

## BOARD OF MAYOR AND ALDERMEN

June 3, 2008

7:30 PM

Mayor Guinta called the meeting to order.

The Clerk called the roll.

Present: Aldermen M. Roy, Gatsas, Sullivan, J. Roy, Osborne, Pinard,  
O'Neil, Lopez, Shea, DeVries, Garrity, Smith, Ouellette, Domaingue

### **CONSENT AGENDA**

**Mayor Guinta** advises if you desire to remove any of the following items from the Consent Agenda, please so indicate. If none of the items are to be removed, one motion only will be taken at the conclusion of the presentation.

### **Approve under supervision of the Department of Highways; subject to funding availability**

- A.** Sidewalk Petitions:  
395 Lowell Street  
465 Crestview Circle  
250 Coolidge Avenue  
305 Rockland Avenue  
1177 Union Street  
275 Trolley Street  
273 Merrimack Street  
108 Sixth Avenue  
74 Sagamore Street  
76 Weston Street  
220 South Hall Street

***Note: On file for viewing with Office of the City Clerk, One City Hall Plaza.***

- B.** Pole Petitions:  
11-1198 Eleven poles on South Willow Street.  
11-1204 One poles on Pinecrest Road.

**Informational to be Received and Filed**

- D.** Communication from Comcast updating the Board on their progress in providing additional High Definition (HD) programming to Manchester customers.
- E.** Communication from Comcast informing the Board that they are altering certain cable packages offered by the company and noting that changes will affect less than 1% of their customers.
- F.** Manchester Economic Development Office Quarterly Report for the period ending March 31, 2008.
- G.** Manchester Transit Authority minutes and reports for April 2008.

**REFERRAL TO COMMITTEE**

**COMMITTEE ON FINANCE**

- H.** Resolution:

“Authorizing the Finance Officer to make certain budgetary closings for Fiscal Year 2008.”

**REPORTS OF COMMITTEES**

**COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY, HEALTH & TRAFFIC**

- I.** Recommending that regulations for standing, stopping, parking and operation of vehicles be adopted as noted and those inconsistent therewith be repealed.  
*(Unanimous vote)*
- J.** Recommending that the request from the Parking Manager to extend the Parking Control Officer Pilot Program until September 30, 2008 be approved.  
*(Unanimous vote)*

- K.** Advising that a request from Greenman-Pedersen for signage on South Willow Street, Driving Park Road, and LeClerc Circle was approved for directional signage only.  
*(Unanimous vote)*
  
- L.** Advising that a request to replace “No Trucks Allowed” signage from I-293 to West Baker Street and Brown Avenue/Calef Road was approved under a 90-Day pilot program.  
*(Unanimous vote)*
  
- M.** Recommending that the portion of the Traffic Calming Initiative relative to imprinted crosswalks on Massabesic Street, near Old Falls Road, be referred to the Highway Department and Alderman Osborne for review and implementation.  
*(Unanimous vote)*

***HAVING READ THE CONSENT AGENDA, ON MOTION OF ALDERMAN O’NEIL, DULY SECONDED BY ALDERMAN PINARD, IT WAS VOTED THAT THE CONSENT AGENDA BE APPROVED.***

- C.** Communication from Carol Johnson, City Clerk, advising that the next regular meeting of the Board of Mayor and Aldermen is currently scheduled for July 1, 2008.

***Alderman Gatsas moved to change the next regular Board of Mayor and Aldermen meeting from July 1, 2008 to July 8, 2008. Alderman Shea duly seconded the motion. There being none opposed, the motion carried.***

- 4.** Nominations to be presented by Mayor Guinta, if available.

**Mayor Guinta** stated pursuant to Section 3.14b of the City Charter, please find the following nominations:

Russell Bell to succeed himself as a member of the Building Board of Appeals, term to expire January 1, 2011;

Daniel Wihby to succeed Signe McQuaid due to resignation as a member of the Board of Registrars, term to expire May 1, 2011;

Michael Skelton to succeed Louis DeMato due to resignation as a member of the Conservation Commission, term to expire August 1, 2009.

Edward O'Brien to succeed Gregg Sargent due to resignation as an alternate member of the Conservation Commission, term to expire August 1, 2009;

Stephen Hebert to succeed Michael Skelton due to resignation as a member of the Safety Review Board, term to expire May 15, 2009;

Michael Simoneau to fill a vacancy as a member of the Revolving Loan Fund Board, term to expire June 1, 2009;

James Folopoulos to succeed himself as a member of the Personnel Appeals Board, term to expire March 1, 2011;

Carol Bednarowski to succeed herself as a member of the Board of Health, term to expire July 1, 2011.

*On motion of Alderman M. Roy, duly seconded by Alderman Garrity, it was voted to confirm the nominations of Russell Bell, James Folopoulos and Carol Bednarowski.*

The balance of the nominations will lay over to the next Board meeting pursuant to Rule 20 of the Board of Mayor and Aldermen.

**5. Confirmation of nominations made by Mayor Guinta:**

**Heritage Commission**

Jeffrey J. Nyhan to fill a vacancy as an alternate member, term to expire January 1, 2011.

*Alderman Ouellette moved to approve this nomination. The motion was duly seconded by Alderman Garrity. There being none opposed, the motion carried.*

6. A Report of Committee on Community Improvement was presented recommending that a petition for discontinuance of Phillippe Cote Street be referred to a Road Hearing to be held on June 23, 2008 at 5:00 p.m.

*On motion of **Alderman Sullivan**, duly seconded by **Alderman O'Neil**, it was voted to discuss this item.*

**Alderman Sullivan** stated I guess I will direct this to the Solicitor. I went to my first road hearing earlier this year and I was a little surprised to find out that we were actually able to not only pay a visit to the location which of course is required under the RSA's, but we also voted on it when we were on site and not here in a public session. I was wondering if the Solicitor could get us some sort of background information as to whether or not what we're doing is in line with the open meeting provisions of state law.

**Mr. Tom Arnold**, Deputy City Solicitor, stated I believe it is. It's been a procedure with the City. The meeting is posted. It does state that we're going to the site, and the Board in the past has voted on site, and it is an open meeting. Anybody who cares to come down and watch or attend is free to do so.

**Alderman Sullivan** stated if my memory serves me correctly, the last time we did it we actually voted on the back of the bus.

**Alderman Gatsas** asked were the lights on?

**Alderman Sullivan** responded I don't remember if the lights were on.

**Mr. Arnold** stated and the door was open.

**Alderman Sullivan** stated I just have a bad feeling about that. Something about this doesn't sit well with me, and if there is any sort of legal precedent on this, I'd like to see it brought to the Board's attention.

**Mayor Guinta** stated the Solicitor can bring forward the appropriate statutory oversight, not at the moment but maybe for the next meeting just for our review.

*On motion of **Alderman M. Roy**, duly seconded by **Alderman Domaingue**, it was voted to accept the CIP recommendation for a Road Hearing.*

A report of the Committee on Community Improvement respectfully recommends that a request for acceptance and expenditure of \$34,402.00 in funds from golf tournament fund raisers be used for making improvements to the Derryfield Golf Course under the Parks Improvement Project be approved, and for such purpose a resolution and budget authorization have been submitted.

*On motion of **Alderman Garrity**, duly seconded by **Alderman Lopez**, it was voted to accept this recommendation.*

A report of the Committee on Community Improvement respectfully recommends that a request for acceptance and expenditure of \$729 from the United States Department of Health and Human Services Resources and Services Administration to be used to provide health services to homeless persons in Manchester under the Homeless Healthcare Project be approved, and for such purpose a resolution and budget authorization have been submitted.

*On motion of **Alderman Pinard**, duly seconded by **Alderman Sullivan**, it was voted to accept this recommendation.*

A report of the Committee on Community Improvement respectfully recommends that the following requests for sewer abatements

91 Laurel Street in the amount of \$294.30 and

181 Grove Street in the amount of \$313.20

be granted and approved in the amounts recommended by EPD. The committee further recommends that the request for sewer abatement at 100 Stewart Street be denied due to lack of information, as recommended by EPD.

*On motion of Alderman O'Neil, duly seconded by Alderman J. Roy, it was voted to accept this recommendation.*

A report of the Committee on Community Improvement respectfully recommends: that traffic improvements to the Campbell Street/D.W. Highway/Hamel Drive intersections be referred to the Highway Department for review and implementation.

*On motion of Alderman M. Roy, duly seconded by Alderman Garrity, it was voted to approve this item.*

**Alderman Garrity** stated CIP also took up project extensions to December 31, 2008. There's not a report, but it's in the CIP agenda, so I'd like to move that too.

*On motion of Alderman Garrity, duly seconded by Alderman O'Neil it was voted to accept this report.*

*On motion of Alderman Domaingue, duly seconded by Alderman Shea, it was voted to recess the meeting to allow the Committee on Finance to meet.*

**Mayor Guinta** called the meeting back to order.

9. A Report of the Committee on Finance was presented recommending that a Resolution:

authorizing the Finance Officer to make certain budgetary closings for fiscal year 2008

ought to pass.

*Alderman Pinard moved to enroll the resolution. Alderman Shea duly seconded the motion. There being none opposed the motion carried.*

**Alderman M. Roy** stated as I do every year Your Honor, I just ask the Finance Officer to provide what actions he does take to the Board so we can see those.

10. A Report of the Committee on Bills on Second Reading was presented recommending that an ordinance :

amending Chapter 30 City Officials and Employees of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Manchester by deleting references to the marginal and alphabetical indexing of the minutes of the Board of Mayor and Aldermen and sections 30.24 Index of Board Proceedings

ought to pass.

*Alderman Osborne moved to pass the ordinance. Alderman Pinard duly seconded the motion. There being none opposed, the motion carried.*

11. Communication from New Horizons requesting that the Board restore \$36,000 to the agency that was eliminated as part of the overall City budget.

**Alderman Lopez** moved to refer this item to the CIP Committee. The motion was not seconded.

*On motion of Alderman O'Neil, duly seconded by Alderman Gatsas, it was voted to discuss this item.*

**Alderman O'Neil** stated Alderman Lopez if you may give me a moment. One of the thoughts was that if we would direct the Welfare Commissioner to continue the program of \$3,000 a month and that we will monitor and work with him throughout the year, watch his back, that may be a more appropriate funding source right now, allowing New Horizons a little more assurance on that money. It's early in the CIP process. We know we have another request from Child Health Services coming that CIP is going to have to address so that was a suggestion from a number of Aldermen in the back room.

**Alderman Garrity** stated I have touched base with CIP staff. Looking at this some weeks ago, we were unable to find any funding for it currently. We are early in the budget season and things of that nature, but I would like to see us follow Alderman O'Neil's recommendation, because I have checked with CIP staff and the well is pretty dry.

**Alderman Lopez** asked are we saying to the Welfare Commissioner that we will give them \$3,000 a month and then if he needs money for any line item that we'll give it to him?

**Alderman O'Neil** stated I go on record tonight saying that Alderman, absolutely. I think if we had caught this earlier we probably would have fixed it in the process but we didn't have a chance.

*Alderman O'Neil moved to approve this item, with the stipulation that if the Welfare Commissioner needs money for any line item, we will give it to him. The motion was duly seconded by Alderman Garrity.*

**Alderman Lopez** agreed to rescind his motion to move this item to CIP, as long as the Board agrees to guarantee Welfare Commissioner Martineau the money.

**Alderman Gatsas** stated I know that Commissioner Martineau works very hard at his budget and if anybody could find \$36,000 in his budget, it would be Commissioner Martineau. I extend everything that he would do and certainly protect him on the backside.

**Alderman J. Roy** stated I just have a question about the process that's taking place here. These tax dollars were giving to a non-profit to administer and it was always my understanding that the Welfare Department actually did that. I think they do a very good job of it, as a matter of fact, but it says here that they are going to use this money to provide shelter, meals and food. Isn't that the job of the Welfare Department and the people that work there to do that?

**Mayor Guinta** responded they serve overlapping people; however, the shelter does have different day-to-day specific responsibilities than the Welfare Department. But, the money typically has gone from Welfare to New Horizons to ensure that the relationship is strong between the two agencies.

**Alderman O'Neil** stated I want to make it perfectly clear that we are committing to Commissioner Martineau that we are going to work with him throughout the year if there are any problems with this.

**Alderman Shea** stated I think there is tradition for that too because years back there was a problem. I believe we used the area over by Hackett Hill in order to supplement services that help Welfare Department had difficulty in doing. I

believe that we did subsidize that particular project. So there has been precedence for that kind of a relationship.

*Mayor Guinta called for a vote on the motion. There being none opposed, the motion carried.*

12. Communication from Amoskeag Industries, Inc. requesting payment of a 20% share of the City's net proceeds from the sale of the Sargent Museum at 88 Lowell Street.

*On motion of Alderman O'Neil, duly seconded by Alderman Lopez, it was voted to discuss this item.*

**Mr. Arnold** stated although I don't wish to speak for Amoskeag Industries I think perhaps I can summarize what they believe was the intent of the agreement that was entered into as it inter-matches with the purchase and sale agreement. We are talking about two different agreements here. Back in 1997 the City entered into a purchase and sale agreement with Sargent Museum, essentially conveying 88 Lowell Street to that organization for renovation to do a museum. As we all know that did not take place, unfortunately. However, the purchase and sale agreement provided that if the Sargent Museum was unable to complete the renovations to 88 Lowell Street by the end of 2005, that they would have until the end of 2005 to sell the building and split the proceeds with the City, That would essentially be 50% of the net proceeds of the sale going to the City. It also provided that if no purchaser was found by the end of 2005, the Sergeant Museum would transfer the building back to the City. The transaction took some time to close, basically due to environmental concerns at the property and as a matter of fact the sale did not actually close until May of 2002. In May 2002 there was an amendment to the purchase and sale agreement that actually it was the end of probably three or four or five amendments that provided that Sargent Museum had until December 31,

2007 to complete the renovations. That date was extended because of the extended because of the extended time it took to close on the property. Based on the purchase and sale agreement, Amoskeag Industries entered into an agreement to discharge their reversionary interest. That document was based essentially on the unamended version of the purchase and sale agreement, and it provided that if Sargent Museum sold the building prior to the end of 2005, the City would pay Amoskeag Industries 20% of the net proceeds. It went on to have some other provisions. If Sergeant Museum transferred the building to the City, if the City after getting the building disposed of the building, and basically from the Board's perspective in a nutshell what it essentially provided was if the City or Sargent Museum transferred the building to another non-profit that they could do so without a payment to Amoskeag Industries but the non-profit would have to agree that if they ever sold the building to a not-for-profit then 20% or \$20,000, whichever was less, would be paid to Amoskeag Industries. Amoskeag Industries, as you can see in their letter to the Board, essentially says that that was the agreement but they did not realize that the time for Sargent Museum to perform had been extended to December 31, 2007, and therefore their agreement did not reflect the December 31, 2007 date. I think what they are saying is, if we had known, what we would have done is in our agreement we would have changed the 2005 dates to 2007. The building sold in November of 2007. The City did get 50% of the net proceeds, and Amoskeag Industries is basically saying that they think the intent of the agreement, given their lack of knowledge they claim of the extension of the date to 2007, was that they should get 20% of the net proceeds from the City. I hope that fairly summarizes their position without attempting to speak for them.

**Alderman Lopez** asked do you legally agree that we owe them the money?

**Mr. Arnold** responded the agreement says 2005 but I can certainly accept and take at face value Amoskeag Industries' explanation of why they think it is within the intent of the agreement that they get the 20% based on their misunderstanding of the date by which Amoskeag Industries had to...

**Alderman Lopez** asked should we table this then, until you have legal opinion?

**Mayor Guinta** responded no, I think we are being given a legal opinion. The intent was to ensure that it either became a museum or if the City sold it by 2005, they would not give up the reverter right or to give up the reverter right they would take the 20 percent. Because we extended with Sargent Museum we didn't have a secondary agreement with Amoskeag Industries which we should have, the intent didn't go away; it still should be there. So is that fair to say? I did meet with both the Solicitor and the Deputy Solicitor today and at least what was conveyed to me is that we are obligated to pay the \$32,000.

**Alderman Shea** asked how much are we talking about?

**Mayor Guinta** stated roughly \$32,000.

**Mr. Arnold** stated by my calculation because we are dealing with net proceeds and I always put a caveat that this is my calculation, they are not always correct, would be \$27,069.

**Mayor Guinta** stated to come out of the proceeds that we have received. There's no impact to the tax rate.

*Alderman Shea* moved to pay Amoskeag Industries 20 percent (approximately \$27,069) of the City's net proceeds from the sale of the Sergeant Museum at 88 Lowell Street. *Alderman Smith* duly seconded the motion. There being none opposed, the motion carried.

**13.** Resolution:

*Alderman M. Roy* moved to waive reading on the resolution. *Alderman Osborne* duly seconded the motion. There being none opposed, the motion carried.

“Authorizing the Finance Officer to make certain budgetary closings for Fiscal Year 2008.”

*Alderman Osborne* moved to pass and Enroll the resolution. *Alderman Pinard* duly seconded the motion. There being none opposed, the motion carried.

**TABLED ITEMS**

**14.** Appropriating Resolution:

“A Resolution appropriating to the Central Business Service District the sum of \$244,000 from Central Business Service District Funds for Fiscal Year 2009.”

*Note: Recommendation from Central Business Service District (CBSD) requesting amendments as enclosed; An additional report from the Interim Planning Director is also enclosed.  
(Tabled 5/19/08)*

This item remained on the table.

**15.** Appropriating Resolution:

“Continuation of the Central Business Service District.”

*Note: Recommendation from Central Business Service District (CBSD) requesting amendments as enclosed; An additional report from the Interim Planning Director is also enclosed.  
(Tabled 5/19/08)*

This item remained on the table.

**16.** Appropriating Resolution:

“A Resolution appropriating to the Manchester School District the sum of \$140,000,000 for the Fiscal Year 2009.”

This item remained on the table.

**17.** Appropriating Resolution:

“A Resolution appropriating to the Manchester School Food and Nutrition Services Program the sum of \$5,826,500 from School Food and Nutrition Services Revenues for Fiscal Year 2009.”  
(Tabled 5/19/08)

This item remained on the table.

- 18.** Communication from Carol Johnson, City Clerk, providing the Board a report on the current status of activities at the Office of the City Clerk.  
*NOTE: Available for viewing at Office of City Clerk; previously forwarded to Mayor and all Aldermen.  
(Tabled 2/19/2008)*

*Alderman Garrity moved to remove item 18 from the table and to receive and file this item. Alderman Shea duly seconded the motion. There being none opposed, the motion carried.*

19. A Majority report of the Committee on Bills on Second Reading recommending that Ordinance:

“Amending the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Manchester by extending the General Business District (B-2) into an area currently zoned Residential One Family District (R-1B), being a portion of Tax Map 381, Lot 47 with an address of 466 South Willow Street and abutting South Lincoln, South Willow and Parkview Streets. A majority of the property is currently zoned B-2 and the petition would extend the B-2 to include the entire lot.”

be denied at this time.

The Committee notes that the business owner should work with the neighborhood and may return with a petition after addressing issue as noted in a communication from Alderman Garrity enclosed herein.

*(Aldermen Garrity, Pinard and Duval in favor. Aldermen Lopez and Gatsas opposed.)*

*(Tabled 06/05/2007)*

- A Minority report of the Committee on Bills on Second Reading recommending that Ordinance:

“Amending the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Manchester by extending the General Business District (B-2) into an area currently zoned Residential One Family District (R-1B), being a portion of Tax Map 381, Lot 47 with an address of 466 South Willow Street and abutting South Lincoln, South Willow and Parkview Streets. A majority of the property is currently zoned B-2 and the petition would extend the B-2 to include the entire lot.”

ought to pass.

The minority advises that the proposed zoning, in its opinion, is consistent with the highest and best use of the property and that neighborhood concerns can be best addressed through the development process at the Planning Board level, therefore, that such rezoning should be considered subject to the Planning Board approving any plans for development of the property.

S/Alderman Lopez

*NOTE: Available for viewing at Office of City Clerk; previously forwarded to Mayor and all Aldermen.*

**(Tabled 06/05/2007)**

This item remained on the table.

- 20.** Report of the Committee on Bills on Second Reading recommending that Ordinance:

“Amending the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Manchester by extending the B-2 (General Business) zoning district to include property currently zoned IND (Industrial) located on the south side of Gold Street east of the former Lawrence Branch of the B&M Railroad and including the following three lots Tax Map 875-14, 875-15, 875-16.”

ought to pass.

*(Aldermen Duval, Lopez, Garrity and Pinard recorded in favor; Alderman Gatsas opposed.)*

*NOTE: Available for viewing at Office of City Clerk; previously forwarded to Mayor and all Aldermen.*

**(Tabled 09/05/2006)**

This item remained on the table.

- 21.** Report of the Committee on Bills on Second Reading recommending that Ordinance:

“Amending the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Manchester by extending the R-3 (Urban Multi-family) zoning district to include property currently zoned R-1B (Single-family) located on a portion of Tax Map 691 Lot 143-1 that will be on the north side of a proposed Gold Street Bypass and adjacent to Bradley Street and the New St. Augustin’s Cemetery.”

ought to pass.

*(Aldermen Duval, Lopez, Garrity and Pinard recorded in favor; Alderman Gatsas opposed.)*

*(Tabled 09/05/2006) NOTE: Available for viewing at Office of City Clerk; previously forwarded to Mayor and all Aldermen.*

This item remained on the table.

## **NEW BUSINESS**

***Alderman Lopez** moved to have two regular Board of Mayor and Aldermen meetings in September instead of one meeting as the rules currently state.*

***Alderman Domaingue** duly seconded the motion. There being none opposed, the motion carried.*

**Alderman Lopez** stated the next item I passed out previously; I am sure you have read it; we are proposing a Municipal County Cleaner Manchester Project, which I started working on a number of years back, in 2005. I won't read the whole document but this was done in coordination with the former Public Works Director and the Superintendent James O'Mara of the Hillsborough County. I have worked recently with the President and Vice President, Moe Nault and Dan Garrity of the local union, to recognize that the City do work on projects greater priority that have been given. The area that we are talking about is the median strips in the City of Manchester that grow grass and everybody throws cigarette butts and all that. The idea is to have the prisoners go out there and clean up so the entrance of our City looks clean. The scope of the project is to pull the trash and weeds and to clean it up. The County would provide a work crew of eligible prisoners for a two week period, a truck with flashing lights to protect prisoners while working, and the Department of Corrections supervisors. The City would provide a complete list of median areas that needed to be worked on, provide the necessary tools to complete the task, and provide lunch for the crew. As you see in my correspondence an average of \$25 to \$50 a day adds up to five days of work for \$250 a week. Two weeks is \$500 and if they do three weeks it's \$1500. However, I am working on a sponsorship from local restaurants to provide lunch.

The benefit to the City is beyond the obvious improvement, the cleaning within the City and cost saving associated without paying City staff is about \$36,000 for an investment of \$1,000 or \$1,500 and probably nothing on the City side if I can get some sponsors. The Highway and Parks & Recreation Departments, working in conjunction with the Department of Corrections, will make arrangements as necessary.

*Alderman Gatsas moved to go forth with the Municipal County Cleaner Manchester Project at a cost of approximately \$1,000 or less to the City of Manchester. Alderman Domaingue duly seconded the motion. There being none opposed, the motion carried.*

**Alderman Ouellette** stated if we could have a list of the schedule, if the schedule is printed out, so we know which places will be worked on in our Wards when the time comes.

**Alderman Lopez** stated I will do that. The last item I have is on the tenth of June, we will be having the School Department, City Solicitor, Finance and whoever else comes here and talk about the School District becoming a Department. There was some confusion along the line, but we have to set a public hearing somewhere down the line in August sometime and I will yield to the City Clerk.

**City Clerk Carol Johnson** stated Your Honor, the City Clerk was directed to schedule a public hearing on the Charter Amendment Question and it has been unclear as to when the Board might want to hold that. It is our understanding you wanted a special meeting, which we have scheduled for June 10<sup>th</sup> and we will also place any final business that the Board needs to take care of relating to the budget, on that as well. In addition to that, there would be a public hearing required in order to place that on the ballot. The latest that could occur would be Friday

September 5<sup>th</sup> which we obviously would request not be the date of the hearing since we have an election shortly after that in the office, but when you hold that hearing, within seven days of that hearing you have to have another meeting to refer it to the ballot if in fact that is what the Board decides.

**Mayor Guinta** interjected lets just get it scheduled for the summer. Was that informational purposes only Alderman?

**Alderman Lopez** stated that is informational purposes as long as the City Clerk schedules it.

*There being no further business, on motion of **Alderman Smith**, duly seconded by **Alderman Pinard**, it was voted to adjourn.*

A True Record. Attest.

City Clerk