

COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATION/INFORMATION SYSTEMS

February 23, 1999

6:00 PM

Chairman Pariseau called the meeting to order.

The Clerk called the roll.

Present: Aldermen Pariseau, Girard, O'Neil

Absent: Aldermen Rivard, Thibault

Messrs: T. Arnold, Mayor Wieczorek, R. MacKenzie, L. Lafreniere

Chairman Pariseau stated we are going to skip to Item 14 on the agenda:

Communication from Assistant Solicitor Arnold updating the Committee as to the status of the cable franchise contract renewal process.

Deputy Solicitor Arnold stated I think my letter is pretty much self-explanatory. I wrote it in response to the Committee's request at a meeting that I bring them up-to-date on the progress of the cable contract renewal. As you can see from my letter, Grace Sullivan and I have been working pretty closely. We have developed a request for expressions of interest and qualifications. That was sent out with a deadline for responses of the 10th of this month. On the 10th, we received four responses expressing an interest in representing the City. After receiving those responses, we found all four respondents to be qualified to represent the City and have since sent out a request for proposals with a deadline date for submission of the 21st of this month. I, of course, will keep the Committee up-to-date as to further progress. Once we get the responses to the RFP back, Grace Sullivan and I will be sitting down to interview those respondents and make a recommendation, which we will, of course, bring before the Committee prior to the selection of a consultant. I would be glad to answer any questions if you have any.

Alderman O'Neil asked when is the time period that we hope to have somebody on board.

Deputy Solicitor Arnold asked for the consultant, I would hope that we could complete the interview process by the end of this month and I would imagine that we would hopefully be back before the Committee on Administration at your next meeting with a recommendation. I don't know when your next meeting is scheduled for at this point, but that is my desire.

Alderman Girard moved to receive and file the report. Alderman O'Neil duly seconded the motion. Chairman Pariseau called for a vote. There being none opposed, the motion carried.

Alderman O'Neil moved to enter into non-public session under the provisions of RSA-91:A-3 Paragraph 2(c) to discuss the reinstatement of a license to operate a taxicab. Alderman Girard duly seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken. Aldermen Pariseau, Girard and O'Neil voted yea. Therefore, the motion carried.

While in non-public session, Mr. Ray Bergeron appeared before the Committee to appeal their decision to deny his taxicab license.

On motion of Alderman Girard, duly seconded by Alderman O'Neil, it was voted to return to public session.

Alderman Girard moved that the appeal for a taxi license be denied, and that the revocation be upheld for the maximum period of time allowable under the ordinance. Alderman O'Neil duly seconded the motion. Chairman Pariseau called for a vote. There being none opposed, the motion carried.

Chairman Pariseau addressed Item 5 of the agenda:

Communication from Mayor Wiczorek asking whether or not the City, as part of the FY2000 budget process should reconsider its role in the funding of non-profit organizations and whether it is prudent to the City to continue to do so.

On motion of Alderman Girard, duly seconded by Alderman O'Neil, it was voted to move the item for discussion.

Alderman Girard stated, Mr. Chairman, once you are done with your statement would it be possible to have the Mayor address the Committee.

Chairman Pariseau replied go ahead, your Honor, but I do agree that it is about time that something is done.

Mayor Wieczorek stated all I wanted to do was actually put this on the table so that we could take a look at it and formulate a policy to see what we do with these things. In the budget process, you don't see a lot of the things that we do and the items that we get and requests that we get from many of the non-profit organizations are really...and they are all very worthwhile organizations. I have yet to see one that I don't consider a worthwhile organization and I know that those we have funded in the past, we get requests for increased funding from those organizations and we get requests from new organizations every year and this year is no exception. Whenever pressure, financial pressure, is brought to bear then organizations are always scurrying around to find out where they can get some money and the City is one of the possible sources for them and that is why they come here. There are some in here, just a couple of items we have, in CIP Cash we have six projects and four organizations here. Organizations such as Child & Family Services, ALPHA, Child Health Services and Southern NH Services are all a part of it. The total there is about \$108,700. Then we have line item 171, which is Civic Contributions. We have Federated Arts to which we gave \$5,000 and the Portsmouth/Kittery Association where we have always given them \$200. Line item 172, Child Health Services, \$118,000 and deferred daycare of \$10,000. These are non-City programs that we have.

Alderman Girard asked the Portsmouth/Kittery thing that is to support the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard and their efforts to keep it open.

Mayor Wieczorek answered yes, exactly. So, these are all good organizations, there is no question about it. We look at what happens with some of these. The question is, if we did not support an organization, one of these, what would the City's responsibility be? Would it be something that we would have to take on if it isn't being provided by somebody else and if it currently is being provided by somebody chances are they will do it better than we would if we were just going to have to gear up to do it. What I would like to have your Committee do would be to take a look at this and formulate a policy. We have some programs where there are Federal funds and with those programs and even though those monies are used for operating expenses, it is something that we have to do to meet the Federal guidelines. So we are not talking about anything that has to do with Federal funds that come in here for Federal programs.

Alderman Girard asked the Federal programs in question would be the Community Development Block Grant and if I am not mistaken, the City can spend up to 15% of CDBG funds for these.

Mayor Wieczorek answered yes.

Alderman Girard asked is there a minimum amount and are we approaching the 15%.

Mayor Wieczorek asked Mr. MacKenzie to answer.

Mr. MacKenzie stated there is no minimum amount to be spent on human services. It is recognized by HUD though that as we prepare an action plan and we get information back from the community that we are supposed to meet the obligations of that action plan and several of the programs that we fund are in that action plan. We are, every year, up against that cap of 15% so we have to make sure we do not spend over 15% of the HUD funds for social service organizations. We do bump against that.

Alderman Girard asked the 15% ceiling, is that only for CDBG or does that include Emergency Shelter grant funds and home funds. Are there other Federal dollars in addition to those three grants that the City gets to pass through to the social service organizations?

Mr. MacKenzie answered home funds typically go to non-profits, but not really social service organizations.

Alderman Girard asked but they are not counted against that 15%.

Mr. MacKenzie answered that is correct.

Alderman Girard stated so the 15% cap is only CDBG dollars.

Mr. MacKenzie replied that is correct.

Alderman Girard asked in round numbers do you have any idea what that 15% is and what the other Federal pass throughs we get are.

Mr. MacKenzie answered we get approximately just under \$2.2 million this year in CDBG funding so 15% of that is going to be somewhere around \$350,000 approximately that we then fund, again, primarily social service organizations although certain City functions come under that such as for a while we funded Cops Ahead, the local portion out of Cops Ahead and the Universal Program we funded out of that as well so that was in that cap.

Alderman Girard asked for home funds and emergency shelter grant, if I recall there was a reluctance to use home funds at one point because it more or less

2/23/99 Administration/Info Systems

5

obligated us to create more of what we already have so much of and that is low income housing. Is that accurate?

Mr. MacKenzie answered we have focused a good deal of the home funds in the last year on home ownership and we have worked closely with NHS. A majority of those funds do go to Manchester NHS and they have really focused on trying to re-establish home ownership as a main housing type in the central city. So we do recognize that there are certain cases where you have abandoned buildings or old buildings that have problems that we may convert into rental and become affordable, but the thrust of the effort over the last couple of years has been home ownership.

Alderman Girard asked what are we talking about in dollars here.

Mr. MacKenzie answered this year's will be just over \$600,000 in home funds and in terms of the ESG, Emergency Shelter Grant, we were cut this year down to \$78,000.

Alderman Girard asked are there any other Federal funds of this type that the City parcels out to the community.

Mr. MacKenzie answered there would no other ones that the Board would have any discretion on sending out.

Alderman Girard stated no discretion, but that doesn't tell me how much we are actually sending out into the community.

Mr. MacKenzie replied it depends on whether you consider some of the Health Department Programs. They get direct grants from the State to address certain HIV programs and other refugee immunization programs.

Alderman Girard asked so they are used by the department and not sent through to the organization.

Mr. MacKenzie answered correct.

Alderman O'Neil asked does this all have to do with non-profits that we are helping to support in some way. Now is it my understanding that some of these do pay taxes? Some, I believe, might make payment in lieu of taxes and some wouldn't make any at all? I guess my point being that maybe we need some background information to specific programs.

Chairman Pariseau replied that was going to be my suggestion and maybe we could have a motion to that effect. We, as a Committee, could send letters out to these people that the City does contribute to and ask what effect this would have on their programs and I would also like a list of salaries, you know these people tell me they are hardship and yet they are paying some donkey \$150,000 a year. I have a problem with that.

Alderman O'Neil moved to send letters to the non-profit organizations that the City makes contributions to asking what effect it would have on their programs if the contributions stopped and asking for a list of all employee salaries. Chairman Pariseau duly seconded the motion.

Alderman Girard stated my guess is that if we send to the non-profit organizations that we are currently funding, a letter asking them how lack of funding will effect their operation, my guess is that the sky is going to fall and people are going to be out in the streets dying of hunger and everything else, so I think it is a fairly predictable reaction.

Chairman Pariseau replied I think they ought to include their salaries. As part of the motion, we do want to know how much their directors and employees make.

Alderman Girard stated I know where you are coming from, Mr. Chairman, but I guess the concern that I would have with that is I don't want to be in the position, as an Alderman, where I am determining the worth of a community service by the payroll associated with its operation. I think everybody is going to try to couch that in terms of what their administrative costs were and just to pick out a recent example, we recently got a letter from St. Joseph's saying that their administrative costs were only 14% and I am not picking on them, but were only 14% of their overall operation.

Chairman Pariseau asked then why don't they cut down on personnel.

Alderman Girard answered I don't know.

Chairman Pariseau replied this is the point that I am trying to make.

Alderman Girard stated it seems to me that if we are going to make a policy regarding this, I think rather than proceeding from the premise that we want to take a look at how they are staffed, what their overhead is, what their salaries are and whether or not we think they can manage or should manage their affairs better if they are going to qualify for City funding. I think that puts us in the role of trying to figure out a management structure for each organization and I am not

2/23/99 Administration/Info Systems

8

sure we want to do that. Maybe a way to start discussing this policy would be to get, from

the Assessors, a list of all the not for profit organizations in the City that own property and whether or not they make a payment in lieu of taxes for the City if we want to see what the value of their holdings in the City are and what they are actually contributing to the City's operation. I think the Assessors have that readily available.

Chairman Pariseau stated the Assessors are going to be at our next meeting dealing with that issue that I brought up to the Board of Mayor and Aldermen about the crack houses.

Alderman Girard stated the other thing that I would be concerned with, Mr. Chairman, regarding the approach that has been suggested is it also puts us in the position, I think, of looking more at how affairs are managed than really what the service being provided is. I am not sure I want to get into that because I think then we are taking a look at what the comparative worth of the organization is on the basis of how it manages its affairs and that to me is just putting us in the position where I don't think that the Lord himself could come down and figure out.

Alderman O'Neil stated I guess I am looking at this from a little different angle. The organizations that the Mayor talked about, we fund, but what they demand from the City is very little. I think there are some non-profits out there that we may not fund, but they get an awful lot of service from the City of Manchester and I think those are the ones that we need to be looking at, in my opinion.

Chairman Pariseau replied the question is how do we get there from here.

Alderman Girard stated perhaps a way for us to proceed, and the Mayor has asked us to report back to the Board by March 2. Your Honor, with all due respect, I am not sure that with where we are now that is practical. Perhaps, Mr. Chairman, for the purposes of developing a policy, perhaps we could take a look at the current grants that we are giving and try to make a determination as to whether or not revocation of that grant would cause the City to incur more direct taxpayer expenditures as part of its operation. Some of the organizations that we fund, as a City, like the prescription one...the one that we always run short of because the need for prescriptions is so high, what is that one.

Mr. MacKenzie replied it is the pharmaceutical program.

Alderman Girard stated that program is something that we fund the Community Health Center for, but because of that funding we are not having to spend twice that much over at the Welfare Department for the same type of service so maybe as a beginning point we could do an evaluation of where the money is going now

and see whether or not loss of those funds would cause the City to incur greater

cash expense for its own operations. If that was your suggestion, Mr. Chairman, I apologize.

Chairman Pariseau stated we have to start somewhere and you can't pick and choose these non-profits because you are going to get hit broadside by this guy while you are filling the pockets of that guy.

Alderman Girard replied rather than ask the non-profits whether or not loss of funding is going to affect them, maybe we should be asking the City staff whether or not loss of funds in these particular areas will cause the City to have to spend more money on its own operations. With all due respect to the administrators of the programs, I think that Commissioner Lafond and Mr. MacKenzie and the other departments that work with the community groups to administer these programs would be able to say, yes, if these funds disappear your costs over at Welfare are going to go through the roof so keep it here. I like your approach, but I don't want to ask the non-profits.

Chairman Pariseau responded why beat around the bush. For God sakes if we are going to do something, let's do it. We have to start. Here it is the 23rd of February and the budget process starts shortly. By the time we fiddle around with it, it is going to be May and the budget season is going to be gone by.

Alderman Girard replied I agree and I think it would be more expeditious for us to deal directly with the City staff to evaluate the grants and to set-up a procedure whereby all grant requests can be evaluated by the criteria of whether or not providing the grant will save the City money in its own operations.

Chairman Pariseau stated all non-profits are going to come in and say if you don't...and I will just mention ALPHA and I am not going to pick on ALPHA, but oh, if you cut us \$5,000 or whatever you are going to have more kids running out into the streets and the Police are going to have a harder time.

Alderman Girard replied I think we are going to have to look for direct costs, like the pharmaceutical program, Mr. Chairman. I am looking more at direct, definable costs because in theory, all of these non-profits have some indirect impact.

Chairman Pariseau stated shouldn't we just have a policy where we just terminate all the contributions to non-profit organizations.

Alderman Girard replied that would be the easiest thing to do, but I am not sure that it would be the best thing.

Chairman Pariseau stated they have been ripping us off for a long time, especially those that don't pay a dime in taxes.

Alderman O'Neil replied that is kind of a generalized statement, Mr. Chairman. That is not true. We have some very good non-profits out there.

Alderman Girard stated but in some cases like with the Community Health Center, eliminating their grant may actually cost us more money through the Welfare Department. That would be my concern, that we don't go through and eliminate those grants that are actually saving us money.

Mayor Wieczorek stated if you wouldn't mind I would make a suggestion that you take a look beyond what I have here because those that you are talking about with the Community Health Center come from different areas. I ask that you take a deeper look and have the staff take a look at what you have here and bring it in because there are some that I know if the services were not provided that the City would have to provide the services. I think you need to make a determination as to what you are going to do. Either assume those services or we will pay for them, but you need to have a general policy on what you are going to be doing because you get requests every year. As I said, every one I see is worthwhile. Every one I see.

Alderman Girard stated as a take-off on the Chairman's suggestion, perhaps the policy should just be no unless it is a situation where the City is going to end up having to provide the service. It is a very general point and it is offered as more of a starting point more than anything else for the sake of trying to develop the policy but is that what I am hearing, your Honor.

Mayor Wieczorek replied I think what you need to do is make sure that you understand how far reaching the implications are going to be on the decision that you make. I don't think you have all of the information.

Alderman Girard asked how do we get that information.

Mayor Wieczorek answered from the staff.

On motion of Alderman Girard, seconded by Alderman O'Neil, it was voted to refer the Mayor's letter to the staff and get back to the Committee on Administration as soon as possible.

Alderman O'Neil asked that would be a summary of where the money is going and if there is payment in lieu of taxes for their facilities and that sort of thing.

Mr. MacKenzie answered I am not sure that we can provide that and we certainly can't provide it in the next week. I think the question is an important...there are a couple of different questions there. Certainly one about our tax base because our tax base is eroding is an extremely important one and we have to decide, as a community, how we are going to handle the loss of a tax base to non-profits. That is a question that I am not sure if you can answer within the next couple of weeks. It is one that we talked about and would like to address, along with some other property tax and tax base issues. I would like to be a little bit more specific as to what we could provide perhaps in terms of the specific agencies that you talked about. I think we can provide you an evaluation of what they have done in the past, what services they do provide but ultimately I do think it is the Board's decision as to whether it comes under...the Board has a decision to make on whether they are providing a public health, safety and welfare service that the City might otherwise provide or is it costing the City money if we didn't provide that as the Aldermen indicated.

Chairman Pariseau stated I would be looking at a sheet of paper or maybe two sheets identifying the entity, whether they are paying taxes or payment in lieu of taxes, the City's contribution towards their activity and what would happen if the City didn't do it. Maybe a four column type thing.

Chairman Pariseau addressed Item 6 of the agenda:

Copy of a communication from Mayor Wieczorek to the Finance Officer relative to review of Finance Department functions, positions and future development.

Alderman Girard moved this item for discussion. Alderman O'Neil duly seconded the motion.

Mayor Wieczorek stated this should be one of your quick items that you are going to be discussing here tonight. The reason that I sent this to you really is more a matter of information in that we are going to be making some changes and I thought this would be a good time to take a look at some of the other things we are doing there. We will be doing this with other departments as well. This is merely for information. My recommendation is that you receive and file this.

On motion of Alderman O'Neil, duly seconded by Alderman Girard, it was voted to receive and file this item.

Chairman Pariseau addressed Item 7 of the agenda:

Communication from Alderman O'Neil relative to City policy regarding legislation and activities with the State of New Hampshire.

Alderman O'Neil stated could I make two recommendations in regards to this, Mr. Chairman. I had a nice discussion with Chief Driscoll about it and he convinced me that some of my ideas might be going a little too far. I guess I ask for two things and, Mark, I don't want to speak for you but I think we agreed on this. It might be helpful for us and I thought the Mayor knew every time somebody went up and my understanding is only if the Mayor asks them. It might be possible for the department heads to provide 15 copies. If they are just going up, a one pager, House Bill this with regards to this just so if you go up we know what is going on and we get the information from the Municipal Association on all of the House Bills. Just so we know where all of the departments stand. I don't think that is unreasonable. Just one page. Give it to your administrative people, ask them to make 15 copies and get it out. I am not looking for a detailed thing, your Honor.

Mayor Wieczorek replied you know the amount of bills you have that come through.

Alderman O'Neil responded but they are getting information that they know they should be up there testifying I think taking two minutes to do a one pager saying we are going up on such and such a date to testify on this bill and we are in favor of it or against it and get it out to the 15 members. If I tear it up, that is my problem.

Mayor Wieczorek stated the question is this is in no way going to effect the time is it.

Alderman O'Neil replied no, it could be after the fact. It could be the day after. I have no problem with that. Just so we know what is going on. Several times I have been approached by members of the Legislature, not necessarily Manchester representatives and asked what is going on and I really can't tell them what is going on. The second thing is, with regards to number three, department representation at committee hearings or commission hearings I said should be limited to one representative, but after a nice talk with the Chief, maybe it should read should be limited. That is all I am looking for with this. If we can adopt those two philosophies I am fine and we can move on.

On motion of Alderman O'Neil, duly seconded by Alderman Girard, it was voted to accept the policy submitted by Alderman O'Neil which was amended to read 1) If a department is going to testify on a bill, whether in person or by letter, they should notify the members of the Board of Mayor and Aldermen via a one page summary as soon as possible; and 2) Department representation at committee hearings or commission meetings should be limited.

Alderman Girard asked, your Honor, does any department head go to testify on any piece of legislation that you are not aware of.

Mayor Wieczorek answered yes, it is entirely possible.

Alderman Girard asked but aren't the departments supposed to let you know that they are going and make sure that their position is consistent with yours.

Mayor Wieczorek answered well when we used to meet every week, the department heads would be there to discuss legislation with whatever legislators showed up and I think we had a better handle on it then we do now.

Mr. Clougherty stated timing is the issue, I think on this. Ordinarily, department heads are meticulous about calling the Mayor and letting him know what is going on, particularly in the early part of the session. Once you get to crossover, as you know, things can happen in the morning so there have been times, I know, when I have been up there and I have learned that there is a hearing on a bill that is moving fast so what you try to do is call. You don't always make contact and I think the departments make the best effort. That was my concern before, Alderman. As long as we let you know but you have to understand that at certain times things are moving too fast.

Alderman O'Neil replied it could be two days after; that is fine. If somebody wants to volunteer, if a department wants to volunteer to make up a one page, very general summary of what is going on.

Chairman Pariseau addressed Item 8 of the agenda:

Communication from the Building Commissioner submitting a draft Building Code Ordinance.

On motion of Alderman O'Neil, duly seconded by Alderman Girard, it was voted to refer this item to public hearing.

Chairman Pariseau addressed Item 9 of the agenda:

Communication from Fred Harris, President of Riverfest, Inc., seeking permission that the City Clerk be instructed not to issue permits to any vendors in the "Riverfest" area during the celebration scheduled for September 10, 11 & 12, 1999.

Chairman Pariseau asked are we expanding the route of that territory.

Deputy Clerk Johnson answered no; the area remains the same.

Alderman O'Neil asked have there been some problems with this. Are there just some independents going down there.

Alderman Girard stated the reason for this is a couple of things. Riverfest was drawing a number of independent vendors outside of its gates within the area that were competing with the vendors inside the gate so it was becoming difficult to manage. The other thing was they were leaving an awful litter problem behind and really the only way to deal with it and this came at the suggestion of the Police, was to just block everybody out of the area so that we wouldn't have to deal with the clean-up issues. It really was a mess.

Alderman O'Neil asked, Mr. Chairman, is this consistent with other events that might go on like the Fourth of July or anything.

Alderman Girard answered this is special. This was really driven by the litter problems.

On motion of Alderman Girard, duly seconded by Alderman O'Neil, it was voted to approve this request.

Chairman Pariseau addressed Item 10 of the agenda:

Circus license application submitted by Donald Lewis on behalf of Bektash Temple to be held at the JFK Coliseum on April 8-11, 1999.

On motion of Alderman O'Neil, duly seconded by Alderman Girard, it was voted to approve the license application.

Chairman Pariseau addressed Item 11 of the agenda:

Communication from Crystal Nadeau, MADD, seeking permission for the placement of a banner on Hanover Street between Elm and Chestnut Streets two weeks prior to April 24, 1999 announcing the 2nd Annual Candlelight Vigil of Remembrance & Hope.

On motion of Alderman O'Neil, duly seconded by Alderman Girard, it was voted to approve this request.

Chairman Pariseau addressed Item 12 of the agenda:

Communication from Ron Pappas requesting a carnival license from April 15-25, 1999 for the annual Pappy's Pizza Spring Carnival, all proceeds to benefit the Central High School Gridiron Club.

On motion of Alderman O'Neil, duly seconded by Alderman Girard, it was voted to approve the license application.

Chairman Pariseau addressed Item 13 of the agenda:

Communication from Theodore Wadleigh regarding MediaOne Cable TV.

On motion of Alderman O'Neil, duly seconded by Alderman Girard, it was voted to refer this item to the license renewal process.

Chairman Pariseau addressed Item 15 of the agenda:

Communication from Deputy Clerk Bergeron advising that MediaOne has agreed to a policy change with respect to equipment charges.

On motion of Alderman O'Neil, duly seconded by Alderman Girard, it was voted to receive and file this item.

Alderman Girard asked on Item 13, can we send that to MediaOne and ask them for a response to Mr. Wadleigh's letter. Can we send this letter and ask them to respond to us and Mr. Wadleigh? I guess if they are requiring people to have the TV Guide I would certainly like to know about it.

On motion of Alderman Girard, duly seconded by Alderman O'Neil, it was voted to send a letter to MediaOne referring Item 13 to them and asking that a response be sent to the Committee and to Mr. Wadleigh.

Deputy Clerk Johnson stated I guess you went through the Building Department's Ordinance a little quick. He actually had some revisions to distribute and my suggestion would be that there be a motion to send the revised form to public hearing and we will check with the Committee on Accounts so that we send only one item.

Alderman O'Neil stated I have a question for the Building Commissioner. Leon, can you go over real quick what the changes are.

Mr. Lafreniere replied basically there are two changes to assure that we are in compliance with the Fire Department's regulations pertaining to alarm systems and pertaining to single legs of construction as they administer the life safety code provisions. The other change was already discussed before the Committee on Accounts. We had to address a mission for local alarm systems in our fee structure.

On motion of Alderman O'Neil, duly seconded by Alderman Girard, it was voted to refer the revised Building Code Ordinance to a public hearing.

TABLED ITEMS

16. Communication from Deputy City Clerk Bergeron submitting proposed changes to the Taxicab Ordinance.

This item remained on the table.

17. Communication from the Deputy Finance Officer inquiring of the Board's interest in pursuing an amendment to the State statutes (RSA 80:52-c) relative to accepting credit card payments for City services.

This item remained on the table.

18. Communication from Michael Aubert of Cosmic Egg Design appealing the decision to deny his dance/entertainment license application.
(Tabled 1/12/99 pending report from the Police Department.)

This item remained on the table.

19. Communication from Sandy Jenkins requesting a list of companies that have purchased electronic mailing lists for \$500 in the years 1997 and 1998.
(Tabled 12/1/98)

This item remained on the table.

2/23/99 Administration/Info Systems

20

There being no further business to come before the Committee, on motion of Alderman Girard, duly seconded by Alderman O'Neil, it was voted to adjourn.

A True Record. Attest.

Clerk of Committee