

**COMMITTEE ON  
COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**

**APRIL 1, 1997**

Aldermen Robert, Wihby, Reiniger,  
**Room**  
Clancy, Domaingue

**5:00 PM**

**Executive Conference**

Chairman Robert called the meeting to order.

The Clerk called the roll.

Present: Alderman Robert, Alderman Reiniger, Alderman Clancy,  
Alderman Domaingue

Alderman Hirschmann also was in attendance.

Absent: Alderman Wihby

Chairman Robert addressed item 6 of the agenda first:

6. Request from the Highway Department regarding need for additional \$11,000 in Bond funds for the Biron Bridge Project.

Alderman Domaingue moved to recommend the resolution be amended by adding the \$11,000. in bond fund for the Biron Bridge project. Alderman Reiniger duly seconded the motion. There being none opposed the motion carried.

Chairman Robert next addressed item 8 of the agenda:

8. Request for \$10,000 CIP Cash for gazebo.

Alderman Clancy moved for discussion. Alderman Reiniger seconded the motion.

Alderman Clancy commented that they were going to spend \$10,000. for the gazebo and they had a lot of other priorities questioning the need in comparison to other priorities.

Alderman Reiniger noted that he had been informed by Patrick Duffey that approximately \$80,000 had been raised for this purpose.

Alderman Domaingue commented that this gazebo was part of the 150th birthday celebration of the city as a whole community and felt that there was an obligation to fund the \$10,000.

Following brief discussion, on motion of Alderman Clancy, duly seconded by Alderman Reiniger, it was voted to recommend that \$10,000. be added to the Cash portion of the CIP Resolution for the Gazebo project.

Chairman Robert addressed item 3 of the agenda:

3. Discussion with Parks & Recreation regarding priorities relating to Parks over the next five years.

Chairman Robert noted that there had been concerns regarding a couple of projects sucking up all of the available funds for parks projects and how that would detract from other projects.

Alderman Clancy noted that he understood it was a five year plan at Livingston, and he was not totally against but questioned if they were going to put all of their monies in one basket up to Livingston Park and let the rest of the parks go for five years, or did they have other plans.

Mr. Ron Ludwig responded that it was difficult as they go forward with money to speak to it, all of their projects were phased in, and their goal in phasing in projects was to do them in a cost effective manner with some sensitivity to bring each phase to some kind of closure. In other words, maybe not being able to have funds come forward in the out years in all cases, a lot of things change, even aldermanic seats change and maybe the votes aren't there to support a project going forward in the years 2000, or 2001. He thought that was the difficult part that they were forced with. Mr. Ludwig stated that certain projects as he looked at the list, say Livingston project in conjunction with West Memorial, for instance at Livingston when they are talking about a track they were talking about a large chunk of money that it is just related to the track itself, and you really can't build a track one lane at a time you have to get the whole thing in and the field to have it make sense, so that was a large chunk of money and when you tie in the underground utilities and the rest of the site work that has begun in phase I you've

easily spent \$500,000 like this year on that project. Mr. Ludwig noted that in out years they would like to say that maybe that project gets to a point where they can say well, we can put it on a slower track and go forward with a little less money in the out years and maybe the West Memorial Facility comes forward and it will need a larger commitment in some out years because then they get to addressing track, and maybe relocation of the tennis courts, so you tie those things together they just can't be really separated and you come up with a larger chunk of money in the out year for that particular project and that was what they were faced with in trying to go forward with these projects in phases. Mr. Ludwig noted that they knew money was the issue and that was what they had to deal with.

Alderman Clancy noted that they had done phase I and they had now okayed another \$550,000, and that Alderman Pariseau wanted to have something done at Precourt Park, West Memorial Field they wanted to have something done, East Little League wanted something done, and all these other parks. Alderman Clancy noted the was not trying to pick on Livingston Park but were they going to put all the money for the next five years at this park.

Mr. Ludwig stated he thought it was a commitment that they had to make, they come forward with a plan, try to follow the plan to the best of their ability, for instance the plan over the last several years had been to address the elementary school facilities and site improvements and those kinds of things and had been trying to stick to that plan, and they were now down to a couple of elementary schools that were still in need of some attention that had not received that yet, but you put a plan forward and try to stick to it to the best of your ability and to the extent of how funding comes forward. Mr. Ludwig stated he thought the easiest solution in the out years as you can see by what the department has identified is for neighborhood parks, school site facilities and those kinds of things, there was a lot more than the actual \$750,000 that is being identified as there, the easy solution is that if more money was appropriated than they could spread it around a little more. Mr. Ludwig stated that they knew that neighborhood parks are issues they were for him, Derryfield Park, Stevens Park, Precourt Park, and they could go on, it was just a matter of trying to set some priorities and say what is available for the money. If they wanted to go a little bit slower at a Livingston in an out year because they were just going to punch the road through and they could dedicate a little bit to that then you can jump over to West but the easy solution to him, looking at \$750,000 in one lump sum in 1999, is that he thought the aldermen needed to take a hard look at saying \$500,000 additional to that goes a long ways to identifying additional needs, and while he probably shouldn't say that but it was the fact of the matter, it was just not a lot of money to go forward with the magnitude of the projects they had on the table.

Alderman Clancy asked if there was a plan for the next five years. Mr. Ludwig responded that they did but it could change.

Alderman Clancy reiterated earlier comments on concerns for putting all the money in one place utilizing an example of a minor league team perhaps wanting to come to Gill and they need to fix it up for say \$100,000 and all the funds were programmed for Livingston, and the minor league team is willing to invest \$50,000. but wants the city to match it were they going to be able to do something like that.

Mr. Ludwig responded that they had tried to approach each project in some logical manner, and not that he liked to study or plan things to death, but the fact was that they tried to come forward with masterplans at the Livingston Park project; that they originally had come in with a proposal to put the track in a completely different place than what they're suggesting; that West Memorial - another master plan had come forward and then the acquisition of the Naval Reserve property hopefully has changed that plan around a little, but the idea was to go through the planning if they did have or identify some dollars to go forward with some kind of plan for Precourt park, there was not a lot of space to do things with over there, but certainly things need attention like the entrance coming into that area, and the parking facilities, and playground area in the back of the two field or if they wanted to look at putting in another soccer field, he thought it was a difficult thing to do but maybe it would work. Mr. Ludwig continued stating that to come forward with a plan and then say here it is, here is what the league has identified, here is what the little league people have identified, the soccer people have identified, as there needs and then go forward with a plan based on what the people in the field tell them. Mr. Ludwig stated that at this point he did not think they had identified a plan although some dollars have been identified whether they will be funding for them or not, he was not certain at Precourt. Mr. Ludwig stated he would like to break out into neighborhoods as well.

Alderman Clancy again asked about a five year plan.

Mr. Ron Johnson of the Parks Department addressed the committee stating as far as a five year plan he thought they had been working on one for perhaps the last several years, they had developed one as part of a requirement for federal grant program to make them eligible for that. Mr. Johnson stated they looked at the park system which was divided up into several different categories - school parks, which include the elementary schools, the junior highs and the high schools; neighborhood parks; community-wide parks, Livingston for instance is a community wide park it really doesn't serve as one ward it services the whole community because of all of the facilities that it provides; then were city-wide

parks and then riverfront parks. Mr. Johnson stated they had a plan set out for five years to address all of those, they were working right along on the school recreational facilities program, which addressed a lot of the elementary schools; that this past summer they completed McDonough and Wilson schools as part of those programs, and they had been laid out, they had a few more elementary schools which they would to address - so they had been working on the plan for that. Mr. Johnson stated that their request for 1998 totaled for bonded projects \$3.6 million, those were the requests, and they were being proposed for \$750,000 so they could see the situation that parks was in. Over the past few years the city and the department had relied on a lot of federal funding for those programs, they had used CDBG funds for certain target areas, land and water conservation funds, but a lot of the federal programs were drying up and the CDBG funds were being limited to the areas that they can spend it; that the areas around the peripheral of the city where the bonded projects are taking place have really been overlooked over the last few years, that's why Livingston, West Memorial Field, Precourt Park, they were not eligible for the federal funding, so it had to be a commitment by the city for a bonded or cash projects, and that had not been available. Mr. Johnson stated that for this year they had \$3.6 million, next year they had projected out \$2.6 million, and it was being funded at \$750,000. Also there was a change on how it was being appropriated, before they used to break them out according to the different categories, Riverfront Park or Neighborhood Park or a School Park, and now it was being proposed for FY99 to lump it into just one project, called school/park improvements, so it puts the pressure back on parks to figure out how they were going to spend when they need \$2.6 million but they figured out how to spread \$750,000 over those areas.

Alderman Clancy noted that a city this size did need three good track field, they had one in the south, there should be one at Livingston, and there should be one on the west side, they had three good high schools and there was no need for them to all go to the Lemire field to train.

Alderman Domaingue stated the problem she was having was communication. They were talking about \$750,000 asking what that included. Mr. Johnson stated that was the bonded money that was being proposed for this coming year. Alderman Domaingue stated that was not including whatever they spend on enterprise, they were not limited to the \$750,000.

Mr. Ludwig responded tax funded.

Alderman Domaingue stated that Livingston was a problem for her because as an alderman, maybe she missed the meeting, but she didn't see the Phase I, II and III project laid out for them - what was in each phase, how much it was going to be so

that was where some of this questioning was coming from, asking if that was something that had been presented to this board.

Mr. Ludwig commented, being somewhat new to the process, he thought if they looked back at the onset of the Livingston project and the West Memorial Project and there was some back and forth about those projects, as he recalled they had both been identified.

Alderman Domaingue asked if the parks department had presented to the committee a laid out plan for what was include in Phase I, II, and III of Livingston. Mr. Johnson stated it was in the current CIP request. Alderman Domaingue asked if they knew what the breakout was, was it true they were going to throw an ice hockey rink in there too. Mr. Johnson responded that this was laid out in the overall plan. Mr. Ludwig noted it was in the master plan. Alderman Domaingue stated she should not have to ask these questions, she should have the information presented, and she knew she didn't and didn't know if any other member of the committee did. Mr. Johnson noted that they had presented it to the Planning Department, it was in the breakdown of the request.

Alderman Domaingue stated that what she was saying to them was what they were hearing from constituents in different wards that they have priorities for their neighborhoods, their schools for their neighborhood parks , they want to know from the aldermen why, and what is included, and where this all fits in with their individual areas, and what she was saying was that she was not being given the information she needed to give her constituents the proper response from parks.

Mr. Ludwig stated that they had been asked to look at Livingston Park and if she was not invited to any of those public sessions he apologized for that, but there was a lot of involvement for the Livingston Park area, the initial initiative behind the Livingston Park project called for quite a different set up as it relates to where the track would be and that was not their recommendation as a part of the master plan; that they had laid out a master plan for the whole park facility which included around 30 acres, and felt that they had done it with sensitivity to the pond, to a proposed hockey facility, to a proposed renovation of a 1934 year old pool that's never had anything done to it, in the event that the aldermen would like to address all those concerns in the out years. Mr. Ludwig stated if it was the alderman's desire in the out years not to fund those things then they do not go forward, they just wanted to make sure that they identified the land that things could happen if they ever wanted to see them happen.

Alderman Domaingue stated that the aldermen had to weigh the priorities of what it is they are funding, the areas of need, before they can just latch on to the

Livingston Plan and what she was saying to him was that she would have been better able to gauge whether or not they could do certain things at certain times as an alderman if she had been informed as to what was included and she did not and at this point she was requesting it.

Mr. Ludwig stated that this was not a problem.

Alderman Domaingue asked about the Riverfront Park master plan, what it was, noting it was item 21, questioning if it had to do with anything such as a riverfront stadium no proposed. Mr. Johnson responded, no, it was a separate entity dating back about 10 years. Mr. Johnson stated that the Riverfront master plan about 15 years ago the city started to look at the Merrimack and Piscatquog rivers to develop rehabilitation projects, that's when Arms Park was built, Gateway Park, Lowell Plaza and they put in the boat ramp. Alderman Domaingue stated so it was still on the list of priorities. Mr. Johnson replied to visit that back again to look at the riverfront, there has been talk of extending the Heritage Trail and bike trails down along the river so looking at that and then now with the issue of the Hobo Jungle area with the riverfront stadium and the area to the south, we just want to revisit that.

Alderman Domaingue asked about the recreation facilities at schools, noting some discussion has take place with respect to the playground equipment at Weston School, and she noticed in the newspaper that Highland Goffs Falls, which was in her district, was also mentioned asking if that was something she was going to be getting some paperwork on, because no one had notified her of a playground plan for Highland.

Mr. Johnson responded they had a program called School Recreational facilities that addressed all of the elementary schools, usually what they do when they get the appropriation, they did a plan about six years ago that looked at all the elementary schools and came up with a priority list of what needed to be done, not only does it address playgrounds but we try to take a comprehensive look at the site. It addresses parking, sidewalks, landscape, athletic areas, and they were down to about four schools left that they had not addressed. Mr. Johnson stated that they usually sit down with the school administration, the folks from the planning department to kind of decide which is the next priority. Mr. Johnson stated that with the money that is being proposed they would only have enough to do one school for next year.

Chairman Robert commented that their time was limited because another meeting was scheduled.

Chairman Robert commented that at the public hearing people asked for money for Weston School, Alderman Pariseau asked for money for Precourt Park; that x amount of money had been allocated for repairs in the mayor's CIP and asked if the committee wanted to amend that. Chairman Robert stated Weston School, on the school playground, was it in the guide path of getting done.

Mr. Ludwig stated that Weston School had nothing, not even a fence.

Chairman Robert noted that the committee could add to the cash portion.

Mr. Ludwig stated that he would recommend they look at it, it was difficult to pit Weston School against Highland Goffs Falls, not they sit down and say what is at Highland Goffs Falls - well they have a slide in the woods that doesn't go anywhere with a couple of swings and a basketball hoop - what do they have at Weston - nothing.

Chairman Robert asked what he recommended to the committee.

Mr. Ludwig stated that he recommended that either cash or bond funds be added to this project, noting he had said at the outset that \$500,000 additional in the out years would go a long ways.

Discussion ensued where it was concluded that if the amount were increased from \$150,000 to \$300,000 they could probably do both sites in the coming fiscal year. Within the discussion Mr. Johnson noted that Highland Goffs Falls was a neighborhood park as well. Mr. MacKenzie noted it would be bond funds. Alderman Clancy noted the need for Weston school to have something for the kids to do on recess. Alderman Domaingue noted that she had been discussing a possible entrance from the back of the site because of the traffic congestion on Goffs Falls Road. Mr. Ludwig noted that they were considering moving the playground area to a different location of the site, and removing the tennis courts, which would not interfere with a second entry from the back. In response to questions Mr. MacKenzie noted by adding \$150,000 as a 15 year bond they would be adding about .3 cents on the tax rate for each year that the bond is outstanding.

On motion of Alderman Clancy, duly seconded by Alderman Domaingue, it was voted to recommend an increase of \$150,000. to the School/Parks Improvements project for a total of \$300,000. to be earmarked for the Weston and Highland Goffs Falls School sites.

Chairman Robert noted that they had a request from Alderman Pariseau for Precourt Park asking if there wasn't some money raised for Precourt.

Mr. Johnson stated that there was some money appropriated to the park from the Sports Authority, an allotment of money that was divided into three projects, the Memorial Track received some funds, South Little League received some funds, and \$1,500. was set aside for looking at the playground and the far side of the pond.

Chairman Robert noted his concern was to fund the project.

Mr. Johnson noted that there was a small amount left over from the \$1,500. but it was probably under \$1,000.

Chairman Robert asked what he needed to complete the project.

Mr. Johnson responded there was a community group that had come forward from the South Beech Street neighborhood that was interested in having a playground put on the site; that they also had a request from the South Junior Soccer for an additional Soccer field in that area, so they were getting several requests in. Mr. Johnson stated that our proposal was really to take a step back and look at the whole site to see what we can do, it is a small area, and it would be tight to fit in more facilities. Mr. Johnson stated that he thought the initial request was for \$25,000. to do a plan and then in the out years start to do any of the capital improvements.

Chairman Robert commented that the planning phase should be done before anything else can be done. Mr. Johnson responded that was correct. Chairman Robert stated that would be done more towards the 1999 CIP, asking if there was money to plan. Mr. Johnson responded no, there had been no appropriation for 1998 at this point, they had requested it but nothing had been appropriated.

Chairman Robert noted that the Committee's choice was now whether they wished to add \$25,000 for the planning as cash, noting Alderman Pariseau had asked the Committee to do something to move the project along.

Alderman Domaingue stated that there was things in the CIP cash portion that they could take out to fund this item. She was looking at the Compensation and Classification study which was \$155,000; Police Department Grade School Programs she didn't know why it was not included in the departmental budget; Dilapidated Building Demolition, \$19,000. could be in contingency; SKIPPEE Committee she hadn't had a chance to question on; and Greenstreets was getting

an additional \$10,000 from other, so they could remove the \$3,000. Alderman Domaingue noted that the total she had blocked off was about \$197,000. in cash could be changed.

Alderman Domaingue stated that before they did another park, these two schools were part of a finishing project that parks and rec had. Precourt Park is on a list of parks, West Memorial Field before they begin to add for design from Precourt and she was not opposed to that, is West Memorial Field going to be finished because she thought out of respect to that side of the city they need to finish a project before they go adding to the list of what we are going to be doing, and she was curious as to whether all of the improvements for West Memorial Field will have been completed. Mr. Ludwig stated absolutely not, but they felt with the money that they had appropriated for this year and then the additional 100 that brings them at least to Mr. MacKenzie had indicated that they do obtain the Naval Reserve Center there would be some funds that could possible come forward for demolition there, that would help us out and not remove money for what has been appropriated for West Memorial, it also according to reports from landscape architect gets the site leveled off, prepared and brings the site at least to a point where they are ready to go forward, because once that is done they are basically into relocation of the track and then upsetting the whole facility down there which brings forward a larger chunk of money at that point, if they just go forward with the track and don't do the tennis courts then the rest of it logistically doesn't play out for us, and to go forward in a cost effective manner at that point, unfortunately they would like to say they could split that up into two additional phases and FY 1999 but he thought that was difficult.

Chairman Robert asked if the committee wished to add on \$25,000 for Precourt, noting he would ask after if anyone wished to take from other projects.

Discussion ensued where Alderman Domaingue repeated the options of removing funds from other projects as she had proposed, at the members request. Alderman Domaingue asked about the SKIPPY Committee. Mr. MacKenzie stated that this would be for those projects that are not bondable in city schools, frequently you have problems with schools major repairs to floors, roofs or ceilings, that are not bondable because it is too small an amount of money and they are too large to come out of the annual budget of PBS. In response to question, Mr. MacKenzie advised that the funds would go to actual repairs of the schools. With regard to Project Greenstreets, Mr. Johnson advised that it was a self funded project where they get an advance, they plant trees for residents and they pay back into the program. Alderman Clancy noted it pretty much paid for itself and was a worthwhile project.

Alderman Clancy questioned the Dilapidated Building account asking if there was any money left over. Mr. MacKenzie advised that there were some small amounts left in CD and Cash, noting they were never sure when they would have a rash of a couple fires and they are required to demolish them. In response to questions, Mr. MacKenzie noted that there was about \$23,000 left in cash and he usually got about \$50,000.; that he had expended about \$27,000 this year not including the 4-H Center.

Chairman Robert asked what they wanted to do about Precourt Park.

Alderman Clancy moved to add on the \$25,000.

Alderman Reiniger stated his problem with Precourt Park was that it was relatively low on the list from Parks, they had just heard about it and he would like to see more work from Alderman Pariseau and the Planning Department in making some effort to find the money before coming to us, before we add it on. He did not want to add it on at this time.

Alderman Domaingue did not wish to add it on either.

Chairman Robert noted that Alderman Domaingue made a proposal. Alderman Domaingue asked if they would be interested in removing the money from another project for Precourt, such as Classification and Compensation.

Alderman Domaingue stated that she was not going to make that motion because she concurred with Alderman Reiniger that they needed to see a plan.

Chairman Robert noted so they wished to pass the request by and perhaps deal with it later on a board level.

Members concurred.

Chairman Robert noted that this concluded the discussion of the parks.

Mr. MacKenzie noted that at the committee's request he had copies of the phasing and cost estimates for Livingston Park, and rough draft estimates for West Memorial Field. The information was distributed to members. In response to question Mr. MacKenzie noted that the Livingston Park would be a \$3.3 million project in total, the request being over a five year period but it would depend on how much the Board would allocate in funding for each year.

Chairman Robert noted that time was running out. City Clerk Bernier noted that the committee appeared to need more time, the 11 minutes left would not be sufficient to address the balance of the agenda which was when they needed the Personnel Committee to meet. Clerk Bernier noted that the Mayor's wishes was to get this through this evening, and the numbers are not yet finalized so they would have to complete things for the April 15 meeting, and have a special board meeting on April 22 after the Finance Committee meeting already scheduled. Chairman Robert noted that he would address scheduling a follow up meeting or recessing later in the meeting.

Chairman Robert addressed item #4:

4. Discussion with the Traffic Director regarding procedures relative to new signalization.

Chairman Robert asked if there was any money in FY1998 to install a new signal anywhere in town. Mr. Lolicata responded no.

Chairman Robert stated that the Committee was asked to do that.

Mr. Lolicata noted it would be an add on.

Chairman Robert noted that the request was for a specific intersection, but the committee also expressed concern as to how this intersection fit in with the rest of the priorities and what they were.

Mr. Lolicata noted that this went back a half year or more, it was brought upon by Alderman Shea and it came to him because of the constituents in the Cilley Road area, where it was going on, topography involved and a lot of things. Mr. Lolicata noted that what brought it to his attention was the Police Department who came up with a three year profile on this based on accidents, it was a high ratio with 20 accidents since 1994 to present; that based upon that Alderman Shea requested him to send in a letter asking for signalization up there. Mr. Lolicata stated that he had done so based upon the reports of police and meeting up there with the people of the area over the last three or four months.

Mr. Lolicata noted that this was the second request they had had; that the requests come through aldermen. Mr. Lolicata noted that the other one was the Elm and Brook interesection, which had a survey and study done, based upon the last year to police and based on the accidents and report he had written the letter.

Chairman Robert asked if the Committee could recommend funds for a specific location or x amount for new signalization. Mr. Lolicata noted that in the last few years all of the money in their programs has gone to repairs/replacement of existing signalization, other than new construction done by Highway or the State. He noted that Traffic's priorities for new signals are based upon requests from Aldermen. Mr. Lolicata noted that they had asked for years for the Brook and Elm signalization, they had studies perform and requested and received nothing in all that time. Mr. Lolicata stated that this is based upon an accident ratio.

Alderman Clancy asked based upon the ration, which intersection had more accidents, Brook or Cilley. Mr. Lolicata responded now, Cilley Road and Taylor; that Brook and Elm there was a lot involved in that, it had to do with the volume of traffic, the situation coming out of the millyard, number of accidents, in that span time they alleviated some of the accidents by taking no parking in certain corners, removing parking in front of Pappy's, etc. which had cut down the ration; that the warrants are still there, the study has been performed.

In response to questions, Mr. Hoben advised that there was no appropriation for Elm/Brook or new signals, the current funding was \$25,000 for LED's and \$33,000 for two controller replacements. Mr. Lolicata advised that it would require \$65,000 to add the Cilley/Taylor intersection signalization based on bids from previous years in additional funds, they did not want funds taken from the other projects. Mr. Lolicata noted that a study was not involved, the warrants had been met for Cilley/Taylor. Mr. MacKenzie noted that it possibly could be done with a 7 year bond, if cash was available to do it, that would be his preference.

Alderman Clancy moved to add \$65,000 in Cash funds for the Cilley/Taylor Signalization. Alderman Domaingue seconded the motion. There being none opposed the motion carried.

Chairman Robert next addressed Item #7 of the agenda:

7. Discussion with the Library regarding need for additional \$78,000 for Internet purposes.

Mr. Brisbin addressed the committee stating that if they did not get their application into the state for federal (LSDA) funding they loose an opportunity for \$40,000 out of a \$120,000 project; that they were asking for \$78,000 from the city, originally they had submitted in FY1998 for capital outlay but it was

suggested by the mayor's office that they could move it over to CIP, it would be a shared expense but they had to get their application in by the 4th. Mr. Brisbin stated that they had materials they had submitted to Planning which they could give members, it was an expanded local area network, what this is - it puts all of our automated resources, you come into the library now and go up to the dumb terminals and you can find title, author and subject, and they have a local area network where they have CD ROM resources, this expands that so that any terminal you go to is a computer workstation, it has not only title, author and subject, but Internet access it will have on-line periodical access, all of our services will be integrated into one keyboard, anybody can come it will be attractive and easy to use, it is also recommended in our needs assessment that they did last year and was supported by the library foundation, he had a copy of the needs assessment here, they have met with Diane Prew and had her support for it, they had good discussion with the mayor's office too on this, but trying not to lose the \$40,000 support that they can get through the state.

Alderman Reiniger moved to add \$78,000. in cash for the Library Internet project, and the grant funds. Alderman Clancy seconded the motion.

The clerk reminded the committee that one of the reasons they had wanted to talk to the library was because Mr. Girard had indicated at the last meeting that there was a possibility of trading projects, there was \$75,000 in Library Improvements.

Mr. Brisbin stated that the \$75,000 was for library renovations, they plan to do some painting, minor carpeting in the children's room, carpet the first floor, and the auditorium which had not seen anything since the early 60's. They wanted to do the seats, and lighting.

Chairman Robert called for a vote on the motion to approve the additional cash and grant allocation for the Library. The motion carried with Alderman Domainque recorded in opposition.

Chairman Robert advised that they would have to recess the meeting and return to discuss the balance of the CIP items. The following items were not addressed.

5. Discussion with the Public Works Director regarding parking facility projects and needs and related fiscal impacts.
9. Any other business relating to the FY98 CIP Resolution containing the 1998-2003 CIP Plan.

4/1/97 CIP  
15

On motion of Alderman Clancy, duly seconded by Alderman Domaingue, it was voted to recess the meeting until the next evening at 5:00 PM.

A True Record. Attest.

Clerk of Committee