

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

April 7, 1998

Upon Recess of BMA

Mayor Wieczorek called the meeting to order.

The Clerk called the roll. There were fourteen Aldermen present.

Present: Aldermen Wihby, Klock, Reiniger, Sysyn, Clancy, Pinard, Shea, O'Neil, Girard, Rivard, Pariseau, Cashin, Thibault, Hirschmann.

Messrs.: Randy Sherman

Mayor Wieczorek recessed the meeting at the request of the Deputy City Clerk.

Mayor Wieczorek called the meeting back to order.

Mayor Wieczorek addressed item 3 of the agenda:

1998 CIP Budget Authorization:
8.30397 ADA Compliance - Revision #1

On motion of Alderman Pariseau, duly seconded by Alderman Reiniger, it was voted to approve the 1998 CIP budget authorization.

Mayor Wieczorek addressed item 4 of the agenda:

1997 CIP Budget Authorizations:
7.10219 Municipal Infrastructure Improvement - Revision #2
8.30353 Special Needs Education Facility Planning/Improvement -
Revision #1 - Closeout

On motion of Alderman Reiniger, duly seconded by Alderman Clancy, it was voted to approve the 1997 CIP budget authorizations subject to final approval of the related resolution.

Mayor Wieczorek addressed item 5 of the agenda:

1998 CIP Budget Authorizations:

7.30279 Relocate Airport Drive

7.30280 Construct Bridge for Taxiway "E"

On motion of Alderman Sysyn, duly seconded by Alderman Klock, it was voted to approve the 1998 CIP budget authorizations subject to final approval of related resolutions.

Mayor Wieczorek addressed item 6 of the agenda:

Appropriating Resolutions:

"Appropriating to the Manchester Aggregation Program the sum of \$748,445.00 from Aggregation Fees for the Fiscal Year 1999."

"A Resolution appropriating to the Manchester Airport Authority the sum of \$16,645,500.00 from Special Airport Revenue Funds for Fiscal Year 1999."

"Appropriating to the Central Business Service District the sum of \$230,000.00 from Central Business Service District Funds for Fiscal Year 1999."

"A Resolution appropriating the sum of \$3,056,609.00 from Recreation User Charges to the Recreation Division for Fiscal Year 1999."

"A Resolution appropriating the sum of \$9,288,448.00 from Sewer User Rental Charges to the Environmental Protection Division for Fiscal Year 1999."

"A Resolution appropriating to the Manchester Transit Authority the sum of \$900,000.00 for the Fiscal Year 1999."

"Raising Monies and Making Appropriations for the Fiscal Year 1999."

On motion of Alderman Reiniger, duly seconded by Alderman Wihby, it was voted that the appropriating resolutions be read by titles only and it was so done.

Alderman Rivard moved to amend the EPD resolution to read \$9,575,834 noting there was a \$287,386 difference in the request. Alderman Wihby duly seconded the motion.

Deputy Clerk Johnson stated amendments were being made to Salaries and Wages by adding \$111,636 for a new total of \$1,709,018; Line Items Expenses were increased by \$175,750 for electricity for a new total of \$3,637,655 for a grand total amount from \$9,288,448 to \$9,575,854.

Mayor Wieczorek called for a vote on the motion. There being none opposed, the motion carried.

Alderman Pariseau asked relative to the Aggregation Program where were they getting the money, the \$748,445.

Mr. Sherman replied each participant of the program would actually be getting a fee on their bill based on a per kilowatt hour basis. If you recall when we made the presentation back in January and before that as well, what they were trying to do was to take the fees and spread them over the whole basis; that there would be no discrimination in how those fees are paid; that right now there were different rate classes and they were not looking to spread those among different rate classes and any different form, so a residential account they had calculated would actually be in the fifty, sixty cents per month range and would then get into municipal accounts and industrial accounts who would again pay that same fee on a per kilowatt hour basis.

Alderman Pariseau stated this was then coming from the rate payers.

Mr. Sherman replied it would come from the rate payers of all of the participants of the program; that there was actually no tax subsidy to this, but it would be spread not only over the Manchester rate payers but any rate payers from Nashua or Londonderry or Derry who would join in the program as well.

Mayor Wieczorek asked are you all set, Alderman Pariseau.

Alderman Pariseau replied, I guess so, I still don't understand the process.

Mayor Wieczorek suggested a special meeting be held with Alderman Pariseau so that he could understand it better.

Alderman O'Neil interjected, I don't think he's alone, your Honor.

Alderman Pariseau asked what were they going to do with the money; that the rate payers were sending the money to the City of Manchester general fund.

Mr. Sherman replied they were sending nothing right now because there were no participants at this point.

Alderman Pariseau asked what would happen if they didn't generate the \$748,445.

Mr. Sherman replied if they went back to the actual Aggregation Plan which the Board adopted, it showed the way in which the fee was calculated by taking the budget they had and divide it by the kilowatt hours running through the program. So, once they had that they couldn't help but calculate that fee.

Alderman Pariseau asked how did it get to the City.

Mr. Sherman replied it would be collected by the supplier and then forwarded on to the City.

Mayor Wieczorek stated wasn't this covered back in January.

Mr. Sherman replied in the affirmation; that what they had been able to tell from the Pilot Program was that the average residential customer had saved about \$20.00 a month on their bill; that there were some who had been saving up to \$60.00 a month on the bill, so in order to cover that the fee of getting those types of rates...

Alderman Pariseau asked what is involved in the program that we have to...we're collecting it from the fees and we're paying "who".

Mr. Sherman replied we will have some staff, there will be some customer service staff to answer questions, sign people up, sort of work as the middle man but the bulk of the dollars go to the contracting. In order to get these rates, we're running an EIQ and an RFP process and dealing with a number of the departments of the City on.

Alderman Pariseau asked is the City running an electric company.

Mr. Sherman replied no, absolutely not. We are just an aggregator, we are really an agent for the participants of the program (i.e., residential, commercial, industrial, and other communities as well).

Alderman Pariseau stated those funds come from the utility, they're collected by the utility.

Mr. Sherman replied they will be collected on the monthly bill and forwarded across to the City.

Alderman Pariseau asked what if people refuse to pay that surcharge.

Mr. Sherman stated they'll be seeing a lower rate, I don't understand why they wouldn't want to pay that surcharge.

Alderman Pariseau asked can we get a breakdown as to what this \$748,455 represents as a payout (i.e., salaries, fringe benefits, etc.).

Mr. Sherman replied it should be in your budget book, there should be a line item detail.

Alderman Girard stated as I understand it, it is an enterprise account, so the revenues will not come to the general fund, but rather directly to this account and all of the expenditures will come from this account and not from the general fund.

Mr. Sherman replied correct.

Alderman O'Neil stated, Randy, you had stated that there has been no fees yet, but wasn't there an appropriation of almost six hundred thousand dollars this year acting under an enterprise account.

Mr. Sherman replied actually there was appropriation of over a million dollars this year, of which we have only spent a small fraction of that noting that we have not fully staffed the program at this point because until we are actually at the point where we are enlisting participants certainly there was no need to have customer service people on board and we have delayed the actual EIQ/RFP process to actually target the date of competition. So, as explained in January the EIQ did not even go out on the street until January and don't expect to have the RFP out on the street until May and would probably not even start negotiations on it until at least late June if not early July.

Alderman O'Neil stated we haven't paid a consultant a dime this year.

Mr. Sherman replied we have paid a consultant to help us develop the EIQ's.

Alderman O'Neil asked where is that money coming from.

Mr. Sherman replied it would come out of the enterprise fund...

Alderman O'Neil interjected of which there is no fee yet.

Mr. Sherman stated yes, of which there are no fees yet.

Alderman O'Neil stated, your Honor, it makes no sense to me. How can we be paying out of an enterprise account...

Mr. Sherman stated in order to collect the fee the program has to be up and running and in order to run the program dollars have to be spent upfront; that the resolution was \$300,000 less than last year's resolution and to cover those costs we would have spent during this year, we don't need the million dollars again and would expect that once they had the actual contract in place, the next year's would go down substantially more.

Alderman O'Neil asked where is the money coming from.

Mr. Sherman replied the actual cash is being fronted out of the City's cash, like we front cash for the other enterprises if they need funding.

Alderman O'Neil asked to how much at this point.

Mr. Sherman replied it was about \$300,000 at this point.

Alderman Shea stated I just wanted to clear my mind...we are paying consultants for the electric rates and so forth to reduce the rates...you had meetings with these people and their lawyers and consultants, is that correct.

Mr. Sherman replied that is correct.

Alderman Shea asked where did this money come from, from the taxpayers or was the Board of Mayor and Aldermen giving them...in other words their salaries and the expenses.

Mr. Sherman stated in '96 and '97, it came out of the general fund, we came to the Board and the Board appropriated some money to do that. For fiscal 1998 it is now being charged to the enterprise fund.

Alderman Shea stated wasn't that quite a bit of money, I thought it was \$2.1 million or am I wrong.

Mr. Sherman replied no, it's no where near there noting I don't have that presentation given back in January, but it was close to \$500,000 of which the City of Nashua and the City of Keene picked up a portion of that.

Alderman Shea stated these people are still working on the project...in other words, statewide is it suppose to be a reduction of electric rates but the judge down in Rhode Island has temporarily caused a delay or what.

Mr. Sherman stated PSNH has filed a complaint in Federal Court which they had recently amended because the commission was taking care of some of their concerns, but it's down in Rhode Island due to some conflicts in New Hampshire but we feel that based on the latest order by the Commission that there is absolutely no reason to actually have a restraining on this process and I can tell you that I'm sure the Commission is going to be filing an appeal and we'll go through that route.

Alderman Shea asked when can we expect some kind of resolution, is there any specific time, in your opinion.

Mr. Sherman replied statutorily the date is still July 1. Obviously, if the Federal Court has a restraining order in place we won't meet that date, but July 1 is still effectively the date.

Alderman Shea stated Massachusetts didn't seem to have any problem, they've reduced their rates haven't they.

Mr. Sherman replied Massachusetts passed legislation to reduce their rates. But, there's two issue with that. One, there is currently a petition to have that put on the ballot to repeal that and two, when Massachusetts reduced their rates they really did it artificially. They came in and told people what they would charge and then they told them if it's less than market rates you can collect it later which being from New Hampshire it sounds and smells a lot like what's happening in New Hampshire and why we are where we are today.

Alderman Thibault stated I attended that meeting of the aggregation group, your Honor, and I guess this is not a mandatory thing, you don't have to join this if you don't want to, as I understand it from the meeting that I went to. What it is is that last year or the year before I imagine the Board appropriated the \$600,000 which is the figure I had in mind, but it might be higher than that, but what happened is that you people gave this money so they could explore cheaper electric rates because of the deregulation of electricity right now in the State you wanted to explore different ways of getting electricity and the projection that we get from attending that meeting that I was at, they tell us that in all probability residential customers would save 20%, so this is why I was certainly in favor of it and that's why I attended that meeting and I think that it's going right along well except for this thing that just happened now, but I don't believe that will stand and don't forget that in New Hampshire we are the highest paying electric state in the country, so anything we can do to reduce our rate would be greatly appreciated.

Alderman Rivard stated no question, it sounds like a good program, but I think there is some confusion on how this whole thing is going to develop and it's my understanding that the Finance Office is like a broker, they're going to broker, they're going to advertise and try to get customers to commit and then you're going to find out how many kilowatts you need and then you're going to go out and purchase the electricity from a generating company, is that correct.

Mr. Sherman replied right, the only point I'd make on that is that the City will never actually own that power. You're right, we're more as a broker, we pool the participants and then we get someone to supply to those participants for a rate.

Alderman Rivard stated there will be staff in your office who are going to make this thing work, so some of this money you are asking for is for staff in the office, is that correct.

Mr. Sherman replied that is correct.

Alderman Rivard stated we are then looking to provide financing for staff people in your office to attract customers and to work with the power companies who are going to sell us the power and how many more employees is that going to take, how much is that going to cost, and we're not going to be doing the billing, the company will be billing customers directly and they're going to be sending us the check. So, how many people in the office are we going to need.

Mr. Sherman replied we have five, we have two right now, but we're looking to add three customer service because once you start dealing with Nashua and Londonderry and Manchester you're talking two hundred two hundred and twenty-five thousand population.

Alderman Rivard stated you are then establishing a billing department.

Mr. Sherman replied it will just be a customer relations, sign-up, and answer questions and once we feel that we certainly have people on board and we can reduce that, we certainly will reduce it, but we haven't hired any of those people yet.

Alderman Hirschmann stated, Randy, I'm a little surprised at how much money was spent in that enterprise already. I really didn't realize it was that high and I'm quite surprised, I know that we didn't recoup any revenues yet...what I'm asking you is are we going to get some seed money from Nashua, are we going to get some seed money from Derry, is someone going to help us out. It just sounds like \$300,000 out on the limb right now on our own is a lot and how much this year to do you anticipate...another \$300,000, you're not going to spend the \$700,000.

Mr. Sherman replied my guess is the whole \$700,000 won't be spent only because we may...and some of it is in contingency. It depends on how far along we get with the RFP process this year. As far as whether Nashua or Keene or any of the others will be giving us any seed money...Nashua is currently scheduled to hold their public hearing on the program on April 15th, so until they have actually signed the administration agreement that we sent out to you back in January they technically are not part of the program, but to date they have given the City about a quarter of a million dollars.

Alderman Hirschmann stated they've given \$250,000 that we can count as revenue against the enterprise.

Mr. Sherman replied no that was back in '96 and '97 and they have given us...out of that \$250,000 I think maybe about thirty or forty thousand of that may have come in fiscal '98.

Alderman Hirschmann stated some communities are planning on giving us money this calendar year.

Alderman Clancy asked are we going to act as a broker for Nashua, Keene and Derry besides ourselves.

Mr. Sherman replied we will actually...each one of the communities will actually have their own aggregation plans. What these other communities have said is it doesn't make a whole heck of a lot of sense to duplicate the effect that Manchester has already put in over the last couple of year, so they are looking to sign an agreement for the City to actually administer their programs, so that \$748,000 actually will get spread out over a number of communities just like you do with sewer rates and water rates.

Alderman Clancy stated I would think that the more we have in this program the lower the electric rate would be.

Mr. Sherman stated exactly.

Alderman Girard asked, Mr. Sherman, is the fee that the Aggregation Program is going to charge to the users going to cover not only the \$748,000 in the enterprise for this year, but is it going to cover the million dollars going backward.

Mr. Sherman replied it will cover whatever had been spent during fiscal '98 which again is not going to be near the million dollars.

Alderman Girard asked can the fee be structured to recover any property tax dollars that have been put forward to the Aggregation Plan whether its the Pilot Program or anything that the City has paid from the general fund.

Mr. Sherman replied no, if you read the Aggregation Plan, the fee should only cover those costs that have run through the enterprise so anything that was expended prior to the creation of that I don't think I'd feel comfortable going back and charging that.

Alderman Girard stated it is possible that the City could charge the enterprise for the cost of creating the enterprise and have the enterprise pay that cost so that we could recover those funds on the general fund side of the budget.

Mr. Sherman stated it is certainly something that could be looked at.

Alderman Pariseau moved to table this item.

Mayor Wieczorek stated it's going to a public hearing.

Alderman Pariseau stated I would still move to table it.

Mayor Wieczorek asked to do what.

Alderman Pariseau stated we all have several questions to ask.

Mayor Wieczorek stated my suggestion is let it go to the public hearing.

Alderman Pariseau stated the City put up \$300,000 back in '97, '96 and he just said that those people aren't getting their money back.

Alderman Hirschmann seconded the motion to table pending further notice.

Alderman Pariseau stated they invested in the program for payback and they aren't getting any payback.

Mayor Wieczorek suggested they let it go to public hearing noting, Randy, what you should do is get sort of an executive summary here so that there is a better understanding on this Board of what this program is all about with the ultimate goal being the reduction of electric rates.

Alderman Pariseau stated there are still a lot of greys areas here and to just say we are going to allocate \$748,455...show me the money.

Mayor Wieczorek reiterated that is why he felt there needed to be an executive summary out here so that everybody had a better understanding that obviously what they have now.

Alderman Cashin stated two people have been hired with an additional three more.

Mr. Sherman stated once they start lining up participants they would look to get folks on, if we send out a mailer we expect phone calls back, so we would need to have people there to answer their questions about the program.

Alderman Cashin stated his understanding this evening is that nothing would be done until it went to a public hearing and came back to the Board and no one would be hired, money would not be spent, and they would do nothing.

Mr. Sherman replied that is correct.

Alderman O'Neil stated I throw this question out to Randy or Tom Arnold...where does deregulation...as Alderman Shea mentioned the court ruling the judge has ordered that there is not going to be a trial date till November, this thing could drag on for another year, is there a real need to appropriate the money.

Mr. Sherman replied as I stated earlier in talking to the PUC they don't feel that there is any need for the actual restraining order to be in place. The only reason the judge put the restraining order on deregulation or on the Commission to stop deregulation for PSNH was because of financial hardship. The Commission has gone back, they have amended their order, they have addressed numerous issues that Public Service had, they've just gone through a refinancing docket up at the PUC (Northeast Utilities/PSNH) have the financing they need to cover their current costs and we don't see that there is financial hardship in place anymore and we feel that the TRO (temporary restraining order) will be lifted. Now, that does not mean that every issue will go away and there still can't be a court case, but that probably means that they're not going to stop competition from going forward and you have to understand that even if this gets pushed off to January 1, 1999, you cannot sign participants up on one day's notice and you cannot get a supplier in here on one day's notice. There needs to be some ground work laid in order to get to that date and that is what we have been holding up on certain things which is why the EIQ didn't even go out until January because initially the date was January 1, 1998, so we held all of those items off, we didn't hire the staff, we didn't do any mailings, we didn't sign up any participants but you need to be ready to go when that date is finally decided whether it's July 1 or September 1 or January 1.

Alderman O'Neil stated the problem is we have been spending money, we have no idea what a Federal judge is going to say, he's not going to hear this until November and it could drag on four or five months from November...Tom, do you have any opinion on this.

Assistant Solicitor Arnold stated I guess I could re-express what Randy has just said that the initial case was brought on a complaint that basically said that the way that the PUC had structured their order so that rate making would be made based on market rates rather than cost base rate making which the State has done in the past would force them into a default loan covenant and ultimately they said bankruptcy. What happened is the PUC on a motion for rehearing went back and amended that order to go back to cost base rate making which is why Randy said that the feeling is that the temporary restraining order that was issued was

probably no longer necessary and hopefully will be lifted. Now, I stopped trying to predict what courts were going to do a number of years ago, but I think that the possibility is good that that temporary restraining order will be lifted noting there is also presently a case pending before the New Hampshire Supreme Court which has been accelerated to the point where oral arguments are going to be on May 26th of this year.

Alderman O'Neil stated but an order from the Federal judge supersedes any orders by the State judge, correct, yes or no.

Assistant Solicitor Arnold replied I can't answer that question yes or no. I think if you force a yes or no answer the question is yes, but the State litigation plays into what the Federal judge is going to do. There are certain State law questions that the Supreme Court is going to deal with that will go into the Federal judge's decision. There is certainly an interplay here between State law and Federal law dealing with energy here in this State.

Alderman O'Neil stated I want to go on record as not spending a dime until we figure out where this whole thing is going because we've spent money and it hasn't saved the ratepayers one cent, I don't believe.

Alderman Shea stated in preparing his brief if I might suggest...if Randy could explain in his executive brief why we did enter into this for the sake a clarification, how it has transpired, how it possibly may transpire in terms of the difference scenarios that might be taking place if a Federal judge were to rule, if a State judge were to rule so that there would be a plain breakdown on how much we have spent, how much we hope to achieve, why we entered into all of this because basically I think the question before everyone is why did we get involved in this, how come we're in the middle of it now, what can we do at this stage in order to make it plain and clear that it is a beneficial type of endeavor or it is not depending upon how the Board feels about it.

Mayor Wiczorek asked are you suggestions that in his executive summary he answer all of those questions.

Alderman Shea replied yes.

Alderman Hirschmann stated I seconded a tabling motion, your honor, and I think we should vote on it and I'll tell you what we should be paying for is an audit of that enterprise. The only money we should be spending on this whole thing right now is an audit of that enterprise because I had no idea that we spent \$300,000 and I don't think you had any idea that we spent \$300,000. Last year I was the loudest screamer about this...about the million dollars...and said oh, Alderman, don't worry about it, it's not going to be spent, it's going to be paid for by electric users.

Mayor Wieczorek stated I think we need to make sure that every thoroughly understands.

Alderman Hirschmann stated I want it recognized, I seconded a motion to table this and it's very important, your Honor.

Alderman Wihby stated if we table this today we are going to have to have another public hearing just on this one item.

Alderman Hirschmann stated we should.

Alderman Wihby asked why should we. We can bring this to the public hearing, talk about it there, and come back and table it after the public hearing. I don't know what the rush is about tabling it today, it doesn't make any sense.

Alderman Hirschmann stated we shouldn't be spending a dime more.

Alderman Wihby stated we're not, we've been told we're not.

Alderman Cashin stated I agree with Alderman Wihby, let's send it to a public hearing, but in the meantime I think Randy ought to get prepared to have a meeting with this Board and explain what's going here as there's an awful lot of questions here tonight.

Alderman Girard stated, your Honor, as a point of order this was a proposal that you made as part of your fiscally '99 budget and I believe the Charter requires your proposal in its entirety to be sent to public hearing, so I am not sure that this is anything other than an exercise to get it to public hearing, a tabling motion I don't believe is in order.

Assistant Solicitor Arnold stated as part of the Mayor's budget pursuant to the Charter it goes to public hearing.

Mayor Wieczorek asked do you still want to table it.

Alderman Hirschmann replied I don't believe in it, your Honor. I don't believe in what we're doing here.

Alderman Cashin asked would Alderman Hirschmann allow it to go to public hearing and in the meantime Randy can get together with us and explain what's going on. If it comes back from public hearing and we still don't like it we can vote it down.

Alderman Hirschmann replied vote the way you want, I'm voting no.

Roll call vote on the motion to table requested by Alderman Wihby.

A roll call vote was taken:

Alderman Wihby, Klock, Reiniger, Sysyn, Clancy, Pinard, Girard, Rivard, Cashin and Thibault voted nay. Alderman Hirschmann, Shea, O'Neil and Pariseau voted yea. The motion to table failed.

Alderman Wihby moved that the appropriating resolutions with amendments be referred to public hearing on Monday, May 4, 1998 at 7:00 PM at the Memorial High School Auditorium, One Crusader Way. Alderman Sysyn duly seconded the motion. There being none opposed, the motion carried.

Mayor Wieczorek addressed item 7 of the agenda:

Bond Resolutions:

“Authorizing Bonds, Notes or Lease Purchases in the amount of \$3,801,250 for the 1998 CIP 7.30279 Relocate Airport Drive.”

“Authorizing Bonds, Notes or Lease Purchases in the amount of \$2,264,000 for the 1998 CIP 7.30280 Construct Bridge for Taxiway 'E'.”

On motion of Alderman Wihby, duly seconded by Alderman Klock, it was voted to read by titles only and it was so done.

Alderman Wihby moved that the bond resolutions ought to pass and layover. Alderman Clancy duly seconded the motion. There being none opposed, the motion carried.

Mayor Wieczorek addressed item 8 of the agenda:

Resolutions:

“Amending the 1997 and 1998 Community Improvement Program, transferring, authorizing and appropriating funds in the amount of \$275,000 for two Community Improvement Program Projects.”

“Authorizing the Finance Officer to effect a transfer of Fifteen Thousand (\$15,000) Dollars from Contingency to the Office of Youth Services, Special Projects (Anti-Graffiti Committee).”

On motion of Alderman Pariseau, duly seconded by Alderman Rivard, it was voted that the resolutions be read by titles only and it was so done.

Alderman Pinard moved that the resolutions ought to pass and be enrolled. Alderman Reiniger duly seconded the motion.

Alderman Girard stated the \$15,000 from Contingency asked Alderman Shea as Chairman of the Committee on Anti-Graffiti noted that on the agenda for the meeting scheduled tomorrow asked what they intended to spend the \$15,000 on.

Alderman Shea replied the purpose of the Committee was to evaluate the concerns of different wards and the difficulty that business as well as property owners have noting they had to identify areas and because the Committee had not met prior to the contingency being voted upon they really didn't have an opportunity sit down and discuss how the seed money would be used and were hoping that they would draw up a plan whereby people who had graffiti would contribute amounts so that there would be a supplementary fund noting they did not intend to use all the money, but hoped it would be a beginning.

Alderman Girard asked how he came up with the \$15,000 amount.

Alderman Shea replied I came up with the smallest amount possible reasoning that I might not get more than that.

Mayor Wieczorek called for a vote on the motion.

Alderman Girard stated he was opposed to item 2(b) of the first resolution as he thought the \$74,000 should go into the PBS Annual Maintenance accounts for ADA work to the schools that need elevators.

The motion carried with Alderman Girard duly recorded in opposition to item 2(b) of the first resolution.

There being no further business to come before the Committee, on motion of Alderman Wihby, duly seconded by Alderman Pinard, it was voted to adjourn.

A True Record. Attest.

Clerk of Committee