

**SPECIAL MEETING
BOARD OF MAYOR AND ALDERMEN
(PUBLIC PARTICIPATION)**

October 5, 1999

7:00 PM

Mayor Wieczorek called the meeting to order.

Mayor Wieczorek calls for the Pledge of Allegiance, this function being led by Alderman Sysyn.

A moment of silent prayer was observed.

The Clerk called the roll.

Present: Aldermen Sysyn, Clancy, O'Neil, Shea, Girard, Pariseau, Cashin, Thibault, and Hirschmann

Mayor Wieczorek advised that the purpose of the special meeting is to give residents of Manchester the opportunity to address the Board on items of concern affecting the community; that each person will be given only one opportunity to speak; that comments shall be limited to two minutes to allow all participants the opportunity to speak and any comments must be directed to the Chair.

Mayor Wieczorek requested that any resident wishing to speak come forward to the nearest microphone, clearly state their name and address when recognized, and give their comments.

Jeff Michelsen, 45 Falls Avenue, Manchester, NH stated:

In my capacity as Vice chairman of Citizens Against the Civic Center, I would like to read this prepared statement. I can assure the Aldermen that I have nothing personal against any one of them. Citizens Against the Civic Center reminds the public that four weeks from today the voters of Manchester will elect a substantially different Board of Aldermen and in all probability a new Mayor. After a decade of powerful and ever increasing special interest influence at City Hall, this will constitute a strong mandate for change from the voters. Finally, the patience of the taxpaying public is exhausted and the cry has gone out that enough is enough. This, not a minute too soon, but perhaps just a bit too late. CACC has regrettably come to the conclusion that the presently constituted Board of Aldermen will, in all likelihood, vote before the November 2 election or before a new Aldermanic Board is convened to proceed with the current civic center plan. Even as one quarter of the Board members leave the stage without even running for re-election, the scam goes on. While Manchester City Government heads in a new direction for a new millenium, the Aldermanic puppets keep dancing to the same tired old tune. The current civic center scheme, I mean plan, is analogous to a large, rotten onion. Peel back the layers hoping to find something of value and you are assaulted by a feted odor that actually brings tears to the eye. This plan for a sporting arena, were it no so financially irresponsible, is in reality a laughable proposition. It is a scheme that one would expect to encounter in some hopefully corrupt third world nation or in a backwater southern town run by good ole boys. It is not the product of productive, ingenious, parsimonious Yankees; rather it has been concocted by

morally bankrupt financial interests and promoted by sycophants who are incapable of counting 20 with their shoes off. It is truly a proposal that snuck into town in the dark of night and one that deserves to be run out of town in the bright light of day. CACC would like to salute those Aldermen who have managed to maintain a healthy skepticism regarding this Alice in Wonderland civic center proposition. Under immense pressure to confirm to the prevailing mindset of economic development, gibberish and hoopla, they have remained true friends of the taxpayers. You are appreciated and will not be forgotten. For those who sit silently on the Board grinning like the Cheshire cat while asking no questions and raising no concerns, CACC suggests they begin practicing the use of Humpty Dumpty's immortal words of explanation. "When I use a word it means exactly what I choose it to mean, neither more nor less." You will certainly need to have this phrase down pat if you choose to run for office again. Thank you.

Jeff Kassell, 22 Appleton Street, Manchester, NH stated I sat through the earlier meeting tonight of the sub-committee on the civic center. I noticed that there was a lot of talk about due diligence by the people who had been invited to talk before the sub-committee and I think there has to be more than talk about due diligence, whatever you think that means, because we are now dealing with corporations that have a history of default. The owner of that hockey franchise that is coming in here is being sued by a lot of people for default. Now Ogden, who is divesting themselves of their entertainment division, is being sued as well by the owners of their own company. Some very serious questions have to be asked, especially with regard to bank financing of \$10 or \$11 million. Banks are usually a little conservative with their money and they are going to be taking a very hard look at that. If I could draw your attention to a CACC's news release which I am co-chair of, Item 3 says that Howard Baldwin is given most of the credit for driving the Pittsburgh Penguins into bankruptcy and is being sued by practically everybody, mostly for default. This has also been featured in the *Union Leader* and in some other media news releases. I noted that, in fact, it is becoming incrementally difficult to find anyone involved in this project who is not being sued. This raises red flags for anybody that calls himself a fiscal conservative. We at CACC and other people as well in Manchester are very, very worried about this. Tonight I spoke with Skip Ashooh and I asked him what the chance was for the City to extract a promise for a performance bond from these two companies. I urge you to do it. Ogden recently tried to buy Volume who is a bidder in this contest to service that civic center as a manager and they have defaulted on that contract as well and it cost them \$10 million to do it. There will be a charge against the bottom line of Ogden for \$10 million. I think Manchester can do no less. You are not dealing with corporations that have a tremendous history of performing on their promises. That is all I have to say.

Don Welch, 147 Boutwell Street, Manchester, NH stated:

I come before you tonight to show you a news article that appeared in last Thursday's newspaper in Wilkes-Barre, PA. The newspaper is called *The Citizen's Voice* and it has the same overtones that are going on here in Manchester. The opening line in this story is "The Arena Excitement Continues to Build." What this article is about is about the good jobs that are going to be coming to Manchester as a result of this civic center. If you look at the mission statement of the Manchester Development Corporation, it is to spur economic growth, increase

the property tax base for the betterment of this community and all of the people that live in this community. When you look at this thing here, this is the company that is running the Wilkes-Barre arena down there, the jobs that Ogden is bringing into Wilkes-Barre, PA. Let me read this. The highest paid job Ogden Corporation is offering...well first of all students and seniors were among those that filled out applications. Let's put our seniors back to work. The highest paid jobs that Ogden Entertainment is offering include a \$10/hour position for an events technician who could be involved in the event set-up, operation and tear down procedures at the arena and an \$8/hour job for a changeover supervisor who would direct physical tasks such as setting-up and breaking down the arena. Jobs paying \$7/hour include changeover staff and custodial supervisors. Open positions paying \$6.50/hour include ticket takers, cashiers, sellers, guest service, supervisor and parking attendants. Other jobs include custodial staff paying \$6/hour and ushers and door guards, ticket takers and other event receptionists at \$5.50/hour. What I am getting at here is that if this City is going to make a commitment for the next 30 years, the debt service on this particular project that rises daily, we estimate at CACC to be about \$150 million. If we are to take \$150 million of taxpayer's money and create more \$5, \$6, \$7, and \$8/hour jobs, we have a problem because these jobs here not only bring in people that work at those wages and people that work at those wages...a lot of immigrants came to this City and worked at slave wages and wages well below. The last 10 years in this City we have seen jobs being created in the service sector and in the retail industries, which are not enough to pay rents, not enough to pay mortgages, not enough to feed the kids and clothe the kids. When you look at the ramifications of bringing in more \$5, \$6, \$7, and \$8/hour jobs to this City, we have a big problem. Thank you.

David Fowler, 634 Hackett Hill Road, Manchester, NH stated:

Mr. Mayor and members of the Board of Aldermen, on September 7 a member of the NH Sierra Club addressed you and spoke of her concerns of the importance of protecting the very delicate wetlands and forests of the 840 acres on Hackett Hill Road and I am here to add my voice to her concerns, but from the standpoint of an abutter to the property. As a direct abutter to the property, myself and other residents in my area are greatly disturbed at the prospect of this forest, which we consider a jewel in this City and the last remaining area that of its type in the City, is scheduled for development. My neighbors and I are very disturbed that you would allow this forest containing relatively rare trees and plants to be compromised in the name of progress and development. You certainly must realize how much more traffic and noise this will add to our area. Isn't this idea of development in the outer edge of our City the worst case of ugly and possibly uncontrolled urban sprawl that we should all try to avoid. Certainly, there is adequate space for additional offices and industry downtown in the Millyard area and in the central area of the City that should be developed as much as possible and it is certainly much more convenient for people to get there by foot and by public transportation. Other cities that I know of are very proud to preserve areas like this. Indeed, they even market the fact that open areas like the Hackett Hill forest within the City limits is something that people would want to come to, especially young people. I spoke to one of my neighbors and he made it very clear that if that area was developed, as a computer specialist, he planned to move out immediately because he had many other options. So, we are very concerned about that. As far as property

tax savings go, you know that property taxes tend not to go down. I would go so far as to say that I would be perfectly willing, as a resident, to know what the per capita cost of preserving that area was to promise to send you my per capita share every single year from now until I leave the face of this earth to offset your loss on the property. Just one last thing. We are concerned of course; that there hasn't been a display of the developed map that came out last February. We would certainly like to see a public hearing on this issue and we would urge you, very strongly, to consider doing that before the elections. Thank you very much.

Alderman Hirschmann stated I would like to commend you on your posture and your stand for the nature and the environment up there on Hackett Hill. I do want to say that the City had a contingency a week ago take a walk through Hackett Hill and I was in the contingency and the public should know that your concerns have been heard because the City is going to turn over to the nature conservancy a good amount of acreage. I don't have the exact number, but those ancient trees and forest will be protected. When you go up into that development and you see all of those roads and parking lots and infrastructure, we are going to develop that into a beautiful corporate park, but no ancient trees are going to be destroyed and the nature conservancy is going to look over the heritage of those trees and make it into an untouchable forest.

John Lyons, 206 Comeau Street, Manchester, NH state:

What I am going to say tonight and I am going to warn you now, is going to be printed in the *Union Leader*. I am going to ask all of the Aldermen that are for the civic center to raise their arm. That is all I want. Now I want the ones that are against it to raise their arm. Another thing is the O'Malley people and the Kolevis have been on their knees to beg you people not to build a civic center there, but the Mayor doesn't care. He doesn't live there. The old people deserve more than having a civic center at their bedroom windows and this hockey team they are bringing, we could have picked one up right here in Manchester that has no name and no uniforms. I don't think they have a hockey puck. They went and dug him up somewhere. Big surprise, we have a team for you. They are not affiliated with anybody and you can call them the Manchester hockey team. Well whoopee ding. Isn't that just great? This thing will never fly. The whale has left Hartford, a city twice as big as this and how you think you are going to run a hockey team in a City this size; it will never happen. If you want to build a civic center, build it halfway between Concord and Manchester or halfway between Nashua and Manchester. Don't leave this bill onto the City of Manchester.

Harold Levine, 49 Hillcroft Road, Manchester, NH stated:

Mr. Mayor and members of the Aldermanic Board, I come here as a disgusted taxpayer here tonight. As over the last few years I have spoken to this Board, and everything has fallen on deaf ears, especially from the Mayor's Office. There are many subjects to touch upon but with just two minutes to express ones self, I will have to limit my criticism to two issues. One, our Police Department and then the School Department if I have time. We have a Police Department that seems to be anti-taxpayer and anti-community. We have RSA's galore, which are not being enforced. I have spoken to the Chief many years ago about commercial vehicles

being parked in residential areas between 12 PM and 6 AM. There are RSA's and nobody will do a blessed thing about it. If you walk or drive throughout the City, there are cars parked all over the sidewalk. There are merchants doing business on City streets and I think it is a shame that our Police Department doesn't enforce private businesses from using City property. I know, in the past, because I have spoken at these Aldermanic Boards...one afternoon at noon time within an hour the Police Department is down, they called the Highway Department, they found that I had a trailer parked a foot and a half and they gave me 24 hours to remove it. I mean I think this is very wrong. What is good for the goose is good for the gander and I think it is about time that somebody, with all their little toys that we have paying for in the City we don't see them patrolling, or even a patrolman walking on Elm Street at any given time. They seem to say they have a community patrolling division. I went to one meeting about a month ago. I spoke to a Sargent. They insisted or tried to insist that for X amount of dollars per hour this religious organization could have a policeman with a minimum of four hours. I said we don't need a policeman, we just would like because of the traffic on three days to see if crews are in the area, which is Pine and Salmon Street and possibly a bicycle patrol. They said it would be taken care of. I even spoke to the Police Commissioner and gave him the schedule. He called me back and said everything is fine. Have you seen a cruiser or a bike patrol on Pine and Salmon Street? Well, I haven't. In fact, I even called the station and spoke to a deputy and naturally you get a negative attitude toward the taxpayer. They do no wrong. I said you know there has been a car there without a plate on it parked on Salmon Street. Just one more thing, the outcome is that car is still parked on Salmon Street and still hasn't been tagged. One more thing, I think the Police Department should stop running the scam they are running on the taxpayers of Manchester. I have been harassed by eight calls from a boiler room operation representing themselves as the Police Department. They might have a contract with the Police Department to solicit funds, but they are not members of the Police Department and I think it is about time the Police Chief and his deputies, along with the City Solicitor, get some kind of a ruling that these boiler room operations should cease. The Police Department gave me one phone number to call and it turned out to be a fax machine.

Scott Laplante, 4 Springwood Drive, Hooksett, NH stated:

I just wanted to talk a little bit about red ribbon week that is coming up. Do you all know what that is? For anybody that doesn't really know too much about it, it is a national event during the week of October 25 that signifies America's desire for a drug free society. During this week, red ribbons are passed out to students and adults throughout the City. The red ribbons are worn by them to show their support for a drug free society. This is Manchester's 10th annual year in supporting this event. It has been a national event since the early 80's so this is a very special year for the red ribbon. On October 28 there is the breakfast over at the Center of New Hampshire. It is a 7 AM and the Aldermen and Mayor are invited. It is sponsored by Makin It Happen and WZID and I hope to see you all there.

Billy Dodd, 181 Mammoth Road, Manchester, NH stated:

I want to talk to you about the civic center and special education. I will leave out the thing about Ogden Entertainment forgoing a \$10 million penalty. If they have the money to blow, let

them put that \$10 million into the civic center here. The thing here...attachment five in repair and replacement cost, which I am sure there is a big long copy here that all of the members of the Board have, it says "Ogden will make no capital investment in the project either initially or through the term of it's agreement with the City." They are not going to put any money up, but we are going to pay them all kinds of money. To start off with, there is a thing called a \$1.4 million sub contingency fee where if this civic center doesn't open in time, we have to pay them a penalty but they don't have any money up front. Their base fee, \$450,000/year, that is going to increase 2% annually. Their subordinate base fee, there is a senior base management fee, there is an incentive management fee which is 20% in excess of \$4.5 million gross revenues. The sub-ordinance base management fee is \$150,000 and that is going to increase 2% annually. These guys are going to get a minimum of \$700,000, \$600,000 - \$700,000 plus this 20%, which the revenue for 114 to 124 events, which they have projected over \$7 million, these guys are going to be getting over \$1 million out of us to manage this thing, but they don't have any money up front. It is absolutely crazy. We are going to spend \$65 million to build a civic center. Bridgeport, CT, there is an article in the *Boston Globe* from September 27, Bridgeport is going to build a 10,000 seat civic center for \$35 million. Portland was going to build a 10,000 seat civic center for \$45 million. Why are we spending \$65 million. Am I to assume that we are going to have the Taj Mahal or that the Staples lot really went up in price. Too much money guys. 20% private financing. My understanding from what I have heard and what I have been reading in the paper is that they are going to use the naming rights, the rental from the luxury suites, the premium seats, and the pouring rights...they are going to use revenue coming in from the building as part of this 20% private investment. Whose name is going to be on the loan document for that 20%? You can't use revenue for money up front. Somebody has to come up with the 20%. That is what we voted on. I didn't vote for it, but that is what people voted for was 20% private investment before you start taking the revenue out of the building. Do you have the insurance bonds that were supposed to be in place? If you don't have the insurance bonds in place right now, you have already spent \$1 million out of your Phase II budget of \$2.6 million. If you don't have the insurance bonds in place right now, you should stop this thing until you do get it. The last thing is special education. I have been before this Board before and I have been before the School Board. The federal government mandated special education across the country 20+ years ago and they said that for them mandating the programs they were going to pay 40% of the cost. Right now, 20+ years later, NH gets 11%. An article in today's paper, the publisher of the Hudson/Litchfield News quotes 11% is what NH is getting. It is the mother of all unfunded mandates. This is the biggest unfunded thing that the federal government is going. They are going to spend \$75 million to put in a road to the airport and they are going to pay 80% of it, they are going to pay every nickel of it. You have heard me say that before. Why can't they pay ever nickel of the 40% that they are supposed to be paying for special education. That would help both sides of the classroom. It would help the resources for the special education kids and it would give the regular kids more attention by the teachers. I am asking everyone of you guys, especially you, Mr. Mayor, to start a grass roots campaign, get with this guy, get the Governor on board, get Jessie Ventura or whoever you need to get the 40%. Plain and simple and it is not a laughing matter. If the government can spend billions to blow up Belgrade and rebuild it and I am sure they are going to be rebuilding some schools over

there, if they can take our tax dollars and spend them halfway around the world, spend it here in New Hampshire. Thank you.

Mayor Wieczorek recessed the meeting.

Mayor Wieczorek called the meeting back to order.

Murray Onigman, 324 Walnut Street, Manchester, NH stated:

I try to bring out some points about why we shouldn't be doing something and that something is putting up all our rooms & meals taxes and putting up the center. I want to call your attention to an article that appeared in the *Boston Globe*. That is another paper by the way. We don't issue that in the State of New Hampshire. We buy it, but we don't issue it here. It is a little article on Walter Brown. Now Walter Brown died of a heart attack on Labor Day in 1964. His very titles included President and General Manager of the Boston Garden, President of the Bruins, President of the Celtics, President, Arena Managers Association, President of the International Ice Hockey Federation and Vice President of the Amateur Hockey Association of the United States. He was an individual. He wasn't 12 Aldermen who were going to be become the Board of Directors of a publicly financed building using our rooms & meals taxes for the next 30 years. He was an individual who loved hockey. He was an individual who wanted to promote hockey. He became President of the Bruins to do it. He had a burning desire. I haven't seen anybody with any burning desire to bring hockey to Manchester. All I know is that you did drive out of Manchester

the Manchester Blackhawks. They couldn't make a living here gentlemen and ladies. They went out of here a few years ago. By the way, so did the Manchester Yankees go out of here busted. What are you really thinking? Show me the individual. Let not our government money take a gamble on something that is going to go quick. I worked for the Bruins for six months after the Jacobs' brothers took over and they lost it because they couldn't pay their property taxes. You are putting up a public building. You are saying 80% public and 20% private. It should be the other way around. Let's collect some property tax from that building. When you put up the second...no it will be the largest piece of property in this City. The largest today is the Mall of New Hampshire and this is going to supercede it. We should collect some property taxes. I think I brought up that point and I just want to say that I thank you for your indulgence and I hope that there may be some action before this Board, the last Board of this century has their action and I hope they don't put the City of Manchester into bankruptcy.

Steve Vaillancourt, 161 Faith Lane, Manchester, NH stated:

I am a State Representative from Ward 8 and Chairman of the Manchester delegation. I have a few handouts. Please don't start the clock yet. I was doing a little arithmetic. I was the 10th person listed. 10 x 2 is 20 and it seems like we are 35 minutes into the session so either we are taking more time than we should or the clock is speeding up a little bit. Speaking of arithmetic, I come to talk to you tonight about the rooms & meals tax money. As you probably read, it was a great week. I got slammed by your Honor last Wednesday or Thursday and then slammed by Joe W. McQuaid yesterday in the *Union Leader* but it was a great week because as you probably

know I have proposed legislation which would take the rooms & meals tax money coming back to cities and towns away and give it back to the State. Simple arithmetic. The State gave you \$825 million and \$26 million new dollars to Manchester and the State is \$100 million short in filling the Claremont hole. It makes a lot of sense. We give you \$825 million. You could certainly afford to give up the \$22.5 million. 37 times as much we give you as the bill asks to take back. If somebody said I will give you \$37 if you would give me \$1, I would do it in a minute. Simple arithmetic, but oh the howls that went forth from your Honor and from Joe W. McQuaid. They also pointed out that my bill would kill the civic center. Well you know that is not true because Mr. Ashooh, Mr. Stern and Mr. Clougherty have assured you that if the rooms & meals tax money dries up, it doesn't matter. It is going to be fully insured. We have the bonds to cover it. So no reputable Manchester representative could in his right mind not file this kind of legislation because we take the money away, the insurance company pays you \$50 million and we get a civic center free. How can you possibly say it is an attempt to kill the civic center? What it is...the second part of the bill is an attempt to make the Manchester Airport and Alderman Pariseau you will be happy about this, finally pay its fair share to the City. The second part of this City would add, at local control, in other words you the Board of Mayor and Aldermen or your successor and every governing body in every city and state would have the option to vote in adding 4% not to the meals tax, but to the rooms tax. I had the LBA in Concord run the figures today. I have given a copy to each one of you. Right now, Manchester gets about \$2 million back from rooms & meals tax money. If you give up that \$2 million and this bill passes, you would get approximately \$4,117,000 with the Vaillancourt proposal and it wouldn't affect Manchester or New Hampshire residents a great deal because you know the people that fly into our airport and stay in our hotels are mostly those that live out of the City. Your Honor, I ran this proposal by you a couple of years ago and although you slammed it in the newspaper last week, a couple of years ago you liked it. I ran it by the Chamber of Commerce a couple of years ago and although they slammed it in the newspaper last week, they liked it. Of course then they thought it was going to go to the civic center and my proposal has it going for something that I consider a better priority, education. I have handed that out to you. I have also given you something on Ogden, a very interesting piece from the Restaurant News. All of these restaurants in the City get this. It was a restaurant that called me up and had me come over and pick it up. I made copies for you. I would close by going into the civic center just a little bit by saying would you invest in Ogden right now. I want a show of hands like we had a show of hands when it was 2-0 to vote down the civic center earlier. How many of you would buy Ogden stock today? It is \$10/share. It has come down from \$28/share. 65% of the value has already been lost. It is a real bargain now. Who wants to buy it? Let's see a show of hands. No takers for Ogden stock. Well if you wouldn't buy Ogden stock, then how can you have them run the investment of a \$50 to \$100 million facility in this City. That is where Ogden is going. My second favorite hockey team after the Bruins is the Pittsburgh Penguins and you know what Mr. Baldwin did to them. How can you have him come in here? But I do have the solution for the civic center. Channel 9, which is giving mounds and mounds of free time in the guise of public service to try to sell your civic center seats, an arrogance of power and misuse of the ultimate misuse of media power, Channel 9 can just have a telethon. Instead of having Charlie Sherman talk for a minute here and there about the civic center, why not just have him do a 24-

hour marathon and see if you can raise the \$50 million there? By the way, since my two minutes are up and since I guess everybody needs more than two minutes, Political Alert, Channel 16, 6:30 PM and 9:30 PM Thursday I will do a full half-hour on the rooms & meals proposal. Thank you very much.

Artemis Paras, 1275 Hanover Street, Manchester, NH stated:

I would like to ask the Board to remove Items U and V from your consent agenda this evening from the Bills on Second Reading Committee report and consider the following. Page 4 of the Board of Mayor and Alderman agenda, the Committee is recommending an adoption action by the Board for described policy. It is very clear. If you are part of the Bills on Second Reading Committee, you would understand it. I read to you that it is the policy of the City of Manchester that the Rezoning of I-Districts on South Willow Street to B-Districts, that means industrial business, not be supported south of the center line of runway 624 as expended. A reasonable policy, but premature as it relates to the rezoning petition of all of the parcels in the second part under V. I would like to read to you from the minutes of the Bills on Second Reading Committee, Page 3. This is verbatim. Director MacKenzie had some issues that he brought before the Committee and he was well spoken. He said "my final comment, the third comment is that we understand from the Airport Authority that the airport approach has been finally determined yet." I move down in the paragraph, "I am not sure if they should play an actual role in the Board action." I am sorry, I shouldn't have read that. I am going down further, "the Airport is trying to pin down those boundaries", we are talking about the runway, "for the new expanded or expended runway 624." It is very clear from these comments that 1) if the Airport approach plan or Airport approach zone based on the language in your Manchester City Code, Airport Zoning and State Law 424, RSA which deals with Airport zoning, if the Airport approach plan or zone has not been finally determined yet by FAA approval and 2) if the Airport is trying to pin down those boundaries for the new extended runway 624, then I believe this policy is premature and applies to no specific land area. Item 5, amending the ordinance, actually what the Board of Mayor and Aldermen are doing, the action that you are being sought to do or perform is you are asked to change and alter the boundaries of existing industrially zoned districts. They will become business and you will be extending the zone. Because of the uncertainty of the Airport approach plan and the specific boundaries of runway 624, this rezoning petition I believe should be tabled until the Board receives specific information on the matters brought before you this evening by me. I encourage discussion and agreement by the Board on this new information to table and not act on these items. I had one more comment that I would like to make very briefly. That is that I support Item 14 under Other Business to reconsider acceptance of the Yarger Decker Study, particularly in light of the introduction of a revised classification plan, revised with factoring points that was brought before the Human Resources Committee. This position classification plan is an amendment to the position classification plan in your Human Resources chapter of your City Code of Ordinances. What are the tax implications? Inequities in this study have been noted by the public and those who work for the City of Manchester. I believe reconsideration merits attention. I thank the Mayor and the Board for this opportunity to bring what I believe are two important pieces of

information for your consideration and once again lets not expedite things and lose what we are going for in the long run. Thank you.

Mayor Wieczorek asked the City Clerk to make a note to respond to some of the comments that were made where people are going to need an answer.

Mayor Wieczorek advised that there being no one else present wishing to speak, on motion of Alderman Pinard, duly seconded by Alderman Sysyn, it was voted to take all comments under advisement and further to receive and file any written documentation presented.

There being no further business to be presented, on motion of Alderman Reiniger, duly seconded by Alderman Clancy, it was voted to adjourn.

A True Record. Attest.

City Clerk