission is going to deal with it. There Liquor license will be in jeopardy as well as the liabilities of the policy.

Alderman Domaingue asked what the Manchester penalty would be if they are caught doing this kind of business without a permit or license?

Chief Driscoll replied \$1000 penalty fee.

Alderman Domaingue said that is it.

Chairman Sysyn stated that she thought they lost their license.

Chief Driscoll said that most importantly is the fact their Liquor License is in jeopardy.

Chairman Sysyn noted that the Liquor license is more important for them to lose than the \$1000 penalty.

Alderman Reiniger asked if the zoning approach was something that had been looked at? Or is that not possible?

Chairman Sysyn stated no, that it had to be what Chief Driscoll is saying. Years ago even if you had a belly dancer at a private party you had to have a special license to have that girl to come in. I think. Say that the Greek Club like the Athens use to do a belly dancer she has to come to the City now for a license not to the State. They do not do it now because they closed their entertainment.

Chief Driscoll said that the intent of this ordinance at this time is Clubs open to

Chairman Sysyn said that if the Athens Restaurant wanted to go back to Greek entertainment they would have to come for a special license from the City?

Mr. Girard said that he would like to answer Alderman Reiniger's question in regard to using zoning. We looked into that extensively back in 1992. We came up with two problems one the State does not recognize a zoning check off so people could go through and get licenses whether they are properly zoned.. The way Manchester zoning ordinances is structured they is allowed uses. You cannot go into a zoning ordinance and say well you cannot allow things in various zones. It's the same thing we ran into when we were doing the Sex Shop ordinance. We had to designate a zone within the City that type of activity could take place. We had our distances and restrictions and all of those other things. You basically have to if you want to even begin think of zoning, designate all of the zones where this activity can take place and try to regulate it that way. There is also the Zoning Board of Adjustment that could give a variance or special exception. Basically what you would be doing with this type of restaurant or establishment is saying that it can be in various business zones or in the ones currently owned. By right then you would be opening the entire City for this type of activity. I just cannot begin to think on how you would draft a zoning ordinance as we did with the Sex Shop ordinance to minimize the impact. That is just not a viable solution.

Alderman Reiniger said that Montreal has St. Catherine Street. I do not know what types of problem Montreal has but a lot of Cities have successful tourist areas. I am not saying we should have it, but I guess the choices here is we are totally proposing that as a policy, and that's fine.

Chairman Sysyn stated there is a lot of stuff at St. Catherine in Canada that's not legal.

Mr. Girard replied that this provision deals strictly with establishments that sell liquor. There were two zoning courses that Manchester could have taken with the Sex Shop ordinance. We could have created a Boston Combat Zone, which is by the way all but disappeared now. Or we could have taken a scatter approach which is what we did to try to isolate it so you don't fill up a zone. An establishment like this could go in the City of Manchester under the Sex Shop ordinance so long as they did not sell liquor. The hammer on this thing is the liquor. You can do this anywhere that the Sex Shop ordinance will allow you to do it as long as you do not sell liquor.

Chairman Sysyn stated that you could have nude dancing in Manchester as long as you do not sell liquor.

Mr. Girard replied under the Sex Shop Ordinance we have to allow it as long as they do not have liquor.

Chairman Sysyn noted that she did not know that.

Mr. Girard continued as long as they do not have liquor. The Sex Shop ordinance by the way draws the crazy type.

Chairman Sysyn asked where that was?

Mr. Girard replied the B4 zone. With the distances and the regulations there are maybe a half a dozen places they can locate.

Mr. Cogliano asked if this was open to the public.

Chairman Sysyn said that this is not open to the public. When it gets to the Full Board you will be able to see it happen.

Mr. Cogliano wanted to know if he could ask a question on this?

Chairman Sysyn responded that he could.

Mr. Cogliano said he would like to go back to the resolution a lot of the language seems to be put in here. If I look at 17-26 under definitions. 'Nudity under this section shall mean the showing of the human male or female genitals, pubic area, or buttocks.' If we are doing entertainment that clearly does not show nudity and we follow these guidelines to the book. Why are we not given permission from the City to those types of entertainment's.

Chairman Sysyn responded I do not know.

Mr. Cogliano interrupted by saying he had another question.

Alderman Domaingue asked if we could get an identification.

My name is Anthony Cogliano and I own The Coliseum. The book that Mark pulled out which was taken from my office. I did not know it was for him but you are free to keep it. A couple of things Bikini contest, why can't it be in a public place that serves alcohol. Even if I rented out the Juliet Room I could have strip shows in there. What is the difference?

Chairman Sysyn said that he is not talking about Bikini contest. He is talking about nudity.

Mr. Cogliano said no that is not what he is talking about. Because since 1992, even Mark Driscoll has not approved one request that we have asked. I have had shows, I have had very few shows, I own a Merry view show that I have not had in my place in over two years. One night Sgt. Lussier and a woman grabbed the guys' buttocks or whatever, so we discontinued that. But to have Bikini Contest or Bikini Fashion Show why would it not be acceptable in a public place that serves alcohol. But she raised the question of the Miss NH Pageant why can't they have it at the Holiday Inn Center of New Hampshire, they serve alcohol, why can they have a bathing suit contest then. Why can they have it at the Alpine Club if they wanted to have an entertainment vehicle.

Sgt. Lussier described the Miss NH swimsuit contest and continued by saying it's not like you would stuff money down into a string bikini it's the same bathing suit but the atmosphere is different. That is why you need somebody who will address each issue individually and determine what the event is going to be. His events are different than the events for like when you take a walk along the beach. I would add one more thing for the most part. The club owners in Manchester that I have communicated with are very happy with the way things are now. If somebody starts doing anything unusual, they all get upset. For the most part, very few exceptions, they do co-operate.

Alderman Domaingue said that she would like to note for the record since we are zeroing on swimsuits contest that the proposed ordinance does specify contest involving audience participation. Swimsuit contest of that nature such as described by Sgt. Lussier that would involve the audience, as opposed to a Miss NH that is a swimsuit contest. I do not think the audience gets up with the contestants on stage. There is a difference.

Mr. Cogliano said what is the difference, audiences is not getting on the stage?

Sgt. Lussier replied that one of the big differences is that you will not see Miss NH with a bikini that is this big on the top and strings this big on the bottom. That is the difference. We are absolutely talking about two different things.

Mr. Cogliano stated that is he would write a request to the City asking for permission to do a Bathing Suit Contest. The City wrote the guidelines as to what they had to wear. What type of top, what type of bottom, I have asked that in the past, response that I have gotten from Mark Driscoll is no. You can't just say no, because first of all, I took the City to court on Bar ordinance and I will definitely take the City to court on this ordinance.

Chairman Sysyn responded that we expect that you would.

Mr. Cogliano continued by saying that it did not make sense if you have guidelines and we follow them that you just say no. If you have a set of guidelines and they are being followed and he can say anything he wants, they are not doing this or they are not doing that. Which it's not true.

Chairman Sysyn said let us get Alderman Cashin and then we have to move along.

Alderman Cashin wanted to thank everyone first of all to allow him to speak since it is not a public hearing. Madam Chairman we would not be here if there was not a problem. The Police Department has more important things to do and certainly I do. It is a problem. You are all aware of it just as well as I am, it's no secret we know what is going on. All we are asking is for you to pass this ordinance submit to the Board let it come to Bills On Second Reading. If the Liquor Commission still had the authority and responsibility we wouldn't be here. When I had a problem last time, I went to the Police Department they said, I went to liquor there is nothing they can do about it. The Legislature has changed the Statute, so I came down and there is nothing we can do about it because we did not have enough teeth in the ordinance. All I am asking is to put the teeth in and we shouldn't be considered with a law that is going to be tested in court. Any law can be tested in court. Do not let that stop us from passing it.

Chairman Sysyn noted that it was not her intent to stop it.

The Clerk stated that there was a motion on the floor from Alderman Reiniger direct that it ought to pass.

Alderman Domaingue said she would second it so long as the record reflects that I am not comfortable not having enough information from the City Solicitor's office and I will seek that information on my own. I will second it so for purposes in passing it to the Full Board.

On motion Alderman Reiniger, duly seconded by Alderman Domaingue, it was voted to approve the ordinance for forwarding to the full Board of Mayor and Aldermen for referral to the Committee on Bills on Second Reading. Alderman Soucy was duly recorded as abstaining.

Chairman Sysyn called the next item on the agenda #5.

Communication from Alderman Wihby requesting the closure of the following streets due to increased volumes through residential areas as a result of motorists using such streets as shortcuts to and from major arteries:

Campbell Street at Hamel Drive;
Arah Street at Hamel Drive; and
Old Smyth Road at Smyth Road.

Alderman Domaingue moved for discussion. Alderman Reiniger seconded the motion.

Chairman Sysyn said she had a question on all these streets closing. What is your feeling, Mr. Lolicata on this.

Mr. Lolicata stated that first of all just looking at these three major arteries. I am against it naturally. I think that this is a national outbreak of the Pepperidge Drive deal. Let's be honest about this, I think if anything has to be done there has to be a purpose behind it and should be done by ordinance and by law and done right. In this particular case #5, I am definitely against the three of these. You would be choking off the whole City of Manchester.

Chairman Sysyn asked Mr. Lolicata in general how do you feel about any. This could open a whole thing.

Mr. Lolicata replied that in general the bottom line is any of these streets no matter what you do to it. It will come to court and the thing is these are public streets paid by the tax payer. That is the bottom line. If these things ever went to court all these things, I think that would be the most important issue that a lawyer or anybody deciding upon would say. Most of the things that we have passed the last 3 or 4 years on these streets. We have to realize that the tax payers paying for this. It is a public street. I think that would be the bottom line if all this went as far as court.

Chairman Sysyn asked how does the Police Department feel about this?

Chief Driscoll said they were opposed.

Alderman Domaingue said that she had no problem moving to deny but I do want to make a couple of comments before we go forward if you do not mind.

Alderman Domaingue moved to deny the closure of the streets as requested by Alderman Wihby. Alderman Soucy seconded the motion.

Alderman Domaingue remarked that the issues that here the Director of the Traffic Department talking about is very real about closing streets and tax payers paying for them. The issue is the volume of traffic and the type of street has to be considered here. I drove Campbell, Arah and Old Smyth Road. Campbell and Arah are definitely the width of a Goffs Falls Road that is 39 feet wide what I measure. A Pepperidge Drive is just about 30 feet wide with no sidewalks. Goffs Falls is 39 not including sidewalks. Arah and Campbell following that same designation. When I spoke with the Director of the Traffic Department I resurrected the question on what we were doing in the City in terms of defining how are streets are used for public traffic. We had at one point designated them as arterial or collectors or locals. Arterial is something such as our South Willow Street, a collector is Goffs Falls Road that connects South Willow Street to Brown Avenue that is also an arterial. Local street would have been the kind of streets that we have built into our neighborhoods. My quarrel is not with closing a street or not closing a street because I can live with that. My problem is that at least we have at the South end and I am sure in Alderman Soucy ward. We have generated the kind of development that has outpaced, as I said at the Full Board level, our ability to manage it. I think as a traffic committee and I think as a Traffic Committee we need to revisit the descriptions of what is an arterial or collectors. These have to be identified to the City of Manchester, which I don't believe has ever been done. Is that correct?

Mr. Lolicata responded that officially this was brought forth to Traffic Committee in 1984 by Monie Chairman. This had a deal with a stop sign at that time, believe it or not. It was never acted upon and it has been open since that time. He had done extensive surveys of the whole City to come up with this at that time. He did a lot of work then. To this day, I would say that 95% of it is still accurate. As far as what South Willow is, what Elm is, what Bridge is et cetera, et cetera. What you have in front of you is the major arteries are and what they are considered. I say to this time there is some minor changes after 10 years, you have a distinct feature in Manchester in what is arterial or collector and a regular street. I am pretty sure you are all aware of that. The only thing is that due to progress you have these streets they have intensified. Can you imagine be a resident of South Willow Street 15 years ago and today you are stuck with this. I mean that is what you call progress, either you live with it or you do not. I am not saying you can do something about this. There are some things you can do and there are some you just cannot. I was brought up on Beech Street, two-way street for years, then came Harvey at 40 miles an hour and that is it. The man from Webster Street and all of you other people can get down to the other end of town in half the time and a little safer than you did years ago going through 40 stop signs. That is to reason these things also to keep traffic moving now you are becoming a Metropolis, you are there.

Chairman Sysyn noted that she lived near Beech that is one way and near Maple. I am in between two one way and like 40 and 50 miles an hour.

Mr. Lolicata said that the only word he could think of Chairman Sysyn is saturation. This is the point where you have to do something with or let go.

Chairman Sysyn said traffic is there. My ward has I do not know how many streets that they shortcut through to go to Hanover or Bridge Street extension.

Alderman Domaingue stated that is why she was speaking to this. I think that this Committee ought to direct some departments to get together and see what can be done. In terms of identifying the roads as arterial collectors and the locals. Because while you may have progress and there is not question where there is saturation on some of these streets. I don't think when people move in to the City of Manchester that they want to here that they are moving into an area that the City won't have any sensitivity to in terms of it being a neighborhood. There option will be to move to suburbs around Manchester and you are going to lose a lot of protection within the City that your neighborhoods provide. Not only to themselves but to the businesses that are there. You are going to lose neighborhood quality that has been there all the time. I see it more often on this term than I did six years ago. People are really annoyed at the volume of the traffic, particularly in neighborhoods. On South Willow Street it's a given that is the way we rezoned it as a City. We did not rezone these neighborhoods to be business and industrial. These are residential neighborhoods and they should be respected for that, and if we as a Board can't do that then you are right, give it up. I cannot recommend for people to move to the City of Manchester if we cannot show some respect for maintaining neighborhoods and traffic is a big item. If we cannot close off streets, I think we have an obligation to address it. Do you have a recommendation Madam Chairman on how we can address this issue?

Chairman Sysyn responded that she herself did not like closing off streets. My opinion is that you do the best that you can with slow signs, and stop signs. You are right, people do not pay attention. I do not know what the answer is. I do not have a recommendation. Maybe there is someone in traffic that has better recommendations for me. I do not have the answer to this.

Mr. Kearney said that the State Statute RSA describes roads and the use of motor vehicle. I do not know how you can close these roads. People that live on them and I go and visit them what am I going to do? There are millions of cars around here. The people who live next to me have four cars. The kids graduate from High School they get a car. There is more volume of traffic and there is enough room for the cars. If they want to turn these

streets into a private road then these people should be deprived on any services that the general public has. That is plowing and sewage, I can't see closing streets. I know it is an inconvenience with people, but everybody is in the same boat.

Chairman Sysyn noted that he lived near Central High School and she knows she would never dare leave to go home when the kids are getting out of school. The parents are there blocking my driveway.

Jim stated that he and Mr. Lolicata sat on the Committee of the South Willow Street, Home Depot and that whole area. There was a gentleman there that handed out some brochures it was about a half a dozen pages. It gives you all different kinds of structural changes that you can make to the streets. Whether it is at an entry point, pillars or islands that would make them appear more residential. You can narrow it, give it a little zigzag half way down. There are things that you can do to slow traffic down to give it more of that neighborhood atmosphere. Maybe before closing off streets something like that could be examined. The brochure had a lot of good ideas.

Mr. Lolicata said that one other thing against you. Boston has to put up with it too. All these old Cities in New England are already laid out. The people down South and out West learn from our mistakes and they built them differently. You are stuck to property wise with some of these things without doing nothing. You are going to be stuck with them. If you go to Boston you are going to go to point A and point B whether you like it or not at a certain speed and that is it. All I am trying to say is that some things that you can do should be done by ordinance legally and there should be a study done. You are at the point now that maybe later on in life you are going to start doing something for your schools, residential areas and start putting these kids back on the bus again. Maybe something like that, I do not know. Just as Mr Kearney said you have two or three cars to a household today. Kids will not walk to school or take a bus. They will take a car to school. The traffic is the biggest problem in the country in each City. The City has to come up with some ideas but you are going to have to admit to yourself also, that you have to put up with some inconveniences. Out West they built them differently, like what you were talking about these roundabouts and cutting them off. They are built off to the side. They have learned from us, the Malls are built off the thoroughfare. You can't do this up here, some of these New England town's are already built that way.

Alderman Domaingue stated that she had already said that she agreed with that this is the wish of the Board not to close streets. All I am asking is that this Committee recognize that we are going to have to do something as an alternative. I went to the same meetings which I spoke to at the Board level that Sgt. Lussier went to and I have to tell you that the neighborhoods that I question today were not even included in that study. Traffic counts weren't even done which is why I ordered. Those people are genuinely frustrated with

the volume. I think out of courtesy to the people who want to live in the City. We want them here, I would think. We need to do things' like specify which ones are arterial, collectors and locals and then work with some of these new suggestions to see if we can slow down that traffic.

Chairman Sysyn asked what she would suggest?

Alderman Domaingue said that she would like to ask the Highway and Traffic committee and possibly Mr. MacKenzie from planning in conjunction with the Police Department. Look at ways that they can work together and offer some suggestions.

Chairman Sysyn stated that there was a motion on the floor to deny and called for a vote. The motion carried.

Chairman Sysyn called the next item on the agenda # 6

Communication from Deputy City Clerk Bergeron and Assistant City Solicitor Arnold submitting proposed revisions to Sec. 17-12. Curfew for Children.

Mr. Arnold started by saying that under the new exceptions' letter d. It just seems to be so broad that I just do not know what the point. Particularly it says or social event, that is so broad.

Alderman Soucy said she had the same question about entertainment. I do not even see why this is needed to have language relative to curfew.

Chairman Sysyn noted that if she recalled the last time they talked about curfew for children the Police Department had a problem with it also. Because first of all it would be unconstitutional and number two you would have so much trouble trying to enforce it. You would have to let everything else go to the wayside.

Chief Driscoll stated that as he understood the way the ordinance came up with a problem Which since has been contacted on problems that exist at the City Solicitors office and came back and said phew. Although it is something we do not use very often we would like to see it pass. The language used is probably, like social event or entertainment, can be reworded. As I said before it something that should be updated.

Chairman Sysyn stated that we had those years ago. When I was a kid the bell rang at 8:30 the bell rang and everybody went flying home. City Hall bell. Is this legal can we do a curfew?

Clerk Bergeron asked Chairman Sysyn if he could speak. First for the new Aldermen, who may not understand what is going on. The recodification process itself is where we take our Code of Ordinances that really haven't been reviewed for almost 20 years. We have recompiled them and renumbered them and clean them up. Get a lot of the ordinances off the books that are no longer constitutional. In this particular case the children's curfew goes back to 1957 and there has been court decisions since then that say it's not constitutional the way it is written. American Legal Publishers that is doing the review their attorneys have recommended these changes that say it would bring that ordinance into conformity with recent case law. The reason Mr. Arnold and I are putting this before the Aldermen is that this is a subsense of change which we feel is not part of our responsibility or we are not allowed to make these changes in the Code or Ordinances. If the Aldermen want to repeal the ordinance certainly that is an option. The other option is to use language that the American Legal Publishers has recommended as being constitutional so that children's curfew stays on. We would ask the Aldermen to consider one option or the other, either repeal it or adapt it so that it would stand up in court.

Chairman Sysyn asked you have a 16 year old coming in at 9 o'clock.

Clerk Bergeron said that was the old one.

Chairman Sysyn then ask the new one is how old?

Clerk Bergeron responded by saying that actually it would still stay 16 years old. The only difference is section A and section B would say, however, these provisions do not apply and it lists several instances. American Legal Publishing is saying that the exception and the only exceptions the court would recognize would be those that are listed on our cover page A, B, C and D.

Alderman Soucy stated that in his memo Section 17-12 you list the age 18. Since we made a change.

Clerk Bergeron said correct. I apologize it should be 18.

Alderman Soucy said that what she was wondering if it should be given the changes the State Law last year where 17 years old can now be convicted for adult crimes. If this would be contrary to that, not that I think that was a great idea. I think given that consideration you might want to change it to 17.

Alderman Domaingue said that her understanding on the age of majority is 18 and that statute only applies to people committing certain crimes. In other words, this would refer to a minor that technically would still be a minor up until age 18. That stature does not create the status of adult for everyone. The stature that you refer to, it doesn't confer with the status of the bill onto everyone, it only confers to someone is

Chief Driscoll stated that if in fact a 17 year old violates the criminal law. He is held accountable just like you or I. If in fact a 16 year old violates the motor vehicle law he is held accountable.

Clerk Bergeron said we can do it up to 18.

Alderman Reiniger asked if you could use this new version to put a dent into the cruising on Elm Street for people under that age.

Chief Driscoll said that he thought the burden would then be that you would have to prove that they did not do one of the exceptions. One of the exceptions like interstate travel. I think we could use it but I am not sure we would. I think that would be stretching a little. I think it is designed to impress an individual that is out late at night.

Alderman Reiniger said then you would not use it for the particular purpose.

Chief Driscoll responded that he would have to think about it. He really had not given it a lot of thought.

Discussion followed.

Chairman Sysyn asked if he though that a 16 year old would be home at 9 o'clock?

Alderman Domaingue replied that it was not mandatory. It was just in case they find them doing questionable they have the right under this ordinance.

Chief Driscoll stated that it would be a tool like any other tool. If in fact we believe that they are up to something. We have a lot of City ordinances, there is one for jumping fences in the City. You cannot do that. People jump fences all the time and pay no

attention to it. There are times when you have a burglar running away from the scene of the burglary. All you have is the fact that he was jumped over a fence. You take him into custody for that and then you establish the rest of the case. This might be a tool to help the Police Department to use in certain circumstances. Whether or not we use it, or force cruising under a rule, I do not think so. Could we? Very possibly but I would have to look at it more.

Chairman Sysyn said she needed a motion.

On motion Alderman Domaingue, duly seconded by Alderman Reiniger, it was voted to accept the proposed revisions to Sec. 17-12 Curfew for Children, and forward it to the Board for referral to Bills on Second Reading.

Chairman Sysyn addressed item 7 of the agenda:

Communication from Joan Gardner, Tax Collector, advising that several programming changes will be required relative to the implementation of additional fees for auto registration.

Alderman Soucy asked if they had any approximate numbers of what effect imposing these exemptions would be in decreasing the amount of the fee.

Ms. Gardner stated pretty much it would be minimal as there wasn't that many. Basically, there were policy decisions that the Board of Mayor of Aldermen needed to make.

Alderman Soucy asked Ms. Gardner if she had a recommendation on the charging of special vehicles. She understood that the exemptions for Veteran or Military were either a yes or no answer, but it showed that some vehicles are charged a flat fee, street rods are \$50.00 plus waste reclamation and trailers are \$6.00.

Ms. Gardner stated basically Randy was just saying that a while ago there was a minimal dollar amount requirement. The street rods, if they were not familiar with them, were vehicles that were very old cars or made to assimilate an antique car so to charge them the maximum fee could be a problem.

Alderman Soucy stated she would move that they continue the Veteran and Military exemption and charge a \$1.00 for the special vehicle charges and that the program be implemented as soon as possible.

On motion of Alderman Soucy, duly seconded by Alderman Domaingue, it was voted to continue the Veteran and Military exemptions and charge a \$1.00 for the special vehicle charges and to implement the program as soon as possible.

Chairman Sysyn addressed item #8 on the agenda.

Communication from Bob Carter, American Red Cross, seeking free parking at the Center of NH Garage on July 25 & 26, 1996 from 10:00 AM until 8:00 PM each day in conjunction with their scheduled bloodmobile drive.

Mr. Lolicata said that he spoke with Mr. Carter on two occasions and not once did he mention free parking until I read this on the agenda. I called him back and he said he wanted to wait until this meeting is over to see what is going to happen to him. In the interim, we gave him permission to put a facade bloodmobile drawing and stuff like that. Public garage has nothing to do with this. It is the Center of NH where he is going to be using their space, I believe. The only thing I was told that could happen it would have to be with Mr. Mandes okay. It would be a validation sticker given to people that go in there and they have never done that before. In most cases they do not validate these unless it is a special occasion or it has nothing to do with the parking garage. People go in they get their ticket and they pay on the way out. If they have it validated inside then that is taken care of at the booth on the way out naturally.

Chairman Sysyn asked if Mr. Mandes pay these?

Mr. Lolicata stated that this is the gentleman that he is the one that gives permission to use his space first of all. He probably was not thinking of free parking.

On motion of Alderman Soucy, duly seconded by Alderman Domaingue, it was voted that a letter be sent to George Mandes at the Center of NH with a copy of the letter requesting that he contact American Red Cross to negotiate some arrangement for those days.

Chairman Sysyn addressed item #9 on the agenda.

Communication from Debbie Courtemanche, on behalf of Healthsource New Hampshire, requesting the closure of Merrimack Street, from Elm to Chestnut Streets, beginning at 12:00 noon on Thursday, August 8, 1996 in conjunction with the 4th Annual Healthsource Corporate Road Race.

Chairman Sysyn stated that Sgt. Lussier has agreed to post temporary no parking signs.

Sgt. Lussier said that it was pretty much the same course except for instead of coming up by the Center of NH where we had problems with the Antique Show in the past. We have chosen to come up West Merrimack Street. It looks okay we will have detail out there, life supporter and everything looks good.

On motion Alderman Soucy, duly seconded by Alderman Reiniger, it was voted to close streets in conjunction with the 4th Annual Healthsource Corporate Road Race per request in communication from Debbie Courtemanche on behalf of Healthsource.

Alderman Domaingue said she had a question. On page two of Debbie's letter she says that she is also seeking permission to hang a 60-foot banner across Elm Street marking the start of the race. Is that something that we usually do?

St. Lussier said we did it last year right before the race. It has to be a certain height that the fire truck has to go underneath it. It's not my understanding that it stays there for a week.

Chairman Sysyn stated it is usually just for the day.

Chairman Sysyn addressed item #10 on the agenda.

Copy of a communication from Diane Dubisz relating to parking in the Millyard.
(Note: copy of Mayor's response to Ms. Dubisz dated 6/21/96 enclosed.)

Chairman Sysyn asked whether this was at our full Board meeting the last time?

Mr. Lolicata said that he had been talking to Ms. Dubisz for at least three months on this. She gave me her concerns and she finally wrote a letter to the Mayor's office. I explained to her what was going on down there. You know that Bedford Street right above is also 8

hour and two hour meters they could utilize. No one is parking over there at all. That is the only out I have right now until such time that we do something with the Millyard. Which we are in the process of trying to do. I can ask to please walk a block or two and park in Bedford Street in the meantime and pay the meter like they wanted to do. We do not have the room down there for Commercial granted Bedford Street has a lot of open spaces on a Friday. I wish they would take advantage of that for now, really.

Mr. Girard stated that they had sent her a response to her letter and we sent both the Mayor's response and her letter here so that the Committee would be aware that the parking concern was raised.

On motion of Alderman Reiniger, duly seconded by Alderman Domaingue, it was voted to receive and file the communications.

Chairman Sysyn addressed item #11 on the agenda.

Copy of a communication from Trustees of the Manchester Historic Association, requesting the closure of Chestnut, Amherst, and Pine Streets bordering Victory Park from 6:00 PM until 12:00 midnight on September 21, 1996 in conjunction with their Birthday Gala.

Chairman Sysyn said that we may be moving traffic around then. Is that right?

Chief Driscoll said he had not heard anything yet.

Mr. Lolicata said he saw no problem with 6 hours.

On motion Alderman Soucy, duly seconded by Alderman Reiniger, it was voted to approve the request of the Trustees of the Manchester Historic Association for the closure of Chestnut, Amherst, and Pine Streets bordering Victory Park from 6:00 PM until 12:00 midnight on September 21, 1996 in conjunction with their Birthday Gala.

Chairman Sysyn addressed item #12 on the agenda.

Chairman Sysyn advised that the Traffic Department had submitted an agenda which needed to be addressed as follows:

STOP SIGNS:

ON MEGAN DRIVE AT BODWELL ROAD, NEC
ON AARON DRIVE AT MEGAN DRIVE, WESTERN TERMINUS, SEC
ON AARON DRIVE AT MEGAN DRIVE, EASTERN TERMINUS, SEC
ON AARON DRIVE AT WINDFLOWER DRIVE, NWC, SEC
ON WINDFLOWER DRIVE AT BODWELL ROAD, NEC
ON BITTERSWEET DRIVE AT AARON DRIVE, NWC
ON BITTERSWEET DRIVE AT MEGAN DRIVE, SEC
ALDERMAN SOUCY
ON CRESTVIEW CIRCLE AT KEARNEY CIRCLE, NEC, SWC
ALDERMAN WIHBY

ON WATERMAN STREET AT BAKER STREET, SEC
ALDERMAN PARISEAU

ON YOUNG STREET AT HALL STREET, NEC
ALDERMAN SHEA

NO PARKING:

ON HAZELTON AVENUE, WEST SIDE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 190 FEET IN
FRONT OF 325 HAZELTON AVENUE
ALDERMAN DOMAINGUE

ON LOWELL STREET, NORTH SIDE, FROM MALVERN STREET TO A POINT 135
FEET EASTERLY
ALDERMAN SYSYN

PARKING FOR HANDICAP ONLY:

ON SAGAMORE STREET, SOUTH SIDE, FROM A POINT 170 FEET EAST OF
PINE STREET TO A POINT 30 FEET EASTERLY
ALDERMAN REINIGER

NO TRUCKS ALLOWED:

ON ROWELL STREET, FROM ELM STREET TO RIVER ROAD (EMERGENCY
ACT)
ALDERMAN WIHBY

NO LEFT TURN (7AM-9PM):

ON BROWN AVENUE AT QUEEN CITY AVENUE, NORTHBOUND
ALDERMAN PARISEAU

RESCIND NO LEFT TURN:

ON BROWN AVENUE AT QUEEN CITY AVENUE, NORTHBOUND
ALDERMAN PARISEAU

RESCIND STOP SIGN:

ON ALLAMIMO STREET AT GREELEY STREET (EASTERN END), SWC
ALDERMAN HIRSCHMANN

STRIPING DOUBLE YELLOW LINE:

ON FRONT STREET, CONTINUE THE LINE FROM IN FRONT OF AMOSKEAG
MARKET TO DUNBARTON ROAD
ALDERMAN HIRSCHMANN

ON FRONT STREET, FROM DUNBARTON ROAD TO ROUTE 3A
ALDERMAN HIRSCHMANN

On motion of Alderman Soucy, duly seconded by Alderman Reiniger, it was voted to approve the submitted agenda.

DISCUSSION:

A PRESENTATION FROM ALDERMAN DOMAINGUE CONCERNING THE
PEPPERIDGE DRIVE NEIGHBORHOOD

PARKING METERS AND RATES

Alderman Soucy moved for discussion. Alderman Reiniger seconded the motion.

Chairman Sysyn said that she would like on this discussion card. I received a phone call from Alderman Pariseau last night. He would like us to table this Pepperidge Drive for the next meeting because he is on vacation and he would like to be present when discussed.

Alderman Domaingue asked if she could speak to it before you do that, which may save you in having to do that. The intent was not to do a presentation, but the intent was to make a request and to have it be a discussion. The request is that since so many people had signed petitions on both sides of the issue that I had spoken with Mr. Lolicata, the Traffic Department, the Police Department. I have spoken with Mr. Thomas this morning and I feel and I believe they have agreed that the best course of action at this point in time would be to have those departments and sit down and map out the possibilities are and include Alderman Domaingue and Alderman Pariseau.

Chairman Sysyn asked if Alderman Domaingue had a date in mind?

Alderman Domaingue said she did not have a date at this time but would inform the Chairman when she had a date.

On motion Alderman Soucy, duly seconded by Alderman Reiniger, it was voted to table the discussion on the item concerning Pepperidge Drive neighborhood.

Chairman Sysyn addressed PARKING METERS AND RATES

Mr. Lolicata said that he brought that upon myself to put that in there last week. Based upon the new rates that you passed at 50 cents an hour and 10 hour meters and how they were passed. I wanted to explain to you how it would take place. It is going to be a night overtime basis and I cannot do the whole City in one shot. I mean that is physically impossible. I have to go out and do the ordinances for those who have to be changed and rescinded. Like your one hour meters and your 30 hour meters I have to have point to point and I have to do all that besides. I have the new meters ordered. The two hour parking, all the two hours we have are mechanical, all the new meters will be ten hours until such time in a few years we start buying new two hours. Based upon the mechanical part of the two hour and also, let's get Manchester back on the map a little bit. I would like to recommend that the two hours be quarters only. For a quarter you are going to get at least a half hour parking. It's going to be fifty cents an hour, we can do that with the two hours but we can only do it in half hour and one hour naturally. They are mechanical we cannot do any of the seven minutes, or twelve minutes for a penny, dime or nickel.. I think that it's about time that Manchester starts coming into a realm of just starting to use quarters only like everybody else. It is impossible anyway to do anything with a two hour meter. I have to use quarters there is no getting away from it. That is the first thing anyway. I recommend that a City this size to use quarters for a long time. I would recommend that you go along with this. I cannot do anything with the two hour meter. I can't give you twelve minutes for a dime, it can't be done. I can you give you a half hour parking for 25 cents and that would be perfect.

Mr. Girard stated that was anticipated in the Mayor's part of his plan to get rid of the five cent and ten cent to go to 25 cents for half hour.

Mr. Lolicata continued by saying that he was going to ask the Union Leader as time to give a lot of PR to the people. I have to come up with a special place and special with these minimums. I am going to have something added to the face of the meter to explain

it to the people. This is going to be a change for everybody. You go to this new time meter, you are going to put \$2.00 before you see that four hours come up and it's 25 cents thereafter. That is how these are made and that is how it is going to correspond to what you passed. I just want to put something on that plate and on the front of that meter.

Chairman Sysyn said that if she put a quarter in she will be good for a half hour.

Mr. Lolicata said no, Chairman Sysyn, that's on 10 hour meters.

Mr. Girard said on the two hour meter you will be good for a half hour.

Mr. Lolicata continued by saying that he would put something on the two hour meter. I will try to make it as simple and easy as possible for the populace. I am going to need some PR as we go along and do sections at a time. So you might have one side of the street that is paying a quarter and the other side fifty cents. It's either that or let them all go until such time, it's going to take me a few months. I won't be getting the new meters for a couple of months, they are ordered. The implementation and the new pipes they have to go in, it's going to be a lot involved here. I just wanted you to be aware of this.

Chairman Sysyn said that she did not think it would happen overnight. Chairman Sysyn recognized Mr. Rich Davis.

Mr. Davis said that he had the opportunity to talk to Tom and Rich earlier and those that heard from the folks that make the Parking Meters have been selected. I got an education in meter technology which frankly things I did not know before. One of them, which I would like to bring to your attention, suppose you have a four hour minimum for meters in the off street lots. The effectiveness, how this works is that the you have a meter that takes quarters and I like the idea of quarters only. It certainly is easier to work with. As this was explained and demonstrated to me with the new meter to do a four minimum in an off street lot with the meter you will have to be standing there with a handful of quarters. To get a four hour minimum you will have to feed in one right after another individually eight quarters. After each one that you put in the meter will register zero, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6 at this point a lot of people who don't have experience with the meters will be saying what is wrong with this meter. It is not registering any time. Granted they can read the fine print and then maybe they will understand it. It does not register until you put in the eight quarters, but you have to put in the eight quarters and then at the time the four hours will show up. It is a technological issue, but it is one that we think evolves in the use and the understanding of the consumer and it's a tough one. Especially when we are trying to encourage people to use the meters for short term uses. We felt that the short term spaces, generally the metered spaces are to be available for short term users. The long term users ought to be encouraged to use the garage and we suggested some

rates to you based on the Administration Proposal that would tend to bring about that goal. We think that the current proposal did that pretty much but if we look at suddenly we understood more about the off street parking and the effect of the minimum. We think that the four hour minimum is to discourage to use those off street lots, particularly I am concerned about discouraging the use of the Hartnet and Pearl Street Lots. Which should serve or are positioned to serve our retail core. I guess you could add the Middle street lot to that as well.

Mr. Girard said that Middle Street was to remain two hours so it would not be subject to new meters.

Mr. Davis continued by saying that there proposal, Madam Chairman, is fairly basic. Our proposal to deal with that is setting aside the Middle Street Lot that is going to remain at the two hour meter. The Pearl and Harnet Street Lots are going to be operating at a ten hour meter. We would propose that happen but no minimum required. As it stands now I believe that those rates are going to be 50 cents an hour for the first four hours. We would like to propose that be reduced for 50 cents an hour for the first two hours and the for 25 cents an hour thereafter. We would like to eliminate the minimum requirement. In general we just state that the bottom of the rates in the off street Lots are parallel to garage rates as much as possible.

Mr. Lolicata said that whatever you people decide to come up with I have to know right away because of the new ones being ordered so they can have that implement up there. So, I know which way to go and how to do this.

Mr. Davis asked why are you considering that when we bring up one other issue regarding the meters. As you know we have a chairman that we talked about being able to institute a validation program for the benefit of the retailers downtown. When the new meters came into effect, you know we cannot do it with the current meters. Ideally we talked about originally that we would like to have a validation item, ideally a token, which would be usable either in one of the new meters or given amount of time. You folks establish what that minimum time is. Could be an hour or a half hour and that token would also be liquid, it would be transferable and usable in the garage if the parker chose to park in the garage for that period of time one or two hours. Here you could have a token handed out by a retailer either for an hour at a meter or in the garage, it would be liquid. If we are going to institute a program like that which would effect the on street meters, the new on street meters. My understanding that you have to talk to a Tom the representative of the Meter Company that we would have to make that determination very soon. The meters themselves have to be programmed to recognize that particular token we would actually have to manufacture some samples of that token so that it could be tested by the meter company. That would have to be done fairly quickly. Using the

tokens can cause some problems and I am sure that Tom would know more about them and he can let you know what those are. But we think that those are solvable if we do the program right. We simply want to bring you up to state because you know that the new meters are on order. That program ought to go into effect.

Mr. Lolicata said that they have been ordered.

Mr. Davis replied there ordered?

Mr. Lolicata responded that he can make a phone call. I would like to bring something up right now since we are into this. Speaking of Mark from McKay Meters he has been all over the world. I am going to put this basically, that's more trouble. That is really more trouble and for the amount of retail that you have Downtown and Elm Street knows and what we are getting into, I do not think it's necessary. Never mind the garages, they are set up computer wise, to take a ticket and pay off a ticket and honestly Mr. Davis I think this is going to add a compounded problem. I would like to get this City, first of all, going basically, work with money, work with quarters simply and get out there and establish and get something going first. If you want to come up with ideas like that later on down the line I would think about it afterwards. Right now, just trying to teach the public and then start giving out these coins, it's going to add to it. We are going to have collect all this stuff and we will have separate it.. That is what we are doing up there besides and between the Bank and us I think we are going to have some problems there. I would like to make it as simple as possible and I would like to stick with money. You do not see that to often in to many Cities, believe me. This comes from Mark, and he will tell you straight out. He has been everywhere and he has seen them all, believe me you don't want that type of trouble. They will do it for you, but believe me you are asking for more trouble. It is something you do not need right now and that is how I feel.

Chairman Sysyn recognized Mr. Girard.

Mr. Girard said that he had several points. First, just for the record, to be clear I don't believe that this should be a Committee without first having gone to the Board because it is a policy matter that the Board should be aware is under discussion and also has revenue impacts. Perhaps with my conversation with Mr. Davis, I was not clear that it should come to the Traffic Committee by referral of the Board of Mayor and Aldermen. That having been said I have discussed parts of this with Mr. Davis and I will agree with Director Lolicata on what he has to say in regard to the tokens. I did discuss that with the representative of the Meter Company and he said there was more problem than it is worth. The Mayor said that he would support the Merchant validation plan however, it is something he would support at this time in the garages only and it would be via sticker system. That would require the Merchants to purchase the stickers give them to their

shoppers and it would show up as a credit when then pay at the garages. Simplest form of validation, it's something that the City can track, and because the Merchant would be required to buy them. It satisfies our concerns that they are not going to turn around and give them to their employees for free parking at the Cities expense. As to the particulars here, I too was at the meeting and questioned with meter technology was discussed. Right now the City operates four hour minimum meters were if you keep putting quarters in it does not register until you put enough to register the four hour minimum. I am not sure that's a terrible concern. Mr. Lolicata is going to have the meters appropriately labeled which I think people will understand. Mr. Davis has indicated the desire to remove the minimum or to go down two hours. Mayor's office supports going down to two hour minimum, the idea to doing that, very simple. The Mayor is concerned that if you keep the off street parking at 25 cents an hour you are going to have transient parkers going in there because it's going to be less expensive. You will be eating up the parking you want to designate for long term. Two hour minimum at 50 cents an hour is going to be the exact same price as it is on the street and every hour thereafter is a quarter is very inexpensive long term parking. It only adds 50 cents the current on an 8 hour day and that's not asking a whole lot. It puts the lots closer in line with the garages in terms of rates. At this point taking a look at the whole plan, can't support changes just to parking lots. You have these four hour minimum meters going into the Millyard. All of the parking lots throughout the Millyard, along the street in the Millyard, the eastern side of Commercial Street, these four hour minimums are coming back. Long term parking lots in other area of the City, notably the Federal Lot, where the four hour minimum under this plan at 50 cents an hour would remain in force. That's fine, I suppose, if you are looking at the demand and revenue. The whole purpose of the parking plan was to introduce uniformity. I realize Ralph Waldo Emerson said "Consistency for consistency sake is hob goblin of little the minds." However, it seems that if we are going to use parking as an economic development tool. It seems that we are going to try to create a uniform system so that people know what to expect when they come to downtown Manchester. We have to treat the system as a system and to separate out two parking lots here. When everything else is going to change mostly parking lots and some on street because not only other streets in the Millyard but there will be streets between Elm and Canal, Hollis Street and those streets in that direction that are going to go to that same four minimum meters. Where long term parking was determined to be a necessity, it doesn't make sense to have different long term structures. If Intown Management wants to go to a two hour minimum for all long term meter parking the Mayor's office supports that. At this time, I would also like to mention that the Mayor is cognizant of the need to have residential parking in place by the time these meter changes are made. It was never the Mayor's intention, in fact, part of the design of that system was to use the meters as an enforcement tool for resident parking to assist the Police Department in the development of that program so we could provide parking for the people who live in the neighborhoods while trying to encourage those people who work in neighboring business districts to park

where they should. That needs to come forward also. Those would be the comments at this time.

Mr. Davis said that he would support and accept two hour minimum, specially in the two lots that were mentioned. The mill street lots have the largest impact on our retail cord downtown. I frankly have looked at and even thought about the impact of a two hour minimum in the other off street lots where meters are used.

Mr. Girard asked at this point you are talking about Commercial Street and several streets between Elm and Canal and you are also talking about the Bedford lot where there are meters. The Arms Extension lot, the Granite Street lot and the Federal lot, there are several off street lots that are going to be affected by these changes and they should be affected uniformly.

Mr. Davis said that if consistency is not and that is your determination we certainly support the consistency of two hour minimum.

Mr. Lolicata said that both of you agree that the ten hour meters are going to have a two hour minimum now for 50 cents an hour. Am I saying that correctly?

Mr. Girard said that the issue is that whether or not the Committee can legitimately discuss this at this point without having referred to the Board. Because this is a policy matter issue right now.

Mr. Lolicata stated that right now it was an ordinance, that is what he was saying. I have to wait for a rescind in front of the full Board and I have to have those guys hold everything. Just tell me what to do.

The Clerk asked if what he was saying that all the ones in the Millyard should come to the ordinance or just that we should take that into consideration.

Mr. Girard noted that the what the Committee decides to do, forgive me, is the Committee's business. The Mayor's recommendation at this time is that you should treat all of the long term meters equally so that someone parking at a long term meter on Commercial Street is getting treated the same as the one who is parking in the Harnet Lot. It does not make sense we are trying to get away from the patchwork of regulations and everything else. There needs to be consistency.

Chairman Sysyn asked Mr. Lolicata if the meters he ordered were for two hours or what?

Mr. Lolicata answered that he was ordering was ten hour meters and they were going to implement the four hour minimum by ordinance. If you people change it to a two hour minimum. You can do this but I have to get a hold of them and say yes on the ten hour meters but make them a two hour minimum and 50 cents a half hour.

Chairman Sysyn stated that this had to go to the Full Board had to approve this first.

Mr. Lolicata responded then he was going to have to call them and tell them to hold off until he got an answer.

Alderman Domaingue remarked that Mr. Lolicata was very patient. I cannot believe that we are having this discussion with these kinds of changes on a policy situation that we now have to go back to the Full Board because they have to review that. This is totally not coordinated. You are making some pretty heavy decisions here. I am a user of parking meters when I come downtown. I am not a retail user, I am a business user. I come downtown to do business at the various offices here or sometimes as an Alderman. I try to park my car on Pine Street, I had one quarter, I said that's Okay I am only going to be here for an hour. Got out of the car went to put in and thank God saw four hour minimum or the quarter would have been lost. Actually, I think I had two quarters. Those quarters would have been lost I would have gained nothing. I would have gotten a ticket because I did not meet the minimum. I know this City, I would hope that we are doing some very conscience thinking when we place these things in operation. Because I am going to tell you that is frustrating, if you are on your lunch hour and you are coming downtown to do business with business. You are going to get a ticket unless you have the minimum, maybe you didn't plan on doing the minimum. Have we thought this true.

Mr. Davis said that was an excellent point. We got into this parking thing reluctantly because I do not feel that anyone on the Board are parking experts. Realizing we have to get to know more and more of the parking system. We continue to feel that parking downtown is a system, it's like an advantage, it's like any living system. If you squeeze it one place, it's going to pop out somewhere else. Not being system analyst or engineers or parking experts like Mr. Lolicata who feel that if we were to make some of these long term changes that we needed to have a look at the system from a system prospective. We will have to come back to you on some of these questions. I do not plainly have the answers.

Mr. Girard stated just to follow up on the Alderman's constituents. You said you were on the street when you hit a four minimum. That was part of the problem, if you remember the presentation that I gave Traffic, all the different colors representing the different parking meter regulation.

Chairman Sysyn noted that she remembered.

Mr. Girard continued by saying the plan that has been passed with the one side of Commercial Street. I think three residential streets over by Amoskeag Place between Canal and Elm Streets all street parking is going to be two hours. If you only have that quarter or you put it in there it won't be lost. It's the parking within the lots that is going to go to this minimum system. With the exception of those two or three on street areas, to be quite honest with the you, the Mayor and his office thought out very well the parking proposal that was given to this Committee.

Chairman Sysyn asked if she parked on Elm Street and put a quarter in the meter she could stay there for a half hour and be all right.

Mr. Girard responded yes.

Chairman Sysyn noted that it was just going to be the parking lots.

Mr. Girard answered essentially just the parking lots with the exception of the East side of the streets.

Alderman Domaingue said that is what was not clear to her, either. I was hearing say two hour minimums and said to myself wait a minute.

Mr. Girard apologized for not being clearer. One thing that the Traffic Director mentioned that I think is important because I know even with the current meter system. We have had discussion over this problem is that the meter themselves are not clear that they are a four hour minimum. It is his intent as it is the Mayor's to make sure that is painfully clear to the people who are going to park there. Again, all intent purposes, they will only be faced with that in the lots. The lots will have signage in addition to what is on the meters.

Chairman Sysyn noted that they should have good signage. They should have a big sign stating that, because I would be the first one to say something unless there was a big sign.

Mr. Girard stated that on street is two hours, in lots the Mayor will support two hour minimums.

Alderman Domaingue said she had one question in regard to the parking lot. Sometimes people use that lot to park at for the Library. Are we going to have availability over there for short term parking so that they can.

Mr. Davis said that is the issue. If we would go to zero minimum people would be able to go the Library for an hour and come out so far. As it is now, the proposal now stands they would have to pay for two hour minimum.

Mr. Lolicata responded that is what he has to do when I go out there physically. I will ordinance the way you want. See what I am saying I have to go out there physically and if you want to do that which you are talking about on the outer perimeter there will be a two hours like the Pearl and the inside will be ten hours.

Mr. Girard said to be fair to the situation around the Library. This is what you have around the Library. You have a stretch of Pine Street that is handicap, a stretch of Pine Street that is No Parking. A stretch of Pine Street that is eight hours parking and then you have on the streets all around it time zone one hour parking. Which is also supposed to serve the businesses in those areas. Under the plan as proposed all of the street parking around the Library up to Union Street goes to two hour meters were there is currently on street parking.

Mr. Lolicata said that would make it easy for all.

Mr. Girard continued by saying that would provide more short term parking than the Library has now in a uniform manner. In addition the Harnet Lot for people who are going to be there for longer than two hours. If this proposal gets accepted by the Board of Mayor and Aldermen will have that two hour minimum at fifty cents an hour and twenty five cents for every hour thereafter up to ten hours.

Mr. Davis said he had one more comment. I want to credit the Administration certainly for trying to come up with a system that is consistent. They did a good job and I appreciate the work that they did on it and I appreciate your attention to our concerns that we brought forward. We feel the system as we get into it and as we understand the effects of the changes we have made may need some adjustment. That's at the point where I may come to you again, help, I am not a Traffic expert we need to understand where the impacts are. We may come back at that point. If you take this course that I do not understand some of this had to go before the Board as a whole. If we choose this course and go with the meters, as it now stands. It is my understanding then we would not then be able to use a token if we go ahead and order the meters per zone ordinance.

Mr. Lolicata replied that right now as he understands they will not be using a token.

Mr. Davis responded having that option would be closed off to us. The only way we could have the validation program is through the garages. That is the only way we can do that.

Chairman Sysyn asked whether he had the feeling that the business owners would be with the garages if they buy those validations. That is how I felt if they would do it in terms of the garages.

Mr. Davis said that he was hoping that they would be able to give them the insight on the retailers point of view.

Chairman Sysyn responded that is because she has garages were she works.

Mr. Girard said that the other reservation with that though is that, I guarantee it is going to happen. Someone is going to pull into a metered spot, walk take 2 to 5 minutes to the get to the store, get a token come back and have a ticket on there windshield because they didn't put any money in the meter, because they wanted the free token. There is no way around that. That is what you run into with the token system, not to mention the fact if I am not mistaken, Mr. Lolicata feel free to correct me on this if you want. If you change the meters so that it reads tokens, seems to be our meter mans opinion if would just about read anything and you would have all kinds of stuff dumped in there. Slugs, Canadian coins, anything.

Mr. Lolicata said that you would have to out, as Mr. Girard said, and make a coin and a descent mint.

Mr. Davis said that the meter guy was very diplomatic. He told me what I wanted to hear and they let you know when you have a problem.

Mr. Ketchum stated that he wanted to bring this in, you have already voted on Alderman Cashin proposal for the one regarding nudity and those issues. However, my name is Arthur Ketchum, I'm President of the First Legal Defense in Concord, New Hampshire. I think there are a couple of things that you need to consider even if you have voted on it. So, if I could have the floor for just a second.

Alderman Domaingue stated point of order Madam Chairman, we're commenting on the parking meter, I would be more than happy to allow as a Committee member for the gentleman to have his say, but I think we need to resolve this issue.

Mr. Ketchum replied sure, no problem.

Chairman Sysyn agreed that she thought it was unconventional.

Mr. Girard said he had a question for Mr. Davis. Did you say that the two hour minimum would be acceptable or is that not acceptable?

Mr. Davis replied that it was acceptable in the interest of being a foreman, if that is a goal.

Chairman Sysyn said that she could go in and put a quarter and park for a half hour.

Mr. Girard responded not on a two hour minimum, Chairman Sysyn.

Mr. Lolicata replied yes, on a two hour meter.

Mr. Davis said that in the parking lot, if you were going to use it to go into the Library, you would have to put four quarters in there for you to get any time.

Alderman Domaingue stated that the Library can enact its own proactive informational pamphlet that says. If you think you are going to spend more than an hour here we suggest you use these meters. There is nothing wrong with that.

Mr. Lolicata said that they are going to have more meters right next to that anyway on Concord Street. There are more meters going right next to it, which will address there concerns by giving them ore parking.

Alderman Domaingue said that with informational handout they will have at least plugged in so that in the future they need to know. Patrons of the Library will at least know where they can find long term parking.

Mr. Girard asked Mr. Davis what his feeling was in regard to having a validation program for garages only. Is that not going to be sufficient for Merchants?

Chairman Sysyn asked what McQuade's doing now? How does he handle it?

Alderman Domaingue replied he moves to the Mall.

Mr. Davis answered in regard to McQuade's question. People park in that lot as they get out of the car they are approached by the Guard who is in the Guard shack. He will ask them where they are going. The right answer is I am going to McQuade's or some other store on Elm Street. The wrong answer is I am going to City Hall. If they answer City Hall he will tell them that they cannot park in this spot, you have to go park in one of the

meters, that is how that works. It is very management intensive and I would not advise anything else. Did I ask a question?

Mr. Lolicata said that this was a short term deal started back then to help McQuade's downtown around Christmas now we are into July. We do not even know if there lease is up between you and I.

Chairman Sysyn responded that they keep extending it.

Mr. Lolicata said that when these meters go in and at springtime you have your new Elm Street started and you get this two hour parking. These guys are going to be more than happy to get one. Now enforcement comes in there, you have space out these people that is what we are trying to do. That is what we are trying to do is to get these people acclimated to pay going downtown and having that space waiting for them. This will be a start, hopefully. I think it's much easier for the police to do this and we will see. There is nothing else you can do, you have to try. I do not know what else to tell you.

Mr. Davis responded that he wanted to answer Mr. Girard's question about the effect that the foundation program that it happened in the garages. I think it can be made to work, the mechanics are simpler in terms of having sticker or something. The garages also have....

Chairman Sysyn stated that they were doing it now.

Mr. Davis continued by saying that however, let me say that if we are going to use the garages for short term validation programs. We are going to have to set some spaces. I don't know how many that is, I'm not the expert, but some spaces for the additional short term parking that you expect to have in those garages.

Chairman Sysyn said they so much empty spots.

Mr. Davis added that was true but they are empty at the top. Mr. Davis said he was concerned about having short term spaces that are available to short term parkers at the lower levels. That are accessible. That is an issue that can be done without ordinance probably, by internal management.

Mr. Lolicata said that he spoke to Dave Waldecker, he is a pro on this, and he can tell you. Canal and Victory are both the same, so he can tell one way or the other.

Mr. Davis said that he has dealt with Mr. Waldecker. That is a consideration if they are going to be used for short term parking. You need to have more spaces available.

Chairman Sysyn said that he had to come through us on how money we want to charge.

Mr. Lolicata said that anything that has to do with fees has to come through the Board. Changing quarter whatever you want to do. If you want Mr. Waldecker you can address August 6 is your next meeting to help you even better. I guess what we are waiting for right now under motion, is that two hour minimum, ten hours or four hours. I guess that is what we are saying right now.

Chairman Sysyn repeated two hours or four hours?

Mr. Girard said that the Mayor said that he would agree to a two hour minimum.

Alderman Domaingue asked Mr. Davis do you have any objection to two hours.

On motion of Alderman Domaingue, duly seconded by Alderman Reiniger, it was voted to rescind the 4 hour minimum and make it a two hour minimum on the parking meters and rates.

Mr. Girard stated, with all due respect Madam Chairman, the City already heavily subsidizes downtown parking. The less expensive it is for the Merchants to buy those validation stickers, the more likely it is that they are going to dump their leases and give there employees those things to park all day. The best example, I have of that is that 2 to 3 years when the City garage parking has always been free on Saturday. Three or four years ago they decided that the Merchants came to this City and said we have to have free on street parking on Saturday. The City passed that and the only thing it did was empty the garages. Which use to have some moderate usage on Saturday because the employees would park there free soon as the meters were fee the garages were empty. People complained even more seriously about the lack of on street parking for their customers on Saturday.

Mr. Davis said that he had a point. Even if the stickers have to be given out, passed out to employees for use in the garages only which is the only place that they are useful. It will have the additional beneficial effects of getting those employees off the street were we have been complaining about the parking and into the garages where we want them.

Chairman Sysyn said that she had complained about that.

Mr. Girard noted that the question was how much revenue do you really want to lose, in order to do this? You are talking about real serious revenue, one thing you have to keep your eye on is the budget you always pass.

Chairman Sysyn said the garages are always empty.

Mr. Girard replied that actually if you go through the garages Chairman Sysyn during the day. You will find that Victory and the Center of New Hampshire can hardly be qualified as empty garages.

Chairman Sysyn stated that where she works there is lots of room.

Mr. Girard said that Victory basically has the top floor. That means that garage is 80 percent full.

Chairman Sysyn said that you had a lot of employees out at these places.

Mr. Lolicata responded that you also have a lot of leases in these places that you have to consider too. Leases paid for.

Chairman Sysyn asked if anyone wanted to take anything off the table. Chairman Sysyn recognized Mr. Ketchum.

Mr. Ketchum introduced himself. Mr. Ketchum said he was President of the First Member of Legal Defense Fund. It's interesting to hear people arguing about parking meters up here in Manchester. It's about the same way in the rest of New Hampshire too. I am going to cut to the chase on this about what is wrong on these two ordinances proposed by Alderman Cashin. By the way, a lot of people, a great number of people across the country know about these ordinances. I have talked to a lot of people in Manchester and they are not too happy with them, either. In the language of this ordinance, the New Hampshire Performing Arts Center, could because it serves liquor it would be penalized for producing "Hair", "Oba, Oba" or "Olde Calcutta". Think about that. Alderman Cashin has one image of one type of place, maybe, he would like to penalize but you are talking about the center for New Hampshire, the Palace Theater. Think about it, Manchester pride's itself on its artists and its culture and I am part of the cultural Community. The artist and cultural institutions can tell you that they do not want any part of ordinances like these. If you want to continue, I know in Manchester you pride yourself on the Palace Theater, on the some of the Artists Gallery and if you want to continue to think of Manchester as a place for the best in the Performing Arts and Culture you can take 17-26 and 17-27 and put them in the circular file. Because they are no good, you do not need. You have one level of ordinances and then you go on further, let me

point out the other problem with these. Under the definitions of 17-27 the scope is so wide as to put massive harassment, police harassment and restriction on athletic clubs, beach parties, college events. Say someone had Arnold Schwatzenegger type of body building event afterwards. They had champagne for the victors or something like that, you could be legally penalized, under this so called ordinance. This function as a major impediment on the market, I love these people who always cry about free enterprise and then they go and act like Castro. People today are sick of government regulations, government harassment, federal, state and local. If Alderman Cashin has a problem with that he sees a girl at the beach wearing a thong bikini let him see a psychiatrist on his own time. That's my response to that, not to further burden the tax payer with his problems. These definitions on this skirt the area of invasion of privacy. With all of those different events, originally six statutes were meant to penalize adult bookstores, they were meant to penalize topless and strip clubs and now they are branching out. Now we are going to go after toga parties, wet T-shirt parties, bathing suit contest, and you wonder people are concerned about the widening range of the lost of their freedom. Okay why don't we restrict this a little bit, it's not a problem. Then we are going to go to this and then to this. This is how totalitarianism comes upon us. It's like Elliot said "The world ends with a bang but not with a whimper" but with little bits and pieces of death at a time. I would ask you to reconsider having passed this and to send on the Board, I think you are going to hear from a great many people that are not happy with this being proposed. The idea of this being passed has potential for invasion of privacy. There again as far as you want to say the Palace Theater. Institutions like that say Manchester for Institute for the Arts, okay I am an Art Teacher, I am teaching a life class upstairs, the model is naked, downstairs is serving wine. Technically that could be a violation of this ordinance. It's too vague it leaves a lot of loop holes open for people who decide they have to make everybody moral. The other problem I have is with the whole language of the Act reads like Communication Decency Act and you know what the three judges did to that Act. It is very subjective and vague with the use of gross sexuality and immoral people. I would ask who is Alderman Cashin to set himself up as a morale judge if he supposed to be a good religious person. Obviously, he forgot the phrase "Judge not lest ye be judged." That is why I have to say, I don't know whether with your proceedings you could bring something off the table, I would say that you are going to be hearing from a lot people and they are not very happy about this ordinance.

Chairman Sysyn recognized Alderman Domainque.

Alderman Domainque said that first of all she would like for the record that Alderman Cashin did not write these ordinances and that I object to personal references being made to any Alderman. Second of all, the first ordinance, specifically prohibits nudity in any place that sells or offers to sell alcoholic beverages. That is pretty specific. There is no generality there. I don't believe in some of the instances

Mr. Ketchum said New Hampshire Arts.

Alderman Domaingue stated excuse me sir I have the floor. I do not believe in any of the instances that you cited where they are offering to sell alcohol beverages. I will certainly be happy to take a second look at the Full Board level. The second ordinance 17-27 in that it specifies any business entity that serves rather sells alcoholic beverages, but I will stand by my original objections and I see no reason as a member of this Committee to do anything other than what we have already done.

Mr. Girard said he had a couple of points. Having read this ordinance and having dealt with this issue for the last several years. I do not think the Police Department at this point and time because the Palace Theater sells alcohol during its intermissions is in the business of licensing the Palace Theater to have theater shows. The ones that the gentleman mentioned are known to us all for obvious reasons. The fact of the matter is that there is nothing exotic or unusual that this ordinance seeks to remedy. Mainline theater and I don't think for example, some art class in the Manchester Institute for Arts and Sciences is going to require the Police Department licensing for entertainment in order to do that. Gentleman brings out the examples which though I do not see have any basis and further more. I won't speak for Alderman Cashin but in answer to his question who is Alderman Cashin he is the leader of the Community here and is a leader in the Community he has every right to try to introduce standards of conduct by which we all abide. That is the hallmark of a civilized society. I would agree with the Alderman Domaingue that was really unnecessary to personally attack Alderman Cashin for trying to do his job as Alderman in the City.

Chairman Sysyn agreed with Mr. Girard totally.

Mr. Girard continued by saying in all due respect this is really not a debate. It is not a public forum.

Mr. Lolicata said that he shouldn't be allowed to speak. These are Traffic Committee Meetings, Chairman Sysyn, in all honesty, this belongs in the public hearing. You have the right to say yes to somebody or no to somebody. This is a Committee Meeting of the Traffic and people have to be recognized.

Chairman Sysyn stated that she did not feel that he was going to change anybody's mind. He has had his say and I think that..

Mr. Ketchum asked if he could say two things.

Chairman Sysyn told him to go ahead.

Mr. Ketchum said that first of all she mentions serving liquor not selling it. Secondly, wards have a funny way of going around back in the pawn each other. Well you can say that the Palace Theater is respectable, it is this it is that.

Mr. Girard said that they do not have to go to the Police for a license to hold shows. Second of all I really do not think that the Police Department is going to be in the habit of rejecting Broadway Shows that come to Manchester.

Mr. Ketchum stated that then they should make an exception in this.

Mr. Girard responded then that is what you are looking for. Then perhaps you should recommend that to the Committee. That rather coming in here and threatening to sue on behalf of some foundation.

Mr. Ketchum asked if he had said anything about suing?

Alderman Domaingue said that neither Mr. Girard or the visitor are members of this Committee and as a member of this Committee I would appreciate it if we could end this debate. We gave the gentleman the courtesy of being heard. At this point he does have the option to go the Full Board. On August 6, at 7 o'clock there is a Public Forum and you are welcome to make your statement there.

TABLED ITEMS

Communication from Ald. Reiniger submitting proposed revisions to existing City ordinances.
(Tabled 2/26/96)

This item remained on the table.

Communication from Chief Favreau, requesting consideration that 10-hour meters along the south side of Manchester Street, between Pine Street and the driveway to the Police station be installed.
(Tabled 5/21/96)

This item remained on the table.

Communication from Mary McKillop, Optima Health Visiting Nurse Services, requesting that the alley (Chandler Street) behind their location at 1850 Elm Street be changed to a one-way running from north to south.

(Tabled 6/4/96)

This item remained on the table.

There being no further business, Alderman Reiniger, duly seconded by Alderman Domaingue, made a motion to adjourn.

A True Record. Attest.


Clerk of Committee