COMMITTEE ON TRAFFIC/PUBLIC SAFETY

March 18, 2002 **6:00 PM**

Chairman Sysyn called the meeting to order.

The Clerk called the roll.

Present: Aldermen Sysyn, Guinta, Osborne, Garrity, Forest

Messrs: M. Jutras, J. Hoben, T. Lolicata, J. Karp, S. O'Kane, R. Daniels

Chairman Sysyn addressed Item 3 of the agenda:

> Communication from Marc Jutras requesting permission to address the Committee to discuss the ordinance regarding the winter parking ban.

Mr. Jutras stated I got a parking ticket on one of the warm days for the winter parking ban. I think the intent of the parking ordinance was when we have a normal winter and there are snowbanks narrowing the streets and stuff making it difficult to pass. We are having an unseasonably warm winter and I think there should be some leniency for this. When I spoke to Alderman Pinard he referred me to Lt. Lussier who handles the parking enforcement. After a few days of trying to get a hold of him, he finally got in touch with me and informed me that Frank Thomas asked him to enforce it because of street sweeping. I don't know why we would want to street sweep in the middle of February not knowing if winter is over with. That seemed to be a cop out excuse. It is kind of silly coming down here for a $15 parking ticket, but in my opinion there has to be some leniency for it. I know you guys have probably heard enough about this winter parking ban to just throw it out the window. You are probably sick and tired of hearing about it. I just didn't think it was right to get a ticket in front of my house. I don't know what else to say about this. I use common sense. When there is a snow emergency or when there is snow on the ground I don't park my vehicle on the street. I do have children. We have car seats, my wife and I, and we switch vehicle positions and stuff like that.

Chairman Sysyn stated the problem is that this is an ordinance and if you don't follow it and let one guy go then how are you going to enforce it for another guy.

Mr. Jutras stated I live on Ellis Avenue. It is off of Mammoth and Candia Road. I take my vehicle off the street when it is necessary. I don't know what else to say.

Alderman Guinta asked are you asking us to specifically do something or are you just voicing a concern.

Mr. Jutras answered I am voicing a concern and using the cop out of street sweeping and stuff like that I mean I actually wanted to see the $15 thing go away but Lt. Lussier didn't have authorization to do that and I just think if it is going to be used for street sweeping then it should say it in the ordinance. Down here it says that no vehicle shall be left on the street to interfere with the removal of snow or plowing by the City. It doesn't say anything about street sweeping. If you are going to use that as an excuse, then that should be on there also.

Chairman Sysyn stated but that is the winter parking ordinance.

Alderman Guinta asked is that just part of what you copied. Isn't there something in the ordinance about no vehicle shall be parked between the hours of 11 PM and 7 AM.

Mr. Jutras replied yes and that is where I think the leniency should come in seeing we are having a mild winter. Mother Nature is not having a good year.

Alderman Forest stated you have several choices of where to park and you chose to park in the street.

Mr. Jutras replied well it was convenient for me to do that. I know there are ordinances and rules to follow and stuff like that. It just seems kind of silly to me. I know you guys say that if you let one person go you are going to have to let a whole bunch of people go.

Alderman Garrity asked did the street get swept.

Mr. Jutras answered there is no sand on the roads.

On motion of Alderman Garrity, duly seconded by Alderman Forest, it was voted to receive and file this communication.

Chairman Sysyn addressed Item 4 of the agenda:

> Copy of a communication from Alderman Sysyn to Mr. David Pitts of Semperfi Power Supply Inc. responding to his inquiry regarding parking in the area of his business.

On motion of Alderman Forest, duly seconded by Alderman Garrity, it was voted to receive and file this item.

Chairman Sysyn addressed Item 5 of the agenda:

Discussion regarding free parking at the Canal and Victory Street garages on Saturday and Sunday.

Chairman Sysyn stated the way this came up and I mentioned it before and that is why I put it on the agenda was you have free parking at the parking meters and you are charging at the garages. You are bringing a crew in to charge for parking at the parking garages when you have free parking at the meters. The only time the Victory Parking Garage has made any money at all is when we had a big concert. The other times you are losing money by bringing in a staff to work so you should have the parking garages free also. That is my suggestion.

Alderman Forest asked do we have control over deciding whether or not we have free parking.

Chairman Sysyn answered it is up to us. It is like when we rescinded the parking meters on Saturday.

Clerk Bernier stated a management company runs the parking garages for the City.

Alderman Forest stated well since we passed that ordinance I guess it is only fair that we do that also.

Chairman Sysyn stated we will have to rescind the ordinances for Saturday and Sunday.

Alderman Garrity asked so currently we are charging on Saturday. Do we charge on Sunday at the parking garage?

Chairman Sysyn answered yes if there is an event they come in. You are losing money by having them come in. I know they are there on Saturdays because it cost me $5 to go to work one day.

Alderman Guinta stated I assume that no one is parking in the garages.

Chairman Sysyn replied no. I talked to Bill Kelly today at the Victory garage and the most cars he ever had was 90 cars because there was a huge concert or something on a Saturday or Sunday. That is the most and that was $450. You are bringing two or three guys in and you probably have five cars. You are losing money most of the time and you can’t pick and choose what day.

Mr. Hoben arrived at the meeting.

Chairman Sysyn stated on the parking garages, we rescinded the parking meters on Saturday but in the garages they are still bringing a crew in to charge and nobody is parking there. You are bringing a crew in…we are trying to rescind that for over the weekend.

Mr. Hoben asked so you just want to curtail that immediately.

Chairman Sysyn answered right. You are bringing a crew in and you might have five or six cars.

Alderman Forest stated we thought that since we don't have the parking meters operating on Saturdays why should we charge for people to park in the garage.

Mr. Hoben replied it is up to the Committee if you want to have them open during events.

Alderman Guinta asked do people currently man the garages on Saturdays and Sundays.

Mr. Hoben answered just Saturdays.

Alderman Guinta asked so Sunday is free.

Mr. Hoben answered yes.

Alderman Guinta asked could we amend the ordinance to allow us to charge on event nights.

Chairman Sysyn answered that is what they are doing. That is the only time they come in is for an event on Saturday.

Alderman Guinta asked so they don't come in during the day.

Chairman Sysyn answered no. They only come in on Saturday because we had the parking meters. They only come in if there is an event to charge them $3 or $5.

Alderman Guinta stated here is my concern. We rescinded the parking on Saturdays at meters. I think initially or six months ago we were talking about, well not we but the previous Board was identifying additional revenues and parking was one of those revenues and my feelings regarding Saturday metered parking was rather than charge people on Saturdays let's try to find ways to put people in the garages so the City can receive some sort of financial benefit.

Chairman Sysyn replied what is happening is they are not parking there.

Alderman Guinta responded I understand that but what we need to do, I think, is identify some sort of…and I have talked to Bill Jabjiniak and I have talked to the Mayor's Office about it and I haven't talked to this Committee or the full Board about it but try to put together some kind of marketing plan to identify ways for people to…I guess essentially direct people to City lots so that we can receive some sort of funds. My concern would be if we rescind this ordinance and then we put a marketing plan in place that begins to work, we are not going to be receiving any money.

Chairman Sysyn stated the marketing plan that you need is from Monday through Friday. You need something. That is the problem. The City doesn't know how to market itself like a business would. They don't. You are competing now with the private lots out there.

Alderman Guinta stated in order to realize some sort of revenue or profit, we certainly need to find ways to put people in those lots and that would include Saturday and Sunday. My concern is if we rescind this…

Chairman Sysyn interjected if they can park on the street for nothing why would they come and park in the garage and pay.

Alderman Guinta stated well they would certainly fill the street first but on Saturdays they will fill the street and then there are those private lots. If we are going to directly as a City be competing with those lots, the private lots, then somehow…my concern is that if we rescind this now we are not going to have the law to try to compete with the private owners.

Chairman Sysyn replied you will just have it during the week.

Alderman Guinta stated we will have it during the week but not on Saturdays.

Chairman Sysyn stated what is happening now is you are paying those guys to come in and you are losing money. They only made money on that one event I think.

Mr. Hoben stated they are the ones that don't make any money. We tried last July to have them open every Saturday regardless of the arena and there was no one there. We left it up to the garage operators to close up early if no one was there. It is crazy to stay around.

Alderman Guinta asked what is it like during the week.

Mr. Hoben answered it is crowded in there.

Chairman Sysyn stated during the week they are okay and during the week if I go to Margaritas I park at the Victory Street Garage and I pay. People pay to park there. It is $3 if I go early. If I want to park during a snow day, I have to prepay $3 and get out of there early in the morning. If I didn't then I would have to pay hourly.

Alderman Garrity asked say someone goes in there for $3 and parks, is there a percentage of that that the City receives.

Chairman Sysyn answered we get the $3 and then we have to pay the management company.

Alderman Guinta stated I certainly don't want to lose money on Saturdays but by the same token if it gets to a point where a marketing plan is put together and we are redirecting traffic into City owned lots, then we are going to have to go back and create an ordinance that says now parking is X number of dollars. I think that would then be a deterrent. I think if we leave it like this right now and just direct the management company not to go in there until we come up with a marketing plan and see if the marketing plan works…

Mr. Hoben interjected if it is not enforced, it stays on the books. If we don't enforce it without legally taking it off the table and voting it down it stays on the books. It is just like a parking meter. If you don't enforce the parking meters it is still a law.

Alderman Guinta stated right but if no one is there, if the management company is not there then what happens. Are the gates lifted and anyone can go in and out?

Mr. Hoben answered correct.

Alderman Forest asked can we just send a letter to the manager telling him not to charge for now without rescinding the ordinance and leave it there.

Clerk Bernier stated first of all, this Committee, by the authority of this State gives you the ability to do practically anything. Is that correct, Jim? You mentioned ordinances and we are not changing the ordinance. This Committee decides how to address the parking issues and traffic issues for the City of Manchester. All this is and correct me if I am wrong is that right now currently we have no parking on Saturday at meters. What they are saying or what they are asking the Committee is that both garages on Saturday that we don't charge so there would be no cost to us for employees. Is that correct?

Mr. Hoben replied right.

Clerk Bernier stated it is not a money making issue. When you come in with your plan, you come back over here and they can pass it or deny it. Whatever you do today…right

now the management company is losing money on Saturday because nobody is parking there.

Alderman Garrity asked do they come in every single Saturday.

Chairman Sysyn answered they come in when there is an event at the civic center. Before you did the free meters, I don't think they were even manning the garages. Where I work in the Canal Street garage, they weren't doing anything.

Alderman Guinta stated part of the problem that I have is I think there was an anticipation of…well the previous Board of Mayor and Aldermen expected to realize some revenues from the parking garages as a result of events on civic center nights and days and nobody, I guess, anticipated that these private lots would pop up. As a result, the City is not realizing that money so my thought was to put a marketing plan together where we can redirect traffic into City owned lots. I am not sure how what I would like to do affects this item. I agree with you that it doesn't make sense right now…

Chairman Sysyn interjected we took away paying for the meters.

Alderman Guinta stated but people are parking in those private lots.

Chairman Sysyn replied right because they are right next door.

Alderman Guinta responded that is true.

Chairman Sysyn stated like if you go to Boston and you want to get wherever you are going, you want to get as close as you can usually.

Alderman Osborne asked as it stands right now how much is the City losing.

Chairman Sysyn answered I don't know how much they are losing but they are not making any money.

Alderman Osborne asked how much are we losing.

Chairman Sysyn answered you are losing money by bringing these people in and they only have a few cars in the garage.

Mr. Hoben stated it is probably a couple of hundred bucks a month or something.

Mr. Jeff Karp stated I represent the Center of New Hampshire garage and I can tell you per event you are talking $250 to $280.

Alderman Guinta asked that is what you are losing.

Mr. Karp replied no that is what it cost to staff the garage.

Alderman Osborne asked so we are losing $200 a month.

Mr. Hoben answered I am not sure. I think that is what it is. He is talking about the Center of New Hampshire. He makes the money, we don't.

Chairman Sysyn stated because he is right next door and he doesn't charge that much. What do you charge, $10?

Mr. Karp replied we charge more than Victory and Canal.

Chairman Sysyn stated I think you are charging $10.

Alderman Guinta stated my point is if we put a marketing plan together for the City and identify to civic center patrons that we are going to be charging less, I am thinking that that might be a reason that someone would go to one of these lots.

Alderman Garrity stated I always feel uncomfortable about making a decision when I don't have all the information and we don't know how much money we are losing.

Mr. Hoben replied Tom Lolicata has the numbers. I don't know where he is.

Alderman Garrity stated I feel uncomfortable taking any action tonight.

Chairman Sysyn asked do you want to table it.

Alderman Garrity stated I would like to see some numbers.

Chairman Sysyn stated well maybe Tom Lolicata can get that to us.

On motion of Alderman Garrity, duly seconded by Alderman Forest, it was voted to table this item.

Chairman Sysyn addressed Item 6 of the agenda:

> Communication from George Copadis on behalf of the St. George Greek Orthodox Cathedral seeking authorization to close Kenney Street at Hanover Street and to close Hilton Street at Amherst Street from 10 AM until 11 PM on September 13, 14, and 15, 2002 for the annual Glendi celebration.

On motion of Alderman Osborne, duly seconded by Alderman Forest, it was voted to approve this request.

Chairman Sysyn addressed Item 7 of the agenda:

> Communication from Mary Sartwell on behalf of CIGNA HealthCare of New Hampshire seeking authorization to close Merrimack Street, from Elm to Chestnut, at 2 PM on Thursday, August 8, 2002 for the 10th Annual CIGNA HealthCare Corporate Road Race and asking permission to hang a 60 foot banner across Elm Street to mark the start of the race.

Chairman Sysyn asked are we doing banners across Elm Street.

Clerk Bernier answered what they do is they raise the banner and as soon as the race starts and everybody passes they bring it down.

Alderman Osborne asked do they have liability insurance on this.

Clerk Bernier answered yes.

Alderman Osborne asked and that is all in order.

Clerk Bernier answered yes.

On motion of Alderman Garrity, duly seconded by Alderman Guinta, it was voted to approve this request.

Chairman Sysyn addressed Item 8 of the agenda:

> Communications from Maureen Sherman requesting walk lights at the intersection of Elm and Brook Streets, a stop sign at the alley between 444 Chestnut Street and the YWCA Antoinette L. Hill apartments, walk lights at the intersection of Maple and Lowell Streets and crosswalk at Vista Foods on McGregor Street.

Mr. Hoben stated the first one, Elm and Brook, there is a temporary signal there and we wouldn’t be able to put pedestrian signals up.

Chairman Sysyn stated and the stop sign in the alley, you don’t put a stop sign in an alley do you.

Mr. Hoben replied I am not sure where that one is. We normally don’t.

Alderman Forest stated it is down near the Red Arrow.

On motion of Alderman Forest, duly seconded by Alderman Osborne, it was voted to receive and file this item.

Mr. Lolicata arrived at the meeting.

On motion of Alderman Forest, duly seconded by Alderman Garrity, it was voted to remove Item 5 from the table.

Chairman Sysyn stated we talked about the Canal and Victory garages on Saturday and Sunday. They are working on Saturday and you are not making any money you are losing money.

Mr. Lolicata stated on a good night they are making something at Victory when Verizon is going.

Chairman Sysyn stated that is the only time you are putting them there anyway.

Mr. Lolicata replied right.

Chairman Sysyn asked do you think we should keep it going for Saturdays then.

Mr. Lolicata answered we have lost so much revenue so far…I mean you have free parking on Saturday and we have the lots and the garages still going for Verizon events.

Chairman Sysyn asked should we drop Canal and keep Victory.

Mr. Lolicata answered you can drop Canal but I would not tell you to drop Victory at this time because they are making a small profit. The Hartnett Lot is even making a small profit believe it or not. Canal has always been free except for when there is an event so you can drop that one. We lost money there, we did.

Alderman Osborne asked how much money overall have we lost.

Mr. Lolicata answered you are making a very small profit at Victory like $100+ per event but at least you are making a profit after paying everything but at Canal you are…

Alderman Guinta asked what are we talking like $100 a month.

Mr. Lolicata answered no per event. It is not quite a wash, it really isn't. There is enough being made at Victory to offset it a little.

Alderman Guinta asked does this require action. Couldn't we wait?

Chairman Sysyn answered we can wait but I don't want us to lose anymore money.

Alderman Guinta stated I don't want to lose anymore money but…

On motion of Alderman Garrity, duly seconded by Alderman Osborne, it was voted to table this item again.

Mr. Lolicata stated correct me if I am wrong, Leo, but on these ordinances they usually pertain to all so if you have to take them individually you are going to have to name them.

Clerk Bernier replied right.

Chairman Sysyn addressed Item 9 of the agenda:

> Report from Traffic and Police Departments, if available, regarding a request from the Manchester Historic Association to install directional signage for the Millyard Museum at several locations in the City.

Mr. Lolicata stated I have spoken with the woman down there, Gail, I believe her name is and we have come up with the locations. They are a non-profit organization and part of the Millyard district. I was under the impression that this was brought up before but I guess it hasn't. It seems to me they should be able to do that. It is just like the one we passed before, for the SEE Science Center.

Alderman Guinta asked is it similar to the Currier Gallery signs.

Mr. Lolicata answered yes. We have stopped these except for non-profits and they are non-profit.

Alderman Forest asked are they paying for these or is the City paying for them.

Mr. Lolicata answered they pay for their own signs.

On motion of Alderman Osborne, duly seconded by Alderman Garrity, it was voted to approve the request.

Chairman Sysyn addressed Item 10 of the agenda:

> Report from Traffic and Police Departments, if available, regarding a parking problem on Notre Dame Avenue between Wayne and Putnam Streets.

Mr. Lolicata stated this has been ongoing. I have talked with the Alderman and I have talked with Lt. Lussier in Traffic. Actually it is the tenant's idea and I go along with it. We are going to change the hours from 5 AM to 10 AM and the reason for that is it shows like it is a no parking area for that side. The residents will park on the East Side every night. It is because of the shift at the hospital that this has been occurring for years. The old one was like 6:30 AM to 9:30 AM and they came in at that different shift. By doing this, the Police Department has sent out letters to the people affected by this on Notre Dame Avenue that every night during the ban they will park on the east side, which is unlimited, and because of that 5 AM to 10 AM nobody can park there whatsoever. That will take care of the problem at CMC as well as during the day later they can park there. This is what we came up with. The Police will send out notices, I believe, to the people on Notre Dame Avenue to that effect.

Alderman Forest stated I have a little concern with that. I know you are saying they are going to send letters out so that is fresh on their minds this week and next week or the week after but six months down the road the Police Department has a shift change and it is all night parking odd and even and somebody is parked over there at 4:30 AM and this police officer doesn't know about this letter that was sent out six months before. How are we going to fix that?

Mr. Lolicata replied if that occurs like the following year or something, if these people are parked every single night on the East Side they are going to know this.

Alderman Forest asked wouldn't it be better to just make it a "no parking" zone area.

Mr. Lolicata answered then you hurt the tenants in the long run down the road. Nobody can go over there and nobody can visit and that is what we are trying to avoid. That is why they had this special hour in the morning.

Alderman Forest stated I personally feel that doing this is more confusing and just bring the signs up and make it 8 AM to 9:30 AM or 8 AM to 10 AM.

Mr. Lolicata replied I would agree with you but you are taking out a lot of parking during the daytime plus they are going to lose it all for six months during the summer time.

Chairman Sysyn asked the Alderman from the ward has approved this.

Mr. Lolicata answered I talked to Alderman Thibault and he is aware of it.

Chairman Sysyn asked is he okay with it.

Mr. Lolicata answered yes he is okay with it.

On motion of Alderman Garrity, duly seconded by Alderman Guinta it was voted to receive and file this item.

Chairman Sysyn addressed Item 11 of the agenda:

> Report from Traffic and Police Departments, if available, regarding a request to remove a U-turn sign near The Real Estate Specialists at 1031 Gold Street.

Mr. Lolicata stated we have already taken care of that I believe through the Alderman, Mr. Garrity. We have already looked at that and we are not going to allow that. I believe the Alderman has spoken to the people involved. Have you gone down there?

Alderman Guinta asked what was the purpose of this request.

Mr. Lolicata answered the people going in the opposite direction, they are trying to catch them for their business. If you are going southbound on South Willow, on Gold Street you can only take a right. They want them to go down to the next junction and make a U-turn and come back toward them for business. To me, that is a safety hazard. Outside of down below where it is wide enough and allowed we have no U-turns on South Willow.

Alderman Guinta asked how far away is the U-turn access. Where is this business?

Mr. Lolicata answered it is near the movie theatre.

Alderman Guinta asked is it on the same side as where Big Daddy's is.

Mr. Lolicata answered I believe so, yes, right in the corner in the same building.

Alderman Guinta asked have we had any concerns from any of those other businesses.

Mr. Lolicata answered he just moved in and just came out with this complaint. That is the first thing he came out with and the Alderman and I spoke about it and I have already checked it out and I can't allow that on South Willow Street.

On motion of Alderman Garrity, duly seconded by Alderman Osborne, it was voted to receive and file this item.

Chairman Sysyn addressed Item 12 of the agenda:

> Discussion regarding Kimball Street (requested by Alderman Forest).

Alderman Forest stated this is the one Tom where there is the entrance to the playground just past the store on the left. I don't know if you are aware but a few weeks back I got a call from The Union Leader because a four-year-old child got run over. The four-year-old was going through parked cars on a tricycle and a car ran over the tricycle. The lady called the Union Leader and requested speed bumps. I went up there with the community officer and the suggestion we came up with was a crosswalk by the entrance to the playground. From what I understand, there was already one there and they paved over it so we really may not have to vote on it.

Mr. Lolicata replied I would have to repaint it. In other words, it has already been passed.

Alderman Forest responded right you would have to repaint the crosswalk but we wouldn't have to pass anything because it is already in existence right.

Mr. Lolicata replied the crosswalk was there previously. You are right. They paved over it. That is what happened.

Alderman Forest asked do I need a motion to repaint it.

Mr. Lolicata answered no. The crosswalk has already been passed. It is just a matter of redoing it again plus I put special signage up there if I remember correctly.

Chairman Sysyn advised that the Traffic Department has submitted an agenda, which needs to be addressed as follows:

STOP SIGNS:
ON WEST HAVEN RD AT APPLECREST RD., NEC, NWC
ALDERMAN WIHBY

ON WEST HAVEN RD AT ROBIN HILL RD., SEC, SWC
ALDERMAN WIHBY

On motion of Alderman Forest, duly seconded by Alderman Guinta, it was voted to deny the above items.

Mr. Lolicata stated sometimes one of the worst things you can do is take out an old stop sign that has been there for years and place it in a different direction. It depends on where you are and what the situation is. That is one of the worst things you can do.

ON WILLARD ST. AT GRAND AVE., SWC
ALDERMAN OSBORNE

On motion of Alderman Osborne, duly seconded by Alderman Forest it was voted to approve this item.

RESCIND STOP SIGN:
ON APPLECREST RD. AT WEST HAVEN RD., NEC, SWC
ALDERMAN WIHBY

ON ROBIN HILL RD. AT WEST HAVEN RD., NEC, SWC
ALDERMAN WIHBY

On motion of Alderman Forest, duly seconded by Alderman Guinta, it was voted to deny the above items.

ON GRAND AVE. AT WILLARD ST., SWC
ALDERMAN OSBORNE

On motion of Alderman Osborne, duly seconded by Alderman Forest, it was voted to approve the above item.

STRIPE PARKING STALLS:
ON VALLEY STREET, NORTH SIDE, FROM CYPRESS ST. TO BELMONT ST.
ALDERMAN OSBORNE

ON SPRUCE STREET, BOTH SIDES, FROM BEECH ST. TO TARRYTOWN RD.
ALDERMAN OSBORNE

NO PARKING:
ON PARKER AVE., BOTH SIDES, FROM PARKER ST. TO THE NORTHERLY DEAD END
ALDERMAN SMITH

ON PARKER AVE., BOTH SIDES, FROM PARKER ST. TO THE SOUTHERLY DEAD END
ALDERMAN SMITH

Alderman Osborne asked does that already exist. Is that near the bridges there?

Mr. Lolicata answered it is actually off Parker Street. It is a little street that has…

Alderman Osborne interjected across from Bill Cashin's house.

Mr. Lolicata replied no it is off of Parker itself near the store. You go up off of South Main and on both sides you have Parker Avenue. It is a street that is probably 14' wide. I went with Alderman Smith to look at it.

Alderman Osborne stated I thought there was already a no parking there.

Mr. Lolicata replied no.

On motion of Alderman Forest, duly seconded by Alderman Guinta, it was voted to approve the above items.

NO PARKING (5AM-10AM):
ON NOTRE DAME AVE., WEST SIDE, FROM WAYNE ST. TO PUTNAM ST.
ALDERMAN THIBAULT

Alderman Garrity moved to approve the above item. Alderman Guinta duly seconded the motion. Chairman Sysyn called for a vote. The motion carried with Alderman Forest being duly recorded in opposition.

RESCIND NO PARKING ANYTIME:
ON NOTRE DAME AVE., WEST SIDE, FROM WAYNE ST. TO PUTNAM ST.
ALDERMAN THIBAULT, POLICE DEPARTMENT

ON DUTTON ST., EAST SIDE, FROM AMHERST ST. TO A POINT 130 FEET NORTHERLY
ALDERMAN SYSYN (SIGNS REMOVED 3/12/02 PER MARY SYSYN)

ON WILSON STREET, WEST SIDE, FROM CENTRAL STREET TO NORTH BACK STREET
ALDERMAN OSBORNE

On motion of Alderman Osborne, duly seconded by Alderman Forest, it was voted to approve the above items.

TRAFFIC SIGNALS:
BEECH ST. AND WEBSTER ST. (ON BEECH ST. – INSTALL A SOUTHBOUND RIGHT HI-MODAL SIGNAL – YELLOW RIGHT ARROW – GREEN RIGHT ARROW))
ALDERMAN WIHBY – ELLIOT HEALTH SYSTEMS

On motion of Alderman Garrity, duly seconded by Alderman Guinta, it was voted to approve the above item.

RESCIND TRAFFIC SIGNALS:
HOOKSETT RD. AND CAMPBELL ST. (INSTALL AN EASTBOUND RIGHT BI-MODAL SIGNAL – YELLOW RIGHT ARROW – GREEN RIGHT ARROW)
ALDERMAN WIHBY

On motion of Alderman Forest, duly seconded by Alderman Garrity, it was voted to approve the above item.

TABLED ITEMS

14. Discussion of revenue allocation at the Center of New Hampshire garage submitted by the Traffic Department and discussion of revenue impact from free parking in garages on Sunday.

On motion of Alderman Forest, duly seconded by Alderman Guinta, it was voted to remove this item from the table.

Mr. Lolicata stated basically this is what it comes down to. It is a matter of how to allocate the monies that are going to the Center of New Hampshire right now. I am going to have Jeff from Laz Parking come up and explain his situation with the Center of New Hampshire and how they think, with their formula, it should be done. The City does take a different view of this. Over at the Center of New Hampshire right now, you have to realize that they haven't taken their allocation. They now have 600 spaces. We did that back in October and the City now has 400 spaces. When Verizon is operating, the City's 400 spaces…we have to remember that 102 of those are for box seats during the events, which leaves the City with 298 spaces. Now when they go into the Center of New Hampshire they pay $10 for the events at Verizon. What the difference is is a matter of the effect of Laz's Parking or Center of New Hampshire's formula on how they would like to have it done as far as the money and our view is we think the first 298 should go to the City. Before I go any further, I am going to introduce Jeff. He is with Laz Parking. I will let him give his side of the story for the Center of New Hampshire. He is representing them for parking.

Mr. Jeff Karp stated I am President of Laz Parking. We represent the Center of New Hampshire, JPA in a traffic or parking consulting role for all of their properties in terms of parking. I have with me Sean O'Kane who is the general manager of the asset, as you know, and Rich Daniels who is the general manager of the garage. We were called in primarily last March to really look at…on the horizon was the opening of the Verizon Center, to develop and put together a comprehensive parking plan that would integrate the hotel, event parking, show parking and daily parking to make sure this all worked smoothly with traffic, City officials, the Mayor's office and the City ordinances. So, we

set out and put together a comprehensive parking plan that would accomplish that goal working around the ordinances that I believe the Traffic Committee and the City passed in terms of rates, in terms of hours, in terms of opening times, etc. I made a couple of copies of that for the Committee members. At that time, the Center of New Hampshire had 400 spaces and the City had 600. Based on our ground lease with the City we had the option to take an additional 200 spaces, which we did exercise in October to bring our total inventory to 600 spaces and the City 400. Once the rate was determined to be $10 for civic center events, we went out and did a historical analysis of really how to allocate the revenues, if you will, on a historical basis or a vacancy basis in the garage. We presented that on numerous occasions before traffic officials, Jay Taylor, the Mayor's Office, Wayne Robinson, etc. Our feeling was that we couldn't really say this car is coming in for the City for 400 and our car is the next car but to basically have an historical allocation split of the revenue, which I have some more handouts on. When you look at it, it truly comes out to a 60%/40% split. Tom spoke a little earlier where the City has 400 spaces there is a pre-pay for the club box holders where they have 102 spaces so the City has 298 spaces. Our 600…on our historical average we do have some overnight hotel guests and that takes away some out of our bank and the allocation does come out to like a 59.?% split so it is really a 60%/40% split. That was the representation that we were always moving forward under. We made that clear from the first day and we moved forward under that plan. To date, what you can see which I will pass out are the monies that are there, that have been collected from the events. There are two scenarios on that handout. There is a 60%/40% split and there is, if you will, the 298 that the City gets first as a priority, if you will, and then our allocation. It is our clear understanding under the ground lease, under quite frankly the philosophical approach that it has to be a 60%/40% split. We don't really understand how there could be an initial priority of the 300 spaces. In the 60%/40% split, we also propose paying for our 60% of the additional expenses to operate for civic center events. So I think that is what we are really here to discuss tonight. We are open to questions. We feel from an operational standpoint and Tom may echo it or Rich may but from an operational standpoint we have accomplished all of our goals in terms of the garage worked seamlessly for event patrons. We have put in a pre-pay operation. There have been no major tie-ups in terms of traffic, etc. The sense is that that particular garage for the City is really the only one that derives revenue based on location and also based on a pricing issue. I was listening to your discussion, quite frankly, earlier in reference to Victory and Canal and my experience in 22 years if I may is that you are not going to get people to go park in these satellite garages if you have free parking in the street. It is just never going to happen. The fact of the matter is when people go to events they see the box and they want to get as close as they can to it and that is how it works. You can put all of the plans you want in place but if you have free parking on the street…no one loves to pay for parking. Whether you are $10 or $3 everyone thinks it should be free to begin with but that is another story. We are here because we effectively want a release of our funds and we think we have the legal right and the understanding and everything…we have always presented to the City and all of the Committees that be that this is a fair and equitable way. Quite honestly, I don't

understand the philosophy of how the City could have a priority on 300 spaces. That they get the first 300 and that is it. That is what we are here to talk about.

Mr. Sean O'Kane stated we hired Jeff and his company because they manage the garage at a large hotel that we operate in Boston and in managing some 100 plus garages nationwide we thought this was really the direction we needed to go in so we hired Jeff to help create this plan. I think he covered the issue pretty well.

Mr. Karp stated I wanted to say that I am not brought in as a hired gun to get this position. We were on board from the beginning. I think what I am saying today is just a reiteration of our position that we have always made clear with the Mayor's Office, etc. Quite frankly we were given the approach that that was fine and that our analysis, our historical data of the 60%/40% split was a fair and equitable approach and that was changed on opening night when we collected the money and we were told by the Mayor's Office well let's put this money in escrow because we want to discuss it. So, that is what we effectively since the middle of September we have been waiting to have this discussion.

Mr. Lolicata stated during this time Jay Taylor, myself, and Mr. Robinson went through all of this and basically it is a straightforward thing that the City has come up with on their thinking, on their side of the story. First of all, historically for years and years we had the 600 spaces, not that it matters. Secondly, all of the equipment was paid for by the City, all of the brand-new equipment, $42,000 and thirdly and most importantly, this is a municipal garage and it is being utilized because of Verizon, which has nothing to do with the Center of New Hampshire or anybody else. Basically, that is what everything was predicated upon. A very simple thing of Verizon municipal parking and the allocation of the other things and the City took the stance, which has never been done before…you have to remember the partnership between the Center of New Hampshire and the City is, quite frankly, very rare. You won't find that too often throughout the country, I don't care who you are or where you are. This is a new item brought about because of Verizon being built. Verizon is bringing these people in. The City of Manchester owns Verizon and that is it. That is the side that Jay Taylor and the Mayor's Office and myself are taking because it is a municipal garage. All we figured, quite frankly, and we have 400 less cars and we think we are entitled to the first 298 cars. Anything after that is fine and that is the position the City is taking.

Mr. O'Kane stated I think we have a very good relationship but let's be careful here now about saying that everything is being done through the Verizon Wireless Arena. There are a number of other businesses in the area that depend on this garage and we are certainly the premiere business that depends on the garage. Just doing a quick analysis, since 1995 we have paid the City in excess of $963,000 just for leases in that garage. We really have, up until the Verizon opened, been the main source of generating traffic in that garage. I think it is key to keep in mind that the Center of New Hampshire brings in

hundreds of thousands of people in the course of a year for events and we work very hard with a marketing budget of $600,000 or $700,000 a year to bring groups from outside this region to Manchester and I think we have done it successfully. I urge caution when we say that everything needs to be done through the Verizon. We need to balance it. It goes back to a letter that I wrote back in November of 1998 encouraging the development of the Verizon Center and urging at that time that we approach it in a very equitable, fair handed and logical way so that everyone could succeed and that is simply what we want to see. We drive business into Manchester and the Verizon drives business into Manchester and I think we need an equitable approach to manage this.

Alderman Forest asked are there 1,000 parking spaces in that garage.

Mr. O'Kane answered yes.

Alderman Garrity asked do you have any stats on how many spaces are filled when there isn't an event at the Verizon Wireless Arena.

Mr. O'Kane answered I don't know if we have them here but we have access to that.

Mr. Karp stated primarily the garage is filled during business hours, 8 AM until 5 PM by monthly parkers. Non-event nights from 5 PM on if there is not a trade show or an event, it is pretty much empty.

Alderman Garrity stated when I say non-event that is not including the Home Show or anything like that. Just saying people who come downtown to go to restaurants or whatever.

Mr. Karp replied we could pull that.

Alderman Forest asked is this something that we have to give authority to do as far as releasing the money they are asking for or do we have to send it to another committee.

Chairman Sysyn answered it would have to be approved by the whole Board I would think.

Mr. Lolicata stated I am going to take a guess because this is a monetary issue and the money has been set aside separately from finance and there is an accountant doing that for you and it is going to end up going to the full Board because you are talking money. All I am saying is the Committee…it has to go through the Traffic Committee and these men have a chance to represent themselves and express their concerns to you. I am going to guess whatever you do with this, one way or the other, that it is going to end up going to the full Board and they might have the chance to represent themselves again. It is a money issue.

Alderman Forest asked so you are asking us to okay this and then it goes to the Board and they can decide.

Mr. Lolicata answered they are looking for an okay and I am looking for an okay so you have to make a decision.

Clerk Bernier stated I wasn't here in September. Are you looking for the approval...

Mr. Lolicata interjected I am looking for approval to release monies that relate to the first 298 customers and they are looking for the formula of 60%/40%.

Clerk Bernier asked where is the formula in what was handed out.

Mr. Karp answered if you look at the top page, the shaded FY year-to-date, you see that. If you look over where the dotted line is and you look to the right, up top under B that is the calculation of the City getting the first 298. City spaces are $143,000 and we would get $38,000. That is if there is a priority on the City getting the first 298. If you move to the left where it is the 60%/40% split based on everything that we believe it switches around. It is $108,000 and $72,000. $108,000 to JPA and $72,000 to the City. That is current based up to last Saturday's event. This is a breakdown per event the number of cars parked in the garage by event by day. It is 298 spaces because there are 400 spaces but you as a City have sold 102 prepaid that you are receiving money for to club seat holders.

Clerk Bernier asked so you are asking for Plan B to pass this Committee.

Mr. Lolicata answered the City is looking for Plan B.

Chairman Sysyn so we have to decide which way to go and then that would go as a report to the full Board and then they will react one way or the other.

Alderman Osborne asked was this agreement made last year.

Mr. Karp answered no. This agreement has been in effect…the ground lease emanates from 1981 that the City has…

Alderman Osborne interjected recently though for the civic center.

Mr. Karp replied yes that went into effect in the beginning of September.

Mr. Lolicata stated there is no agreement written since Verizon…this is a different issue all together.

Alderman Osborne asked is this a new thing or is there a contract involved.

Mr. Karp answered no. In other words the ground lease clearly states that we are entitled to use 600 spaces in that garage and the City has 400. We also, in that ground lease, have the ability to designate where those 600 could be. We also said gee to have a partnership in the spirit of cooperation, a true partnership with the City, we are not going to say we will take the first 600 on the first four floors and if a car pulls in say if you are for the City you have to go upstairs because we are using these spaces. We felt based on the historical data and based on the ground lease that a 60%/40% split on revenue and expenses is really a fair and equitable way.

Mr. O'Kane stated it is critical to remember when you are looking at this…you know you assume an empty garage and a 60%/40% split but that doesn't happen. There are cars in that garage throughout the day all day every day and I believe it is impossible to ascertain whose car is it. Is it a hotel car or a City car? Under the formula that the City would wish us to accept, the assumption is that it is all hotel so the first 298 cars are there for the City. That then depletes from our pool to cover for the business that we bring in for generating income for ourselves. That is why the historic formula of 60%/40%.

Alderman Garrity when someone checks into the hotel they often check in with a vehicle and you have the license plate number so you could probably keep track using that.

Mr. Karp answered that is correct.

Alderman Garrity stated I just think it is important to get the numbers before Verizon opened so that we can make a well-informed decision.

Alderman Garrity moved to table this item.

Mr. Lolicata stated you have to realize there is new equipment and you can do a lot of things now. That is part of the reason that this equipment went in, because of Verizon. That is the bottom line right there. $42,000 worth.

Mr. O'Kane replied actually it was our recommendation.

Mr. Lolicata stated right it could have gone either way, yes or no, and we went along with it because you can figure out disseminate from people coming in, which they can do right now. It is a new thing. It is not something that goes back to 1981 or 1984 or 1985. It is new and it is something that we came up with and there just happens to be two different sides to the story right now. That is what it comes down to.

Alderman Osborne asked is this something the City Solicitor's Office should get involved in.

Mr. Lolicata answered I don't know if the Mayor's Office or Mr. Robinson has asked Tom to get involved to be honest with you. I don't know if Tom has gotten back to the Mayor or any of them. We are all sticking to what we are going to say. Don't get me wrong on that.

Alderman Osborne stated we are a little short scoped here aren't we.

Mr. Lolicata replied I don't know if the Mayor's Office has an answer from Tom. I don't know if he got back to you, Sean, in negotiating. I haven't been part of any negotiations since this came up. It is a new thing. It puts you in a predicament and you are all brand-new. This does go back to the end of last year.

Alderman Forest asked was there an agreement with the City for this parking lot prior to Verizon.

Mr. O'Kane answered yes between the hotel and the City.

Alderman Forest asked and as of now there is no agreement but this is still under discussion.

Mr. O'Kane answered this particular issue is still under discussion.

Alderman Guinta stated Plan B is referencing event nights only.

Mr. Karp replied yes these are all event nights.

Mr. Lolicata stated we are talking event nights only in this deal.

Mr. Karp stated what you are looking at are two different formulas of allocating those gross funds.

Alderman Guinta asked so under B, of the 325 spaces…

Mr. Karp interjected you would get a priority, the first 298 and the 27 spaces left over is what we would get.

Alderman Guinta asked can you tell me, for example, how they register guests in the hotel. Do you have that in here?

Mr. Karp answered well traditionally we could tell you on a historical occupancy that we run usually around 85 to 90 vehicles in the garage that are affiliated with the hotel.

Alderman Guinta asked overnight.

Mr. Karp answered yes based on room reservations and taking 70% occupancy and 25% of that driving, etc.

Alderman Guinta asked when was the last time you talked to the City.

Mr. Karp answered they directed us to come to the Traffic Committee to present this particular case.

Mr. Lolicata stated this started in September. Of course the Center took over there extra 200 spaces back in October. Am I correct?

Mr. Karp replied yes.

Mr. Lolicata stated you have to remember that all these years the City had 600 spaces by the formula. They have 600 now and the City has 400.

Alderman Guinta asked up until September of 2001 the City had 600 spaces and the Holiday Inn had 400.

Mr. Lolicata answered yes. They always had the option that they could get the other 200 at any time and they took that option.

Mr. O'Kane stated in the contract there was an option that we exercised.

Alderman Guinta asked was there a directive from the Mayor's Office that said this money is going into escrow.

Mr. Karp answered yes since the first event.

Alderman Guinta asked and since then you have been trying to resolve this.

Mr. Lolicata answered this is actually the second time. The first time it came before us Jeff was sick and couldn't be here. This is actually the first time coming before you people.

Mr. O'Kane stated we actually operated under the premise that this was resolved up until this year when Mr. Robinson said this really needs to go before this Committee and the

Aldermen. It was rather recent. We were taken aback by the fact that we thought we had discussions that we had an agreement.

Alderman Guinta asked and what did you think that agreement was.

Mr. O'Kane answered a 60%/40% split. It goes back to the same time that Jeff and his company had proposed this computer program and the purpose behind that was simply to allow the hotel to validate so we can control those who enter the garage and the City could get its share of the money otherwise people staying in the hotel would have not validation process.

Mr. Lolicata stated this came about because of the building of Verizon.

Alderman Guinta asked that was part of the $42,000 that your department paid.

Mr. Lolicata answered the City paid for it. The City maintains the garage as far as money, Mr. Daniels and all of the people who work down there.

Mr. O'Kane stated correction. Mr. Karp is an employee of ours.

Mr. Lolicata replied correct but we pay his salary.

Alderman Guinta asked he is an employee of yours but we pay.

Mr. Lolicata answered yes it is just like National Garages running the other two garages for us. We reimburse and we pay them. It is the same deal with the Center of New Hampshire.

Alderman Guinta asked so his paycheck is from the City of Manchester.

Mr. O'Kane answered no we pay him and the City reimburses us.

Mr. Karp stated one other thing that I just want to add quickly is that our theory was prior to taking our option for the additional 200 spaces, our theory at that point was consistent with the allocation as well. When the City had 600 spaces and we had 400 spaces, we had proposed in written correspondence that the City would get 60% and we would get 40%. We were always consistent with our theory of allocation. We just decided at that point to take down the additional 200 for the security of the hotel and having the additional spaces. We just split the 60%/40% our way but prior to that we had some correspondence where it was 60% for the City and we were getting 40%.

Mr. Lolicata stated the City looked upon the same deal that they were bringing all of the business in, which they were until Verizon was built because all of the people going in

there at night are for Verizon. That was the situation that came about when all of the thinking started changing.

Alderman Guinta asked based on that option of the additional 200 spaces that JPA asked for, what in that contract allows the City to state that Plan B is acceptable to that contract.

Mr. Lolicata answered basically there isn't.

Alderman Guinta asked is there something in the contract that allows the City to go with Plan B.

Mr. Lolicata answered there is no language whatsoever because Verizon is new. It was never in there because of it. No new language has been brought forth at all. That is why we are here.

Alderman Guinta asked how long has the original contract been in effect.

Mr. O'Kane answered 40 years.

Mr. Lolicata stated this is a different issue.

Mr. Karp stated the original contract didn't anticipate having a civic center built next to it. We thought, when you look at it in a fair and equitable way, it is based on the number of spaces you have.

Mr. Lolicata stated that is why we are here.

Alderman Guinta asked so the motion is to table and then have other people come in for further explanation. Would that meeting take place in two weeks?

Chairman Sysyn stated our next meeting is in a month.

Mr. Lolicata stated the City's recommendation is Plan B because Verizon is involved in this and it is a municipal garage.

Chairman Sysyn asked can we refer this to MDC. Would they know more about this?

Mr. Lolicata answered no they don't. As a matter of fact, the ones deeply involved besides the two here are Jay Taylor, myself and the Mr. Robinson. I don't know…Tom Clark must have some idea. I haven't been privy to saying anything to him myself. It is a brand new thing and that is why we are here.

Alderman Guinta asked who is holding the original contract.

Mr. Lolicata answered the City has a copy and they have a copy.

Alderman Guinta asked could we get a copy for the next meeting.

Mr. Lolicata answered sure.

Alderman Guinta asked can you provide to this Committee numbers regarding how many people you have in your hotel and how many cars they have on these nights. Is there a tracking mechanism? Alderman Garrity alluded before to a tracking mechanism when somebody checks into the hotel. I think we want every piece of possible information.

Mr. Karp answered I think we can do that. If you look at that analysis it takes the historical data of our…

Alderman Guinta interjected so it does take into effect that information.

Mr. Karp replied yes. In other words, we are not saying in our 600 spaces that we have 600 available per event. We have a back out of the 600 just like the City takes out 102, we have a complete back out that says how many historically are overnight guest cars that are in that garage. We think it is pretty accurate of 70% occupancy in the hotel and 25% of that is driving times what it translates into as actual amount of cars per night.

Alderman Guinta asked and that was all provided.

Mr. Karp answered what you have there was provided to Wayne Robinson, Jay Taylor, etc.

Mr. Lolicata stated when you get something like this, an occupancy rate, it gives you an idea of how many cars by hour were inside that garage and leaving. It doesn't breakdown Verizon nights or does it? That is easy enough to do by dates.

Mr. Karp asked but what are you trying to get at.

Alderman Osborne stated not only the part about guests but weren't there some nights that you had events at the Center while Verizon was having events.

Mr. Karp answered yes. Mind you that is the case when we are having an event and we are prioritizing, we are taking those vehicles. We are not receiving any revenue for that. We prioritize for the benefit of the hotel. The City would still always get the other revenue.

Alderman Forest duly seconded the motion to table. Chairman Sysyn called for a vote. There being none opposed, the motion carried.

Chairman Sysyn stated I would like to refer this to Tom Lolicata's department to work with Jay Taylor, the Center of New Hampshire, the Mayor's Office and the City Solicitor and then come back at our next meeting to see if we can get this resolved by then.

Alderman Guinta asked can we get a copy of the contract.

On motion of Alderman Osborne, duly seconded by Alderman Garrity, it was voted to have the Traffic Department, Jay Taylor, the staff from the Center of New Hampshire garage, the Mayor's Office and the City Solicitor get together and report back to the next meeting of the Committee on Traffic.

Clerk Bernier asked do you want a copy of the contract from 1981 when this all started.

Chairman Sysyn answered that is the only one that is available.

Mr. Lolicata stated there is no dispute over the original contract. Things have gone along fine up until now. This is your dispute right now.

Alderman Guinta stated I want to see the contract because I want to see the contract.

Clerk Bernier replied we will get you a copy.

15. Request from Alderman Gatsas that both Beech and Maple Streets be returned to two-way streets.
(Note: Tabled 2/12/02).

This item remained on the table.

16. Communication from Felix M. Torres, Manchester Neighborhood Housing Services, regarding the proposal to convert Maple and Beech Streets into two-way streets.
(Note: Tabled 2/12/02).

This item remained on the table.

17. Communication and petition from Stephanie Lewry, Intown Manchester, requesting evening residential parking in the parking garage on Kosciuszko Street.
(Note: Tabled 2/12/02).

Alderman Guinta stated I am not going off the table with this yet because Intown Manchester just moved their location and their phones are down and I have been a problem to connect with them.

This item remained on the table.

18. Communication from Paul Konieczka, of CLD Consulting Engineers requesting an opportunity to discuss the proposed conversion of Winston Street to a two-way street with associated signalization of its intersection with Brown Avenue.
(Tabled 2/12/02.)

On motion of Alderman Garrity, duly seconded by Alderman Guinta, it was voted to remove this item from the table.

On motion of Alderman Garrity, duly seconded by Alderman Forest, it was voted to receive and file this item.

19. Communication from Alderman Vaillancourt regarding a petition from residents of the Pepperidge/Sherwood/Westwood Drive area requesting additional speed bumps in the area.
(Tabled 11/7/01.)

On motion of Alderman Garrity, duly seconded by Alderman Guinta, it was voted to remove this item from the table.

Alderman Garrity asked which one has only one speed bump. Is it Sherwood?

Mr. Lolicata answered I believe it is Pepperidge. That was a pilot program. You have to understand that. When we did this a couple of years back on the side streets of Westwood, Sherwood and Pepperidge, the Highway Department was who did them and I had to follow-up with the signage but we wanted it as a pilot program. Since then, we have been against them since Day 1. The Highway Department has to make each and every one of these things. We don't want them because of liability and Frank Thomas, quite frankly, hasn't got the time or the money to do these things never mind me following up with signage.

Chairman Sysyn stated they are dangerous also.

Alderman Garrity asked has Alderman DeVries talked to you about this.

Mr. Lolicata answered I don't think so. If she has, I forgot about it already.

On motion of Alderman Garrity, duly seconded by Alderman Forest, it was voted to receive and file this item.

20. Petition from residents of Oakland Avenue requesting additional measures to control vehicular speed on Oakland Avenue (i.e., four-way stop signs at various intersections.)

On motion of Alderman Forest, duly seconded by Alderman Osborne, it was voted to remove this item from the table.

On motion of Alderman Forest, duly seconded by Alderman Osborne, it was voted to receive and file this item.

NEW BUSINESS

Alderman Osborne stated I would like no parking on Valley Street, north and south sides, between Beech and Union Streets.

On motion of Alderman Osborne, duly seconded by Alderman Garrity, it was voted to approve the request and add this to the traffic agenda.

There being no further business, on motion of Alderman Garrity, duly seconded by Alderman Guinta, it was voted to adjourn.

A True Record. Attest.

Clerk of Committee