

**COMMITTEE ON ACCOUNTS, ENROLLMENT  
AND REVENUE ADMINISTRATION**

**September 7, 2004**

**Upon Recess of BMA**

Chairman Smith called the meeting to order.

The Clerk called the roll.

Present: Aldermen Smith, Guinta, Osborne, Shea, Thibault

Chairman Smith advises that Ordinance are to be considered for consistency with the rules of the Board, and required laws, and request the Clerk to make a presentation relative to the Ordinances.

“Amending Chapter 32. Boards, Commissions, and Departments of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Manchester by renaming the Art Commission to the Arts Commission.”

“Amending Sections 33.024, 33.025 and 33.026 (Economic Development Director) of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Manchester.”

“Amending Section 33.025 (Assistant to the Assessor) of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Manchester.”

“Amending Chapter 38: Code Enforcement of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Manchester by inserting new penalties in Section 38.06(A): Citation Penalties for various violations of Chapter 94: Noise Regulations.”

“Authorizing the Mayor to Dispose of Certain Tax Deeded Property Known as 398 Hanover Street, Map 0289, Lot 0015.”

“Authorizing the Mayor to Dispose of Certain Tax Deeded Property Known as Michigan Avenue, Map 0246, Lot 0001.”

Deputy City Clerk Johnson advised that all of these ordinances were presented at a regular meeting of the Board, the ordinances were referred to the Committee on Bills on Second Reading and those reports were contained within the agenda tonight. I would note that the second and third ordinances were amended in Bills

on Second Reading and the ought to pass were accepted. The zoning ordinance listed at the end was actually also amended in Bills on Second Reading following a public hearing to reflect the Option A. Those reports having been accepted the ordinances having had their second reading and presentations are properly enrolled in our opinion.

Alderman Guinta moved for discussion. Alderman Osborne duly seconded the motion.

Alderman Guinta asked is this the increasing of the impact fees, the last one?

Deputy City Clerk Johnson replied yes.

Alderman Guinta asked can we take that separately?

Deputy City Clerk Johnson stated to clarify, Mr. Chairman, could we ask for a motion to approve all of the others because I believe the motion was for discussion.

Alderman Guinta moved that all ordinances with the exception of the zoning ordinance are properly enrolled. Alderman Thibault duly seconded the motion. There being none opposed, the motion carried.

Alderman Guinta asked the Clerk to repeat her presentation relative to a public hearing being held with respect to the zoning ordinance.

Deputy City Clerk Johnson stated the last is the zoning ordinance on the computation of the impact fee; that was received by the Board, referred to a public hearing and to Bills on Second Reading. The public hearing was held, Bills on Second Reading met and amended it to Option A because there was an Option A & B presented at the public hearing and that report was accepted by the Board earlier this evening.

Alderman Guinta asked if you could just refresh my memory...Option A...there were two options presented by Bob MacKenzie and Option A was...

Deputy City Clerk Johnson interjected Mr. MacKenzie is here maybe he could address that for the committee.

Mr. MacKenzie replied yes the Option A was the smaller that would pay for improvements to the K-8 grade program; that Option B went A-12 although there were certain questions because all of the high school improvements additional capacity was actually being paid by sending towns through their tuition.

Alderman Guinta asked was the concern of the cost of low-income housing and that sort of thing addressed in committee or at the public hearing?

Mr. MacKenzie replied that was addressed I know that Alderman Roy had some concerns about affordability and ultimately opposed the increases...again, Option A was kind of in between the current impact fee ordinance and the larger one which was Option B.

Alderman Guinta stated just to refresh the Board's memory, the committee's memory there are only a small number of communities in the state that actually impose these fees is that correct?

Mr. MacKenzie replied yes. There are still a majority in the state that do not have impact fees although most of the southern communities do have impact fees, yes.

“Amending the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Manchester by amending Article 13, Section 13.04, Computation of impact fee.”

Alderman Osborne moved that the zoning ordinance has been properly enrolled. Alderman Shea duly seconded the motion. The motion carried with Alderman Guinta duly recorded in opposition.

There being no further business to come before the committee, on motion of Alderman Thibault, duly seconded by Alderman Shea, it was voted to adjourn.

A True Record. Attest.

Clerk of Committee